# 2013 CUMULATIVE POCKET SUPPLEMENT

## **IDAHO CODE**

Compiled Under the Supervision of the Idaho Code Commission

R. DANIEL BOWEN

JEREMY P. PISCA ANDREW P. DOMAN

COMMISSIONERS

CONSTITUTIONS, FEDERAL LAWS, HISTORICAL DOCUMENTS, TABLES

Place this supplement in the pocket of the corresponding volume of the set

## **MICHIE**

701 East Water Street Charlottesville, VA 22902

www.lexisnexis.com

Customer Service: 1-800-833-9844

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks, and MICHIE is a trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Matthew Bender is a registered trademark of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

© 2013 State of Idaho All rights reserved.

5034130

ISBN 978-0-672-83888-0 (Set) ISBN 978-0-327-04326-3

#### PUBLISHER'S NOTE

Amendments to laws and new laws enacted since the publication of the bound volume down to and including the 2013 regular session are compiled in this supplement and will be found under their appropriate section numbers.

This publication contains annotations taken from decisions of the Idaho Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals and the appropriate federal courts. These cases will be printed in the following reports:

Idaho Reports

Pacific Reporter, 3rd Series

Federal Supplement, 2nd Series

Federal Reporter, 3rd Series

United States Supreme Court Reports, Lawyers' Edition, 2nd Series

Title and chapter analyses, in these supplements, carry only laws that have been amended or new laws. Old sections that have nothing but annotations are not included in the analyses.

Following is an explanation of the abbreviations of the Court Rules used throughout the Idaho Code.

I.R.C.P. Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure

I.R.E. Idaho Rules of Evidence
I.C.R. Idaho Criminal Rules

M.C.R. Misdemeanor Criminal Rules

I.I.R. Idaho Infraction Rules I.J.R. Idaho Juvenile Rules

I.C.A.R. Idaho Court Administrative Rules

I.A.R. Idaho Appellate Rules

If you have any questions or suggestions concerning the Idaho Code, please write or call toll free 1-800-833-9844, fax toll free at 1-800-643-1280, or email us at customer.support@bender.com.

Visit our website at http://www.lexisnexis.com for an online bookstore, technical support, customer service, and other company information.

LexisNexis

Attn: Customer Service

1275 Broadway

Albany, NY 12204-2694

ALWAYS CONSULT THE LATEST SUPPLEMENT IN CONNECTION WITH THE PERMANENT VOLUME

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2013

#### **USER'S GUIDE**

To assist the legal profession and the layperson in obtaining the maximum benefit from the Idaho Code, a User's Guide has been included in the first, bound volume of this set.



## ADJOURNMENT DATES OF SESSIONS OF LEGISLATURE

	Adjournment Date
005	April 6, 2005
006	
006 (E.S.)	August 25, 2006
007	. March 30, 2007
008	
009	May 8, 2009
010	
011	
012	. March 29, 2012
D13	April 4, 2013



# CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

ARTICLE.

I. Declaration of Rights.

III. Legislative Department.

VII. Finance and Revenue.

ARTICLE.

VIII. Public Indebtedness and Subsidies.

IX. EDUCATION AND SCHOOL LANDS.

X. Public Institutions.

#### Article I

#### DECLARATION OF RIGHTS

SECTION.

23. The rights to hunt, fish and trap.

## § 1. Inalienable rights of man.

Analysis

Constitutionality.
Sale of intoxicating liquor.
Workers' compensation.

Constitutionality.

The immunity provision found at former § 22-4803A(6) [now see §§ 39-114 and 52-108] does not effect a taking in violation of the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution or Idaho Const. art. I, § 14. It also does not violate this section or the prohibition against local or special laws found in Idaho Const. art. III, § 19. The statute is constitutional. Moon v. N. Idaho Farmers Ass'n, 140 Idaho 536, 96 P.3d 637 (2004), cert. denied, 543 U.S. 1146, 125 S. Ct. 1299, 161 L. Ed. 2d 106 (2005).

House Bill 403 of the 2003 Idaho legislative session, as it amended § 6-2214, violated this section because it gave the judiciary the power to tax by providing that the district court would impose an educational necessity levy on local school districts if necessary.

Idaho Schs. for Equal Educ. Opportunity v. State, 140 Idaho 586, 97 P.3d 453 (2004).

Sale of Intoxicating Liquor.

Section 23-808(4)(b) does not violate the equal protection guarantees of the United States or Idaho Constitutions because there are conceivable facts that would support the legislative classification under the rational basis test. Mc Lean v. Maverik Country Stores, Inc., 142 Idaho 810, 135 P.3d 756 (2006).

Workers' Compensation.

Denial of an employee's claim for psychological reaction, without an accompanying physical injury, did not violate her rights under Idaho Const. art. 1, §§ 2 and 18. Luttrell v. Clearwater County Sheriff's Office, 140 Idaho 581, 97 P.3d 448 (2004).

**A.L.R.** Federal and state constitutional provisions and state statutes as prohibiting employment discrimination based on heterosexual conduct or relationship. 123 A.L.R.5th 411

## § 2. Political power inherent in the people.

Analysis

Equal rights and equal protection. Worker's compensation.

Equal Rights and Equal Protection.

There is at a minimum, a rational basis for § 72-223's grant of immunity because the quid pro quo under the Idaho Worker's Compensation Act still exists; the fact that the primary employer may keep § 72-223's grant of immunity even though the direct employer has paid benefits and fulfilled its obligations under the law does not render the section unconstitutional. Venters v. Sorrento Del., Inc., 141 Idaho 245, 108 P.3d 392 (2005).

Equal protection claim was improperly dismissed in a dispute regarding an alleged regulatory taking because the elements of a "class of one" claim were not analyzed. The fact that this claim was previously determined when a corporation was not a party to the action was no basis for the decision since no res judicata or collateral estoppel argu-

ments were raised. City of Coeur d'Alene v. Simpson, 142 Idaho 839, 136 P.3d 310 (2006).

Requirement that an employee suffering an accident had to timely notify the employer, even if that employee was unaware of the extent of the personal injury caused by the accident, did not violate the equal protection clause of this section because the statute applied to all persons and subject matters in a like situation. Arel v. T & L Enters., 146 Idaho 29, 189 P.3d 1149 (2008).

Worker's Compensation.

Denial of an employee's claim for psychological reaction, without an accompanying physical injury, did not violate her rights under Idaho Const., Art. I, § 18 and this section. Luttrell v. Clearwater County Sheriff's Office, 140 Idaho 581, 97 P.3d 448 (2004).

Rational basis exists for the grant of immu-

nity in § 72-223, even if the statutory employer has not had to pay benefits because the direct employer has. Kolar v. Cassia County Idaho, 142 Idaho 346, 127 P.3d 962 (2005).

**A.L.R.** Federal and state constitutional provisions and state statutes as prohibiting employment discrimination based on heterosexual conduct or relationship. 123 A.L.R.5th 411.

Application of class-of-one theory of equal protection to public employment. 32 A.L.R.6th 457.

Class-of-one equal protection claims based upon real estate development, zoning, and planning. 68 A.L.R.6th 229.

Construction and application of constitutional rule of *Batson v. Kentucky*, 476 U.S. 79, 106 S. Ct. 1712, 90 L. Ed. 2d 69 (1986) — United States supreme court cases. 8 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 547.

## § 4. Guaranty of religious liberty.

Analysis

Controlled substances. Prisoners.

#### Controlled Substances.

This section does not protect against prosecution for conduct that violates a neutral criminal statute of general applicability, such as possession of marijuana with the intent to deliver, simply because such conduct may be engaged in for religious reasons. State v. Fluewelling, 150 Idaho 576, 249 P.3d 375 (2011).

#### Prisoners.

By prohibiting the government from imposing any substantial burden on an inmate's religious exercise unless the burden is justified by a compelling, and not just a legitimate, governmental interest, the Religious Exercises in Land Use and by Institutionalized Persons Act, 42 U.S.C.S. § 2000cc, accords

greater protection to an inmate than the Free Exercise Clause of both the Idaho and federal constitutions. Hyde v. Fisher, 146 Idaho 782, 203 P.3d 712 (Ct. App. 2009).

**A.L.R.** Landlord's refusal to rent to unmarried couple as protected by landlord's religious beliefs. 10 A.L.R.6th 513.

Wearing of religious symbols in courtroom as protected by first amendment. 18 A.L.R.6th

State constitutional challenges to the display of religious symbols on public property. 26 A.L.R.6th 145.

Constitutionality of legislative prayer practices. 30 A.L.R.6th 459.

Application of first amendment's "ministerial exception" or "ecclesiastical exception" to state civil rights claims. 53 A.L.R.6th 569.

Prohibition of federal agency's keeping of records on methods of individual exercise of first amendment rights, under Privacy Act of 1974 (5 USCS § 552a(e)(7)). 20 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 437.

## § 5. Right of habeas corpus.

Analysis

Claim for monetary compensation. Sentencing.

—By jury.

#### Claim for Monetary Compensation.

Despite the district court's error in dismissing the inmate's entire petition for habeas corpus relief because it contained a claim for monetary compensation, the inmate's motion to amend was properly denied because he was not entitled to any other relief claimed. Hoots

v. Craven, 146 Idaho 271, 192 P.3d 1095 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### Sentencing.

#### -By Jury.

At the time defendant was sentenced, he had no right under either the state or federal constitutions to have a jury find the existence of an aggravating circumstance; because there was no error, habeas corpus did not provide a remedy. Porter v. State, 140 Idaho 780, 102 P.3d 1099 (2004), cert. denied, 545

U.S. 1143, 125 S. Ct. 2967, 162 L. Ed. 2d 894 (2005).

## § 6. Right to bail — Cruel and unusual punishments prohibited.

Analysis

Cruel and unusual punishment. Habeas corpus.
—Standard of review.
Length of sentence.
Sentence of juvenile.
Sex offender registration.

#### Cruel and Unusual Punishment.

Appellate court conducted a proportionality analysis comparing the sentence to those imposed on other defendants for similar offenses, and the burden of demonstrating that a sentence was cruel and unusual was on the person asserting the constitutional violation; therefore, defendant had not shown an inference of gross disproportionality, including the additional time he spent in custody due to the forfeiture of the 314 days, such that defendant failed to demonstrate that his sentences constituted cruel and unusual punishment. Gibson v. Bennett, 141 Idaho 270, 108 P.3d 417 (Ct. App. 2005).

Defendant's sentences after being convicted of three counts of the attempted procurement of prostitution and two counts of the procurement of prostitution were proper because she was sentenced to a determinate term of two years to run concurrently with eight years indeterminate on each conviction of procurement of prostitution. That was not a grossly disproportionate sentence on the facts in the case and did not shock the conscience of reasonable people. State v. Grazian, 144 Idaho 510, 164 P.3d 790 (2007), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

Unified life sentence with a 60-year minimum term after defendant was convicted of second-degree murder was appropriate because the offense was very grave and the sentence imposed was not grossly disproportionate to the crime and did not shock the conscience of reasonable people. Additionally, there was no provocation or threat coming from the victim and continuous rehabilitative efforts in the juvenile justice system had proven ineffective for defendant in the past. State v. Wright, 147 Idaho 150, 206 P.3d 856 (Ct. App. 2009).

Driver was not denied substantive due process because his lifetime commercial driver's license disqualification was rationally related to the legitimate legislative objective of protecting public safety. The disqualification served a remedial purpose and was not grossly disproportionate to the gravity of the

driver's two DUIs and, thus, did not constitute cruel and unusual punishment. Williams v. State (In re Driver's License Suspension of Williams), — Idaho —, 283 P.3d 127 (Ct. App. 2012).

Habeas Corpus.

Dismissal of the petitioner's writ of habeas corpus petition was proper, because the petitioner was not prejudiced by the disallowance of cross-examination at the dispositional hearing, when both of the witnesses who testified at the dispositional hearing had previously testified at the factual parole violation hearing before the hearing officer, at which time the petitioner was afforded the opportunity to cross-examine them. Matthews v. Jones, 147 Idaho 224, 207 P.3d 200 (Ct. App. 2009).

#### -Standard of Review.

The essence of habeas corpus is an attack upon the legality of a person's detention for the purpose of securing release where custody is illegal. It may be used to challenge the revocation of parole or the violation of a parolee's constitutional rights during the course of parole revocation proceedings. Matthews v. Jones, 147 Idaho 224, 207 P.3d 200 (Ct. App. 2009).

Length of Sentence.

A challenge to the length of a sentence on cruel and unusual punishment grounds in post-conviction proceedings is barred by the doctrine of res judicata when the applicant argued on direct appeal that the sentence was excessive under state law reasonableness standards. Knutsen v. State, 144 Idaho 433, 163 P.3d 222 (Ct. App. 2007).

Defendant's life sentence for first-degree murder did not constitute cruel and unusual punishment where defendant conspired, carefully planned, and executed the cold-blooded stabbing death of his fellow high school student based solely on his desire to achieve fame as a serial killer. Defendant's fixed life sentence fell within the sentencing parameters of § 18-4004. State v. Adamcik, 152 Idaho 445, 272 P.3d 417, cert. denied, — U.S. —, 133 S. Ct. 141, 184 L. Ed. 2d 68 (2012).

#### Sentence of Juvenile.

Defendant's fixed-life sentence for first-degree murder, which was committed when defendant was 16 years old, was not cruel and unusual: defendant failed to show that there was a national consensus against fixed life sentences for juveniles convicted of homicide crimes. State v. Draper, 151 Idaho 576, 261 P.3d 853 (2011).

#### Sex Offender Registration.

Sex offender registration requirement does not constitute cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the constitutions of the State of Idaho and the United States because the requirement that sexual offenders register does not impose punishment; the purpose of Idaho's registration statute is not punitive, but remedial. It provides an essential regulatory purpose that assists law enforcement and parents in protecting children and communities. State v. Joslin, 145 Idaho 75, 175 P.3d 764 (2007).

## § 7. Right to trial by jury.

Cited in: State v. Stover, 140 Idaho 927, 104 P.3d 969 (2005); State v. Hadden, 152 Idaho 371, 271 P.3d 1227 (Ct. App. 2012).

#### ANALYSIS

Criminal cases.
Death sentence.
Eminent domain.
Jury instructions.
Sale of intoxicating liquor.
Selection of jurors.
Waiver of rights.

#### Criminal Cases.

Defendant was not entitled to a jury trial to determine the amount of restitution. First, if the restitution was rooted in equity, no right to a jury trial applied, and second, §§ 19-2601 and 19-5304 both allow a court to award restitution without a jury trial. Idaho Const. art. I, § 22, preserving a criminal victim's statutory rights, including the restitution right, does not explicitly provide for a right to a jury trial. State v. Straub, — Idaho —, 292 P.3d 273 (2013).

#### Death Sentence.

Where defendant was found guilty of murder, the appellate court declined to apply a harmless error analysis and remand the case for resentencing with directions to the trial court to exclude victim impact statements calling for the death penalty, or other information that did not comply with *Booth*, and *Payne*, in order not to violate the Eighth Amendment. State v. Lovelace, 140 Idaho 73, 90 P.3d 298, cert. denied, 543 U.S. 936, 125 S. Ct. 323, 160 L. Ed. 2d 242 (2004).

#### **Eminent Domain.**

Property owner was not entitled to a jury trial on the Ada County Highway District's ejectment claim as a suit for quiet title and ejectment was equitable in nature and, therefore, that there was no entitlement to a jury

**A.L.R.** Application of constitutional rule of *Atkins v. Virginia*, 536 U.S. 304, 122 S. Ct. 2242, 153 L. Ed. 2d 335 (2002), that execution of mentally retarded persons constitutes "cruel and unusual punishment" in violation of Eighth Amendment. 122 A.L.R.5th 145.

When does forfeiture of real property violate excessive fines clause of Eighth Amendment or state constitutions — State cases. 124 A.L.R.5th 509.

Constitutional right of prisoners to abortion services and facilities. 28 A.L.R.6th 485.

Prison inmate's eighth amendment rights to treatment for sleep disorders. 68 A.L.R.6th 389.

trial on the ejectment claim. Ada County Highway Dist. v. Total Success Invs., LLC, 145 Idaho 360, 179 P.3d 323 (2008).

#### Jury Instructions.

A trial court is required to instruct the jury that it must unanimously agree on the defendant's guilt in order to convict the defendant of a crime. An instruction that the jury must unanimously agree on the facts giving rise to the offense, however, is generally not required. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

An instruction informing the jury that it must unanimously agree on the specific occurrence giving rise to the offense is necessary, when the defendant commits several acts, each of which would independently support a conviction for the crime charged. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

#### Sale of Intoxicating Liquor.

Because parents of a minor passenger injured in a accident caused by a drunk driver had no cause of action against a store under the prohibition of § 23-808(4)(b), their constitutional right to a jury trial was not violated. Mc Lean v. Maverik Country Stores, Inc., 142 Idaho 810, 135 P.3d 756 (2006).

#### Selection of Jurors.

Trial court improperly denied defendant's challenge for cause of juror who stated during voir dire that he was biased towards believing the testimony of a police officer over that of a defendant, and who could make no unequivocal assurance that he would set aside this bias and render an impartial verdict based solely on the facts of the case. State v. Hauser, 143 Idaho 603, 150 P.3d 296 (Ct. App. 2006).

#### Waiver of Rights.

Trial court properly denied as untimely workers' compensation policyholders' motions for a jury trial under I.R.C.P. 38(b) or 39(b) in their action against the state insurance fund;

the policyholders' waiver of a jury trial was not revoked by the state's intervention in the proceeding or by an amendment of a pleading, and the policyholders gave no reason for their failure to make a timely demand. Hayden Lake Fire Prot. Dist. v. Alcorn, 141 Idaho 388, 111 P.3d 73 (2005), overruled on other grounds, Farber v. Idaho State Ins. Fund, 152 Idaho 495, 272 P.3d 467 (2012) and City of Osburn v. Randel, 152 Idaho 906, 277 P.3d 353 (2012).

Trial court did not err in enforcing a clause in a commercial lease that waived the right to a jury trial in an action to enforce the lease; demand for a jury trial could not be enforced by either of the parties without an effectual modification of the lease, and the fact that each party demanded a jury trial in its respective pleadings does not create an agreed upon modification. Watkins Co., LLC v. Storms, 152 Idaho 531, 272 P.3d 503 (2012).

## § 8. Prosecutions only by indictment or information.

Cited in: State v. Pierce, 150 Idaho 1, 244 P.3d 145 (2010); State v. Miller, 151 Idaho 828, 264 P.3d 935 (2011); State v. Adamcik, 152 Idaho 445, 272 P.3d 417 (2012).

#### Analysis

Aiding and abetting.
Grand jury or preliminary hearing.
Indictment or information.
—Amendments.
Preliminary examination.
—Waiver.
Sufficiency of information.

Aiding and Abetting.

Jury instruction on aiding and abetting did not constitute an impermissible variance or a constructive amendment of the charging document because Idaho has abolished the distinction between principals and aiders and abettors, and it is well established in Idaho that it is unnecessary to charge a defendant with aiding and abetting. State v. Johnson, 145 Idaho 970, 188 P.3d 912, cert. denied, 555 U.S. 1053, 129 S. Ct. 638, 172 L. Ed. 2d 623 (2008).

#### Grand Jury or Preliminary Hearing.

Where a grand jury that indicted defendant was acting without authority because its term had expired, the district court erred in denying defendant's Idaho R. Crim. P. 35 motion for correction of an illegal sentence, and his conviction was accordingly vacated. Because the grand jury's term had expired, no valid indictment or information was returned. State v. Lute, 150 Idaho 837, 252 P.3d 1255 (2011).

#### Indictment or Information.

Informations are of equal dignity with indictments, subject to the limitations that a defendant may only be accused by information after commitment by a magistrate and that an information cannot be issued if the charge has been previously brought before, and ignored by, a grand jury. Warren v. Craven, 152 Idaho 327, 271 P.3d 725 (Ct. App. 2012).

#### -Amendments.

Indictment charging defendant with murdering his wife by giving her an overdose of drugs her was not impermissibly amended to allege murder by overdose or suffocation because the amendment did not charge defendant with a new offense, but merely alleged an alternative way that defendant might have committed the crime. Further, the amendment did not prejudice defendant's substantial rights because it was made nearly one whole year before trial and, thus, gave defendant more than adequate time to prepare his defense relating to the allegation of murder by suffocation. The amendment did not subject defendant to double jeopardy because, if the jury had convicted or acquitted defendant under the original indictment, he could not later be tried on the amended indictment. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

Defendant's conviction for sexual abuse of a child under 16 years of age was void, because the prosecuting attorney had no authority to issue an amended indictment for a crime that was not charged in the original indictment and that was not an included offense of the originally-charged crime of lewd conduct with a child under 16 years of age; the amended indictment was a nullity, as the new charge required a new indictment from the grand jury. State v. Flegel, 151 Idaho 525, 261 P.3d 519 (2011).

#### Preliminary Examination.

#### -Waiver.

Where a complaint alleges that the defendant has committed a felony, he has a right to a preliminary hearing. The purpose of the preliminary hearing is to determine whether there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed the felony. A defendant who waives the right to a preliminary hearing waives the right to a probable cause determination regarding the charged felony. State v. Stewart, 149 Idaho 383, 234 P.3d 707 (2010).

#### Sufficiency of Information.

When four officers arrived at defendant's apartment seeking her husband, who was

wanted for felony probation violations, she told them that her husband did not have a gun; when they attempted to take the husband into custody, he shot and injured three officers; the information alleging these facts was sufficient to charge defendant with harboring and protecting a felon in violation of § 18-205. State v. Lampien, 148 Idaho 367, 223 P.3d 750 (2009).

## § 9. Freedom of speech.

Opinions of Attorney General. Provisions of local initiatives pertaining to the use of marijuana for medicinal purposes and the growth and cultivation of industrial hemp, that instruct city officers to advocate for changes to state law to support the goals and implementation of the ordinances are unconstitutional because compelling this advocacy is clearly an infringement upon the free speech rights of city officers. OAG 07-02.

**A.L.R.** First Amendment protection afforded to comic books, comic strips, and cartoons. 118 A.L.R.5th 213.

Construction and application of federal and state constitutional and statutory speech or debate provisions. 24 A.L.R.6th 255.

First amendment protection afforded to web site operators. 30 A.L.R.6th 299.

First amendment protection afforded to blogs and bloggers. 35 A.L.R.6th 407.

Validity of restrictions imposed during national political conventions impinging upon rights to freedom of speech and assembly under first amendment. 46 A.L.R.6th 465.

Restrictive covenants or homeowners' association regulations restricting or prohibiting

flags, signage, or the like on homeowner's property as restraint on free speech. 51 A.L.R.6th 533.

When does use of pepper spray, mace, or other similar chemical irritants constitute violation of constitutional rights. 65 A.L.R.6th 93.

Constitutionality of restricting public speech in street, sidewalk, park, or other public forum — Characteristics of forum. 70 A.L.R.6th 513.

Constitutionality of restricting public speech in street, sidewalk, park, or other public forum — Manner of restriction. 71 A.L.R.6th 471.

Constitutional challenges to compelled speech — General principles. 72 A.L.R.6th 513.

Constitutional challenges to compelled speech — Particular situations or circumstances. 73 A.L.R.6th 281.

Construction and application of establishment clause off first amendment — U.S. supreme court cases. 15 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 573.

Application of first amendment in school context — Supreme court cases. 57 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1.

## § 10. Right of assembly.

**A.L.R.** Validity of restrictions imposed during national political conventions impinging upon rights to freedom of speech and assembly under first amendment. 46 A.L.R.6th 465.

When does use of pepper spray, mace, or other similar chemical irritants constitute violation of constitutional rights. 65 A.L.R.6th 93.

## § 11. Right to keep and bear arms.

**A.L.R.** Construction and application of United States supreme court holdings in *District of Columbia v. Heller*, 554 U.S. 570, 128 S. Ct. 2783, 171 L. Ed. 2d 637 (2008) and *McDonald v. City of Chicago, Ill.*, 130 S. Ct. 3020, 177 L. Ed. 2d 894 (2010) respecting second amendment right to keep and bear arms, to state or local laws regulating firearms or other weapons. 64 A.L.R.6th 131.

Construction and application of United States supreme court holding in *District of Columbia v. Heller*, 554 U.S. 570, 128 S. Ct. 2783, 171 L. Ed. 2d 637 (2008), that second amendment confers individual right to keep and bear arms to federal statutes regulating firearms and other weapons or devices. 56 A.L.R. Fed 2d 1.

## § 13. Guaranties in criminal actions and due process of law.

Cited in: Moon v. N. Idaho Farmers Ass'n, 140 Idaho 536, 96 P.3d 637 (2004); State v. Veloquio, 141 Idaho 154, 106 P.3d 480 (Ct. App. 2005); State v. Lippert, 152 Idaho 884,

276 P.3d 756 (Ct. App. 2012); State v. Schwab, — Idaho —, 281 P.3d 1103 (Ct. App. 2012); State v. Jacobson, — Idaho —, 283 P.3d 124 (Ct. App. 2012); State v. Thumm, — Idaho —, 285 P.3d 348 (Ct. App. 2012); State v. Morgan, — Idaho —, 288 P.3d 835 (Ct. App. 2012); Schultz v. State, — Idaho —, 291 P.3d 474 (Ct. App. 2012).

#### Analysis

Alcohol concentration test. Agricultural land use. Constitutionality of legislation. Double jeopardy. Due process of law.

- —Appeal from administrative body.
- —Attorney fee regulation.
- —Building permit.
- —Instructions.
- —Jury selection.
- -Post-sentencing hearing.
  - -Prisoners.
- -Probation hearing.
- —Sentencing hearing.
- —Statements of prosecutor.

Equal protection of laws.

Exculpatory evidence.

Inadequacy of counsel.

—Conflict of interest.

Ineffective assistance of counsel.

Miranda rights.

Notice.

Persistent violator enhancement statute.

Post-conviction relief.

Prosecutorial misconduct.

Right to counsel.

-Post-conviction proceedings.

-Waiver.

Self-incrimination. Self-representation.

Speedy trial.

#### **Alcohol Concentration Test.**

Although police had no duty to make a telephone available to defendant to arrange for independent blood-alcohol testing when defendant did not request it, defendant's assertion of his right to obtain such a test after his release triggered a police duty not to unreasonably delay defendant's booking process and release after his arrest for driving under the influence so as to prevent a violation of defendant's due process rights. State v. Hedges, 143 Idaho 884, 154 P.3d 1074 (Ct. App. 2007).

Agricultural Land Use.

Where a dairymen's association and a cattle association filed a complaint challenging the constitutionality of Gooding County, Idaho, Ordinance No. 90, which regulated water quality at confined animal feeding operations (CAFOs), the supreme court of Idaho held that the limitation on the number of animal units per acre did not violate the substantive due process rights of CAFO operators under this section; the facts illustrated the relationship between the cap on animal units per acre

and the county's objective of encouraging the retention of productive agricultural lands and the protection of the aquifer by encouraging good waste management plans. Idaho Dairymen's Ass'n v. Gooding County, 148 Idaho 653, 227 P.3d 907 (2010).

Constitutionality of Legislation.

Defendant's conviction for failing to register as a sex offender under former § 18-8304(1)(b) was reversed as the provision was unconstitutional; the state's interest in apprehending re-offending sex offenders was not rationally advanced by a classification that differentiated between offenders based solely upon their date of entry into the state. Further, the disparity in the statute's treatment of in-state offenders versus those who were convicted elsewhere and subsequently moved to Idaho violated the constitutional right to travel. State v. Dickerson, 142 Idaho 514, 129 P.3d 1263 (Ct. App. 2006).

Idaho's abolition of the insanity defense did not violate defendant's due process rights; evidence of mental illness is expressly allowed, and can be used to rebut the element of intent. State v. Delling, 152 Idaho 122, 267 P.3d 709 (2011), cert. denied, — U.S. —, 133 S. Ct. 504, 184 L. Ed. 2d 480 (2012).

Double Jeopardy.

Double jeopardy protection was not implicated and was not a bar to resentencing defendant pursuant to the procedures set forth in the revised death penalty statutes, §§ 18-8004 and 19-2515(3)(b). State v. Lovelace, 140 Idaho 73, 90 P.3d 298, cert. denied, 543 U.S. 936, 125 S. Ct. 323, 160 L. Ed. 2d 242 (2004).

District court's finding of manifest necessity for a mistrial grounded on alleged physical and emotional deficiencies of defense attorney, together with a determination that defense attorney was ineffective was in error because the district court did not adequately consider alternatives or offer defendant an opportunity to be heard; defendant's motion to dismiss with prejudice should have been granted, as further prosecution of defendant for this crime is barred by the constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy. State v. Manley, 142 Idaho 338, 127 P.3d 954 (2005).

Defendant was not subjected to double jeopardy by being incited and convicted of both having sexual contact with a minor and solicting sexual contact with a minor, since the two counts contemplated proof of completely different elements, touching and solicitation. State v. Hussain, 143 Idaho 175, 139 P.3d 777 (Ct. App. 2006).

Where the district court dismissed a felony

DUI enhancement upon determining that the state had failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant was convicted of two DUIs within the preceding ten years and the dismissal was based on an incorrect interpretation of Idaho law, the defendant cannot be tried again without violating this section prohibition of double jeopardy. State v. Howard, 150 Idaho 471, 248 P.3d 722 (2011).

One-year commercial driver's license (CDL) disqualification under § 49-335 was civil in nature and did not rise to the level of a criminal punishment for double jeopardy purposes; the driver was not denied due process because the CDL disqualification statute was not ambiguous as to the date the driver's CDL disqualification was to begin, and estoppel was not an appropriate remedy against the Idaho department of transportation in this case. Buell v. Idaho DOT (In re Driver's License Suspension of Buell), 151 Idaho 257, 254 P.3d 1253 (Ct. App. 2011).

#### Due Process of Law.

Defendant's due process challenges to the information were waived because he did not raise them before the commencement of trial as required by Idaho Crim. R. 12(b)(2). State v. Quintero, 141 Idaho 619, 115 P.3d 710 (2005).

Due process was not violated where the newspaper article about the county commissioner's statements, before he was elected, was insufficient to support a finding that the commissioner was impermissibly biased in considering an appeal from the county planning and zoning commission's decision. The claim that the other county commissioner was required to recuse herself by reason of improper ex parte contacts was reviewed and properly rejected by the district court as the record was lacking facts or sufficient inferences to establish that a bias would compel recusal. Davisco Foods Int'l, Inc. v. Gooding County, 141 Idaho 784, 118 P.3d 116 (2005).

Punishment of persons 18 to 21 years of age for possession of alcohol, including suspension of driver's license, is not violative of either equal protection or due process rights, since the state has a legitimate interest in the prevention of underage drinking; suspension of a driver's license is a form of deterrence, and the fact that the suspension is applicable to adults between eighteen and twenty-one does not render it unconstitutional, since they are still subject to restrictions on drinking. State v. Bennett, 142 Idaho 166, 125 P.3d 522 (2005).

In a case based on an alleged regulatory taking, a due process claim was properly dismissed because there was no showing that a city's shoreline regulations did not serve a reasonably conceivable legitimate state interest. Moreover, due process and taking claims were different. City of Coeur d'Alene v. Simpson, 142 Idaho 839, 136 P.3d 310 (2006).

Where claimant failed to report the weekly earnings she received as a server at a brewery pub, the industrial commission of the state of Idaho determined that she willfully underreported her weekly income while receiving unemployment benefits. Claimant was not denied due process of law during the appeal from the claims examiner to the industrial commission; claimant was given an opportunity to present evidence to the claims examiner and did not request a hearing before the industrial commission to present additional evidence. Cox v. Hollow Leg Pub & Brewery, 144 Idaho 154, 158 P.3d 930 (2007).

Where the industrial commission of the state of Idaho determined that claimant she willfully underreported her weekly income while receiving unemployment benefits, she failed to prove that the written notice of the hearing before the appeals examiner failed to give her due process notice of the issues. The written notice indicated that the hearing was to determine whether claimant willfully made a false statement or representation or willfully failed to report a material fact in order to obtain unemployment insurance benefits, according to § 72-1366(12) of the Idaho Employment Security Law. Cox v. Hollow Leg Pub & Brewery, 144 Idaho 154, 158 P.3d 930 (2007).

Defendant's due process rights were not violated when audio recordings of his confession in a drug case were lost because there was no showing of bad faith on the part of the state since the loss of the recordings was unintentional; therefore, suppression of the evidence was improper. State v. Lewis, 144 Idaho 64, 156 P.3d 565 (2007).

Due process under the United States or Idaho Constitutions was not violated by the denial of a motion to replace substitute counsel; under the three-prong test, defendant had no constitutional right to counsel in such a proceeding, his presence was not necessary since the grounds were presented in a written motion, and the state had an interest in completing the case since it was the second petition. Rios-Lopez v. State, 144 Idaho 340, 160 P.3d 1275 (Ct. App. 2007).

Appointment of counsel in a habeas corpus proceeding was not warranted because the Sixth Amendment did not apply to civil cases; moreover, the facts were not such that the Due Process Clause required the appointment of counsel either. The case in question only involved the interpretation of a single statute, so it was not complex in nature. Dopp v. Idaho Comm'n of Pardons & Parole, 144 Idaho 402, 162 P.3d 781 (Ct. App. 2007).

Defendant's allegation that his trial interpreter gave advice that was her own opinion and not the result of translating advice from counsel did not support a claim that he was deprived of his due process right to participate in his own defense because he did not show prejudice resulting from an inability to communicate with counsel. Murillo v. State,

144 Idaho 449, 163 P.3d 238, review denied, 2007 Ida. App. LEXIS 76 (Ct. App. 2007).

Although the neighbors claimed a number of due process violations, development approval process followed by the Valley County board of commissioners did not rise to the level of a due process violation justifying reversal; there were four public hearings on the developer's application, and the neighbors were heard and participated in each hearing. Neighbors for a Healthy Gold Fork v. Valley County, 145 Idaho 121, 176 P.3d 126 (2007).

Driver was not denied substantive due process because his lifetime commercial driver's license disqualification was rationally related to the legitimate legislative objective of protecting public safety. The disqualification served a remedial purpose and was not grossly disproportionate to the gravity of the driver's two DUIs and, thus, did not constitute cruel and unusual punishment. Williams v. State (In re Driver's License Suspension of Williams), — Idaho —, 283 P.3d 127 (Ct. App. 2012).

#### -Appeal from Administrative Body.

Physician had not been denied due process by the medical board's failure to promulgate regulations setting forth clearly defined standards with respect to the use of injectable hormones. One of the purposes of the Medical Practice Act, and particularly § 54-1814, is to assure the public health, safety and welfare, and, consistent with that purpose the board could discipline a physician for providing health care that failed to meet the applicable standard of care, even if it could not prove that his patients had suffered any physical harm. Haw v. Idaho State Bd. of Med., 140 Idaho 152, 90 P.3d 902 (2004).

#### -Attorney Fee Regulation.

The medical board's award of costs and attorney fees against a physician must be vacated where the physician was denied an opportunity to be heard regarding the amount of costs and fees to be assessed by the board. Haw v. Idaho State Bd. of Med., 140 Idaho 152, 90 P.3d 902 (2004).

#### -Building Permit.

A city and its director of planning and development services did not violate procedural due process when they mistakenly determined that a developer's building permit had expired. After that decision, the developer received notice and a proper hearing when it appealed to the city council, and any deprivation was cured when the permit was reinstated. Boise Tower Assocs., LLC v. Hogland, 147 Idaho 774, 215 P.3d 494 (2009).

#### -Instructions.

Defendant's contention that the district court erred in refusing his proposed instruction on credibility, which was based on the pattern credibility instruction in the Idaho Civil Jury Instructions (IDJI), because the Idaho Criminal Jury Instructions (ICJI), which was the instruction used by the court, gave the jury insufficient guidance for the determination of witness credibility and that discrimination was violative of his right to equal protection was without merit because, although the IDJI credibility instruction is longer and more detailed than the ICJI instruction, in substance the two are alike. State v. Jones, 140 Idaho 41, 89 P.3d 881 (Ct. App. 2003).

#### —Jury Selection.

Trial court improperly denied defendant's challenge for cause of juror who stated during voir dire that he was biased towards believing the testimony of a police officer over that of a defendant, and who could make no unequivocal assurance that he would set aside this bias and render an impartial verdict based solely on the facts of the case. State v. Hauser, 143 Idaho 603, 150 P.3d 296 (Ct. App. 2006).

#### -Post-Sentencing Hearing.

Defendant, who was convicted of sexual battery of a minor and sentenced, did not have a due process right to a post-sentencing hearing on his eligibility for probation prior to the district court's loss of jurisdiction due to the expiration of a 180-day retained jurisdiction period. Taylor v. State, 145 Idaho 866, 187 P.3d 1241 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### -Prisoners.

Inmate failed to show that his protected liberty or property interests under U.S. Const. amend. XIV and this section were violated by the failure of a warden and prison personnel to return the inmate's personal property, despite the fact that he was entitled to the property while in administrative segregation, because the denial was not an atypical or significant hardship when compared to normal prison life. Briggs v. Kempf, 146 Idaho 172, 191 P.3d 250 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### -Probation Hearing.

District court did not err in reinstating and amending defendant's probation where it did not deprive defendant of his right to due process by applying the doctrine of collateral estoppel to find that he violated the terms of his probation by failing to attend and successfully complete the sex offender treatment required by his probation officer. State v. Dempsey, 146 Idaho 327, 193 P.3d 874 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### -Sentencing Hearing.

Trial court did not err when it denied defendant's motion for a separate restitution hearing after defendant was convicted of grand theft: neither defendant's constitutional due process rights nor § 19-5304(6) was violated as defendant was fully afforded the opportunity and did present evidence relevant to the issue of restitution at both her trial and her sentencing hearing. State v. Blair, 149 Idaho 720, 239 P.3d 825 (Ct. App. 2010).

#### -Statements of Prosecutor.

Defendant received *Miranda* warnings prior to an interview at the jail which made the prosecutor's question on cross-examination of defendant, commenting that defendant had not previously spoken of an assault with a gun by the victim, an impermissible use of defendant's post-*Miranda* silence; prosecutor's questioning of a detective about defendant's failure to give his version of the events during his jail interview and the prosecution's comments in closing argument in regard to same, were also improper. State v. Lopez, 141 Idaho 575, 114 P.3d 133 (Ct. App. 2005).

In defendant's first-degree murder trial for the killing of his wife, the prosecutor's statement that the victim was speaking from her grave was somewhat inflammatory because it was likely designed to appeal to the sympathies and passions of the jury. However, the comment did not rise to the level of fundamental error, because the statement was simply referring to the victim's body providing evidence about the circumstances surrounding her death, not to her calling out for defendant's conviction. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

In defendant's first-degree murder trial for the killing of his wife, the prosecutor's reference to the victim's family — the fact that she was a mother, a daughter, and a sister whose life had purpose and meaning - while arguably improper, did not constitute fundamental error. The statements were not dwelled upon or made in support of an argument that defendant should receive a harsher punishment; instead, the statements merely reiterated evidence that had been produced at trial, and the trial court instructed the jury on several occasions that the prosecutor's comments were not to be regarded as evidence. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

In defendant's first-degree murder trial for the killing of his wife, the prosecutor's comments that defendant was "screwing" a "21-year-old tramp" were inflammatory and, therefore, improper; but the statements did not result in prejudice, however, given the weight of the evidence against defendant and the numerous limiting instructions issued by the jury. Further, defendant's extra-marital affair was established at trial, and the fact that the prosecutor used crude words to describe the affair did not result in prejudice.

State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

In defendant's first-degree murder trial for the killing of his wife, the prosecutor's statement, which indicated to the jury that the case was a circumstantial one because only defendant and the victim had been present at the time of the victim's death and that no one who knew what happened had testified, was not an impermissible comment on defendant's silence because, although the statement could be interpreted as a reference to defendant's failure to testify, it could also be accorded other meanings; for example, the comment could have been a reference to the medical examiner's inability to conclusively establish the cause of death. Nothing in the statement explicitly called for the jury to infer that defendant was guilty because of his silence or to convict him on that basis, and the statement was a single, isolated comment made during the course of 17-day trial. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

#### Equal Protection of Laws.

Suspension or revocation of driving privileges do not limit the right to travel, merely the means; suspension of driving privileges may make travel less convenient but there is no constitutional infringement. State v. Bennett, 142 Idaho 166, 125 P.3d 522 (2005).

#### **Exculpatory Evidence.**

Defendants charged with purchase, consumption, or possession of alcohol while under 21 years of age were not deprived of due process when the arresting officers dumped out the contents of, and then threw away, the can and bottles containing the alcoholic beverages, where there was no showing or evidence to support an inference that the destroved evidence would have exculpatory and defendants did not show that the destruction of the containers and alcohol was prejudicial or done in bad faith. State v. Bennett, 142 Idaho 166, 125 P.3d 522 (2005).

#### Inadequacy of Counsel.

District court's finding of manifest necessity for a mistrial grounded on alleged physical and emotional deficiencies of defense attorney, together with a determination that defense attorney was ineffective was in error because the district court did not adequately consider alternatives or offer defendant an opportunity to be heard; defendant's motion to dismiss with prejudice should have been granted, as further prosecution of defendant for this crime is barred by the constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy. State v. Manley, 142 Idaho 338, 127 P.3d 954 (2005).

#### -Conflict of Interest.

No conflict existed that required the disqualification of the entire county public defender's office where defendant was represented by a public defender on a charge of murdering his wife and a new attorney with the public defender's office had previously represented defendant's mother-in-law in civil litigation directly related to his wife's death. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

#### Ineffective Assistance of Counsel.

Defendant's guilty plea was not voluntarily made where it was based on incorrect advice from his counsel, resulting from counsel's clerical error. McKeeth v. State, 140 Idaho 847, 103 P.3d 460 (2004).

Trial counsel rendered a deficient performance by failing to seek a continuance when, on the day of trial, the state's pathologist, in defendant's first degree murder trial, changed his opinion as to the victim's cause of death, from indeterminate to homicide. Murphy v. State, 143 Idaho 139, 139 P.3d 741 (Ct. App. 2006).

In a drug possession case, counsel was not ineffective for concluding that the petitioner was required to admit committing the elements of possession as a prerequisite to claiming that he was entrapped because, regardless of whether inconsistent defenses could have reasonably been argued, it was not professionally unreasonable to conclude that, in the absence of definitive authority, Idaho applied the general rule that the petitioner must necessarily admit committing an offense before he could claim entrapment. Suits v. State, 143 Idaho 160, 139 P.3d 762 (Ct. App. 2006).

Post-conviction court erred in summarily dismissing appellant's claim that counsel was ineffective in probation revocation proceedings for failing to challenge the terms of appellant's probation and failing to present mitigating evidence. Counsel's failure to present testimony from appellant's grandmother that would have contradicted the probation officer's testimony and counsel's failure to present evidence of appellant's untreated mental health problem raised a material question regarding the vigor and competence of his counsel's representation. Knutsen v. State, 144 Idaho 433, 163 P.3d 222 (Ct. App. 2007).

Defendant failed to raise any material issues of fact warranting an evidentiary hearing with regard to whether his trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by depriving him of his right to testify, because he failed to demonstrate a reasonable probability that had he testified, the jury's verdict would have been different. Kuehl v. State, 145 Idaho 607, 181 P.3d 533 (Ct. App. 2008).

Although defendant argued that his lawyer provided ineffective assistance by failing to argue in support of a motion for judgment of acquittal that the State impermissibly relied on the uncorroborated testimony of an accomplice — a confidential informant, the confidential informant was not an accomplice to defendant, and the rule prohibiting an accomplice's uncorroborated testimony did not apply. Because the argument would have failed if counsel had presented it, defendant's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel failed. State v. Chacon, 145 Idaho 814, 186 P.3d 670 (Ct. App. 2008).

Denial of the inmate's petition for postconviction relief was appropriate because he failed to establish that there existed admissible medical evidence of his alleged consistent impotence. Therefore, he failed to show that his counsel rendered deficient performance in not presenting the evidence in question. Curless v. State, 146 Idaho 95, 190 P.3d 914 (Ct. App. 2008).

Inmate's claim that his counsel had been ineffective due to trial court's exclusion of evidence his counsel had failed to disclose was without merit. The testimony about the two victims engaging in sexual acts with one another would have been just as likely to corroborate the victims' claims of abuse as it would have been to exonerate the inmate. Curless v. State, 146 Idaho 95, 190 P.3d 914 (Ct. App. 2008).

Defendant failed to meet his burden of showing that his counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to be present at the psychosexual evaluation (PSE), failing to move to suppress the PSE, failing to ensure that defendant was read his Miranda rights prior to the presentence investigative report, and failing to secure an independent psychiatric evaluation; defendant failed to meet his burden of showing prejudice resulting from his counsel's ineffective assistance in failing to advise him of his rights prior to the PSE, and the district court's order summarily dismissing defendant's application of post-conviction relief was affirmed. Hughes v. State, 148 Idaho 448, 224 P.3d 515 (Ct. App. 2009).

Before deciding whether to plead guilty, a defendant is entitled to the effective assistance of competent counsel. Defendant who entered a plea of guilty to first-degree murder was entitled to post-conviction relief where his counsel gave him erroneous advice that he would receive a fixed life sentence if he went to trial and only 10 years if he pleaded guilty: but, in fact, the district court sentenced defendant to an indeterminate life sentence with thirty years fixed. Booth v. State, 151 Idaho 612, 262 P.3d 255 (2011).

#### Miranda Rights.

By testifying on direct and cross-examination regarding the circumstances surrounding his arrest, defendant opened the door for the state to rebut the impression created by that testimony; because the comments elicited by the state did not exceed the scope of defendant's testimony, his right to due process was not violated when the detective testified about defendant's post-*Miranda* silence. State v. Dougherty, 142 Idaho 1, 121 P.3d 416 (Ct. App. 2005).

#### Notice.

Magistrate's order that defendant juvenile's mother reimburse county for the costs of defendant's detention was reversed on appeal, because meaningful, constitutional notice was not provided to defendant's mother. While it appeared that defendant's mother had notice of her son's disposition hearing, nothing in the record indicated that she had prior notice that detention costs could be imposed upon her at that hearing. In re Doe, 147 Idaho 542, 211 P.3d 787 (Ct. App. 2009).

Procedural due process protects the minimum guarantees of notice and a hearing where deprivation of a property interest may occur. The opportunity to be heard must occur at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner. Boise Tower Assocs., LLC v. Hogland, 147 Idaho 774, 215 P.3d 494 (2009).

A hearing prior to the deprivation of property is not required in all cases. Interim suspensions of licenses and temporary seizures of property may be undertaken without a pre-deprivation hearing, provided there is sufficient factual basis for the action and that prompt administrative or judicial review of the merits of the decision is available. Boise Tower Assocs., LLC v. Hogland, 147 Idaho 774, 215 P.3d 494 (2009).

#### Persistent Violator Enhancement Statute.

Persistent violator enhancement statute did not violate the equal protection clause, because there was no discernible classification of persons convicted of grand theft, when grand theft did not provide for alternative sentencing; defendant had a prior conviction for grand theft and a grand theft conviction was always a felony, therefore, all persons convicted of grand theft in Idaho would have acquired one felony for enhancement purposes. State v. Haggard, 146 Idaho 37, 190 P.3d 193 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### Post-conviction Relief.

In defendant's motion for post-conviction relief after being convicted of marijuana trafficking, trial court improperly refused to either appoint counsel, or give notice as to why the claim was frivolous. Although the allegations in defendant's claim for relief were insufficient to state a claim, a subsequent letter to the court raised a valid issue regarding trial counsel's failure to seek suppression of evidence based on a violation of the knock and announce rule, and under the lenient standard which should have been applied, this

was sufficient to raise the possibility of a valid claim. Plant v. State, 143 Idaho 758, 152 P.3d 629 (Ct. App. 2006).

In a capital case, the heightened burden of proof in § 19-2719, requiring that petitioner show that the claims in his fourth petition for post-conviction relief were not known and could not have reasonably been known within 42 days of judgment, does not violate petitioners' due process rights. Stuart v. State, 149 Idaho 35, 232 P.3d 813 (2010), cert. denied, — U.S. —, 131 S. Ct. 1472, 179 L. Ed. 2d 313 (2011).

#### Prosecutorial Misconduct.

A defendant did not suffer a violation of her due process rights on the ground that there were inconsistencies in the prosecution of three co-defendants' separate trials, because the prosecution did not advance a different theory or inconsistent evidence and the State maintained throughout each trial that the defendant and her three co-defendants were all culpable as assailants in the same attack. State v. Pearce, 146 Idaho 241, 192 P.3d 1065 (2008).

When an objection to alleged prosecutorial misconduct was raised at trial, on review, an appellate court must use a two-part test to determine whether the misconduct requires reversal. First, the court asks whether the prosecutor's challenged action was improper. If it was not, then there was no prosecutorial misconduct. If the conduct was improper, the court then considers whether the misconduct prejudiced the defendant's right to a fair trial or whether it was harmless. The defendant carries the burden of proving prejudice. When a defendant is unable to demonstrate prejudice, the misconduct will be regarded as harmless error. State v. Severson, 147 Idaho 694, 215 P.3d 414 (2009).

A prosecutor's comment on a defendant's failure to call a witness does not shift the burden of proof, and is, therefore, permissible, so long as the prosecutor does not violate the defendant's Fifth Amendment rights by commenting on the defendant's failure to testify. State v. Mendoza, 151 Idaho 623, 262 P.3d 266 (Ct. App. 2011).

#### Right to Counsel.

In prosecution for sexual abuse charges, defendant's decision to discharge his counsel and re-open case to present dubious hypnosis defense was knowing and voluntary. Although court did not issue complete warnings at time of waiver, defendant had been fully warned prior to trial of the risks of proceeding pro se, had no mental illness, had not been threatened or advised to proceed without a lawyer, and possessed sufficient education to understand the consequences of his decision. Although his decision may not have been wise, it was knowing and voluntary. State v.

Dalrymple, 144 Idaho 628, 167 P.3d 765 (2007).

Appellant filed a pro se petition for post-conviction relief alleging ineffective assistance of counsel, but, after years of neglect by his appointed attorneys, his petition for post-conviction relief was dismissed for inactivity pursuant to Idaho R. Civ. P. 40(c). The supreme court held appellant was permitted to seek relief from the judgment of dismissal under Idaho R. Civ. P. 60(b). However, appellant was not entitled to appointment of new counsel under § 19-856, upon remand, because there is no constitutional right to an attorney in state post-conviction proceedings. Eby v. State, 148 Idaho 731, 228 P.3d 998 (2010).

Where the district court provided defendant an opportunity to express grounds for his dissatisfaction with counsel, but found his reasons not compelling, the appellate court did not find that defendant's substantial rights were prejudiced by the district court's decision or that the district court abused its discretion by denying his motion for a continuance to obtain alternate counsel. State v. Dewitt, — Idaho —, 289 P.3d 60 (Ct. App. 2012).

### -Post-conviction Proceedings.

Trial court erred in summarily dismissing pro se inmate's application for post-conviction relief without first giving notice of perceived deficiencies in the pleading and appointing counsel to assist the inmate in developing the claims to present a viable basis for relief. Newman v. State, 140 Idaho 491, 95 P.3d 642 (Ct. App. 2004).

#### -Waiver.

On motion to suppress evidence obtained as a result of interrogation, district court improperly ended its analysis with the fact that defendant had instigated contact with investigators. Since defendant had been formally charged and counsel appointed, court should have considered whether his waiver was knowing, intelligent and voluntary. State v. Contreras-Gonzales, 146 Idaho 41, 190 P.3d 197 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### Self-Incrimination.

In an injury to a child case, defendant's right to remain silent was not violated by the prosecutor's comment on defendant's silence because the testimony and comments did not explicitly state that defendant had declined to answer questions about how the child received the bruises or directly ask the jury to infer defendant's guilt from his silence. Additionally, other evidence overwhelmingly demonstrated defendant's guilt. State v. Timmons, 145 Idaho 279, 178 P.3d 644 (Ct. App. 2007).

Defendant was charged with lewd conduct with a child. When he chose to represent

himself, he had the right to cross-examine the victim/child directly, rather than by providing written questions to be asked by standby counsel through closed circuit television. State v. Folk, 151 Idaho 327, 256 P.3d 735 (2011).

#### Self-Representation.

Defendant's waiver of counsel was valid, and the district court's decision to deny him an investigator, was not error where the district judge advised defendant of the dangers of self-representation and recommended against going to trial without the assistance of counsel, where the defendant had been declared not only competent but also of above average intelligence and defendant was provided with an attorney advisor. State v. Lovelace, 140 Idaho 73, 90 P.3d 298, cert. denied, 543 U.S. 936, 125 S. Ct. 323, 160 L. Ed. 2d 242 (2004).

#### Speedy Trial.

Denial of defendant's motion to dismiss his criminal case after he was charged with three felonies for the alleged violation of his constitutional speedy trial rights was appropriate because defendant contributed in some measure to the delay, in part by indicating that he would waive his speedy trial rights at an early point in the proceedings and by failing to demand a prompt trial. State v. Lopez, 144 Idaho 349, 160 P.3d 1284 (Ct. App. 2007).

Defendant's rights to a speedy trial were not violated by a delay of a little over seven months from the date when defendant was charged. The delay was minimal and there was no cause of delay that was heavily chargeable to the state. Defendant did not demand a speedy trial until late in the proceedings, and anxiety experienced by the defendant was insufficient to support a claim of a speedy trial violation. State v. Crockett, 151 Idaho 674, 263 P.3d 139 (Ct. App. 2011).

Defendant's right to a speedy trial was not violated; the state demonstrated good cause for the delay in bringing defendant to trial because witnesses, who were active duty military personnel assigned to temporary duty outside the state, were unavailable. It also was reasonable for the state to wait for the conclusion of the Air Force investigation of the incident. State v. Ciccone, — Idaho —, 297 P.3d 1147 (Ct. App. 2012).

Defendant failed to show his constitutional or statutory speedy trial rights were violated, because defendant stipulated multiple times to delays, there was no issue of oppressive pretrial incarceration, and it was defendant's choice to leave the state while charges were pending. State v. Risdon, — Idaho —, 296 P.3d 1091 (Ct. App. 2012).

**A.L.R.** Denial of accused's request for initial contact with attorney in cases involving offenses other than drunk driving — Cases

focusing on presence of inculpatory statements. 124 A.L.R.5th 1.

Failure of state prosecutor to disclose existence of plea bargain or other deals with witness as violating due process. 12 A.L.R.6th 267.

Adoption and application of "tainted" approach or "dual motivation" analysis in determining whether existence of single discriminatory reason for peremptory strike results in automatic Batson violation when neutral reasons also have been articulated. 15 A.L.R.6th 319.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding guilty pleas — Coercion or duress. 19 A.L.R.6th 411.

Voluntary nature of confession as affected by appeal to religious beliefs. 20 A.L.R.6th 479.

Failure of state prosecutor to disclose exculpatory tape recorded evidence as violating due process. 24 A.L.R.6th 1.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" at hospital by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — Suspect injured or taken ill. 25 A.L.R.6th 379.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" of juvenile by police officer within rule of Miranda v. Arizona requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At police station or sheriff's office. 26 A.L.R.6th 451.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation—At suspect's or third party's residence. 28 A.L.R.6th 505.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" of adult by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At police station or sheriff's office, where defendant voluntarily appears or appears at request of law enforcement personnel, or where unspecified as to circumstances upon which defendant is present. 29 A.L.R.6th 1.

Comment note: Construction and application of supreme court's ruling in *Crawford v. Washington*, 541 U.S. 36, 124 S. Ct. 1354, 158 L. Ed. 2d 177, 63 Fed. R. Evid. Serv. 1077 (2004), with respect to confrontation clause challenges to admissibility of hearsay statement by declarant whom defendant had no opportunity to cross-examine. 30 A.L.R.6th 1.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" at hospital by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — Suspect hospital patient. 30 A.L.R.6th 103.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding guilty pleas — Probation, parole, or pardon possibilities. 31 A.L.R.6th 49.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" at hospital by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — Suspect hospital visitor, not patient. 31 A.L.R.6th 465.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" of adult by police officer within rule of Miranda v. Arizona requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At police station or sheriff's office, where defendant is escorted or accompanied by law enforcement personnel, or is otherwise at station or office involuntarily. 32 A.L.R.6th 1.

Determination of request for exclusion of public from state criminal trial in order to preserve safety, confidentiality, or well-being of witness who is not undercover police officer— Issues of proof, consideration of alternatives, and scope of closure. 32 A.L.R.6th 171.

Basis for exclusion of public from state criminal trial in order to preserve safety, confidentiality, or well-being of witness who is not undercover police officer. 33 A.L.R.6th 1.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At police vehicle, where defendant outside, but in immediate vicinity. 34 A.L.R.6th 1.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of Miranda v. Arizona requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At police vehicle, where defendant in moving vehicle, or where unspecified as to whether vehicle moving or stationary. 35 A.L.R.6th 127.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — In jail or prison. 38 A.L.R.6th 97.

Application of stigma-plus due process claims to education context. 41 A.L.R.6th 391.

Propriety of using otherwise inadmissible statement, taken in violation of *Miranda* rule, to impeach criminal defendant's credibility — State cases. 42 A.L.R.6th 237.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding entrapment defense — State cases. 43 A.L.R.6th 475.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — Upon hotel property. 45 A.L.R.6th 337.

Suppression of statements made during police interview of non-english-speaking defendant, 49 A.L.R.6th 343.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" within rule of requiring that suspect be informed of his federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — Private security guards, detectives, or police. 51 A.L.R.6th 219.

Failure of state prosecutor to disclose exculpatory physical evidence as violating due process — Weapons. 53 A.L.R.6th 81.

Failure of state prosecutor to disclose exculpatory physical evidence as violating due process — Personal items other than weapons. 55 A.L.R.6th 391.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At nonpolice vehicle for traffic stop, where defendant outside, but in immediate vicinity of vehicle, or where unspecified as to whether inside or outside of nonpolice vehicle. 55 A.L.R.6th 513.

Failure of state prosecutor to disclose exculpatory physical evidence as violating due process — Evidence other than weapons or personal items. 56 A.L.R.6th 185.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — In nonpolice vehicle for traffic stop. 56 A.L.R.6th 323.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At nonpolice vehicle for other than traffic stop. 57 A.L.R.6th 83.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" by police officer within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — Where unspecified as to precise location of roadside questioning by law enforcement officers. 58 A.L.R.6th 215.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At suspect's place of employment or business. 58 A.L.R.6th 439.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" within rule of *Miranda v. Arizona* requiring that suspect be informed of federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At school. 59 A.L.R.6th 393.

When does use of pepper spray, mace, or other similar chemical irritants constitute violation of constitutional rights. 65 A.L.R.6th 93.

What constitutes "custodial interrogation" within rule of requiring that suspect be informed of his or her federal constitutional rights before custodial interrogation — At border or functional equivalent of border. 68 A.L.R.6th 607.

Criminal defendant's right to electronic recordation of interrogations and confessions. 69 A.L.R.6th 579.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding search and seizure issues — Pretrial motions — Suppression motions where no warrant involved. 71 A.L.R.6th 1.

Propriety and prejudicial effect of requiring defendant to wear stun belt or shock belt during course of state criminal trial. 71 A.L.R.6th 625.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding search and seizure issues — Pretrial motions — Suppression motions where warrant was involved. 72 A.L.R.6th 1.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for the truth — Underlying homicide and assault offenses. 72 A.L.R.6th 437.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying drug offenses. 73 A.L.R.6th 49.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for the truth — Underlying sexual offenses. 74 A.L.R.6th 69.

Construction and application by state courts of supreme court's ruling in *Padilla v. Kentucky*, 130 S. Ct. 1473, 176 L. Ed. 2d 284 (2010), that defense counsel has obligation to advise defendant that entering guilty plea could result in deportation. 74 A.L.R.6th 373.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying weapons offenses. 75 A.L.R.6th 443.

Due process afforded in drug court proceedings, 78 A.L.R.6th 1.

Construction and application of *Illinois v. Lidster*, 540 U.S. 419, 124 S. Ct. 885, 157 L. Ed. 2d 843 (2004), governing validity of police roadblock, checkpoint, or other detention of vehicle for gathering of information. 78 A.L.R.6th 213.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying vehicular offenses. 79 A.L.R.6th 325.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying theft and burglary offenses. 80 A.L.R.6th 239.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for the truth — Underlying miscellaneous offenses. 81 A.L.R.6th 257.

Construction and application of booking question exception to *Miranda*. 81 A.L.R.6th 505.

Construction and application of constitutional rule of *Miranda* — Supreme court cases. 17 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 465.

## § 14. Right of eminent domain.

Analysis

Access to property.
Constitutionality.
Loss of visibility.
Private use or gain.
Unauthorized fees and charges.

Access to Property.

Relocation of an access road was permitted under § 55-313, and did not require the consent of the neighboring dominant estate holders; the relocation did not constitute a taking, and there was no genuine issue of material fact as to whether the neighbors sustained an injury. Statewide Constr., Inc. v. Pietri, 150 Idaho 423, 247 P.3d 650, overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

State action that merely results in a change in traffic flow requiring traffic to reach property by a more circuitous route does not amount to a taking as a matter of law. It is only where a previously existing access right is destroyed, or at least substantially impaired, leaving no reasonable alternative, that Idaho courts have recognized a compensable taking of access. State v. HI Boise, LLC, — Idaho —, 282 P.3d 595 (2012).

Constitutionality.

The immunity provision found at former § 22-4803A(6) [now see §§ 39-114 and 52-108] does not effect a taking in violation of the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution or this section. It also does not violate Idaho Const. art. I, § 1 or the prohibition against local or special laws found in Idaho Const. art. III, § 19. The statute is constitutional. Moon v. N. Idaho Farmers Ass'n, 140 Idaho 536, 96 P.3d 637 (2004), cert.

Construction and application of Speedy Trial Act, 18 USCS §§ 3161 to 3174 — United States supreme court cases. 46 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 129.

Comment note: Ineffective assistance of counsel in removal proceedings — Legal bases of entitlement to representation and requisites to establish prima facie case of ineffectiveness. 58 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 363.

Comment note: Ineffective assistance of counsel in removal proceedings — Particular acts. 59 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 151.

Comment note: Ineffective assistance of counsel in removal proceedings — Particular omissions or failures. 60 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 59.

denied, 543 U.S. 1146, 125 S. Ct. 1299, 161 L. Ed. 2d 106 (2005).

Loss of Visibility.

Loss of visibility is not a compensable property right for a business in and of itself, unless some of the improvements alleged to obstruct visibility are located on land taken from the business through condemnation. State v. HI Boise, LLC, — Idaho —, 282 P.3d 595 (2012).

#### Private Use or Gain.

Private individuals may not take the property of other private individuals in order to enhance their purely private enjoyment of their own property; the proposed use need not be strictly public, but it must benefit the public welfare or the economy of the state. Backman v. Lawrence, 147 Idaho 390, 210 P.3d 75 (2009).

Unauthorized Fees and Charges.

City's exaction of a liquor license transfer fee could constitute the taking of property without just compensation where the city had no authority to charge a transfer fee. BHA Invs., Inc. v. City of Boise, 141 Idaho 168, 108 P.3d 315 (2005).

**A.L.R.** Application of *Kelo v. City of New London*, 545 U.S. 469, 125 S. Ct. 2655, 162 L. Ed. 2d 439 (2005), to "public use" restrictions in federal and state constitutions takings clauses and eminent domain statutes. 21 A.L.R.6th 261.

Elements and measure of compensation in eminent domain proceeding for temporary taking of property. 49 A.L.R.6th 205.

Zoning scheme, plan, or ordinance as temporary taking. 55 A.L.R.6th 635.

Construction and application of "public use" restriction in Fifth Amendment's takings slause — United States supreme court cases. 10 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 407.

## § 15. Imprisonment for debt prohibited.

#### Theft.

Theft statute could not be interpreted to include mere nonpayment of debt, as it would run afoul of this section, which specifies that

there shall be no imprisonment for debt in this state, except in cases of fraud. State v. Culbreth, 146 Idaho 322, 193 P.3d 869 (Ct. App. 2008).

## § 16. Bills of attainder, etc., prohibited.

#### Analysis

Bills of attainder. Ex post facto laws.

Persistent violator enhancement statute.

#### Bills of Attainder.

Post-conviction relief was properly denied because defendant's counsel was not ineffective for failing to argue that \$ 18-3316 was unconstitutional as a bill of attainder and an ex post facto law. Counsel was not required to raise a nonmeritorious issue in the district court. Zivkovic v. State, 150 Idaho 783, 251 P.3d 611 (Ct. App.), cert. denied, — U.S. —, 132 S. Ct. 555, 181 L. Ed. 2d 401 (2011).

#### Ex Post Facto Laws.

Idaho's Sexual Offender Registration Notification and Community Right-to-Know Act, § 18-8301 et seq., is not punitive and, therefore, is not an impermissible ex post facto law. State v. Gragg, 143 Idaho 74, 137 P.3d 461 (Ct. App. 2005).

Aggravated DUI defendant was not being prosecuted for any offense which he committed before the 2006 amendment to § 18-8005(5), and his exposure to prosecution for the present offense had not even arisen, let alone expired, when the statute was amended; defendant was not being punished

in the present case for the offenses he committed in 2001 and 2003, and he was prosecuted only for the DUI that he committed in 2007, about a year after the Idaho legislature amended the statute. State v. Lamb, 147 Idaho 133, 206 P.3d 497 (Ct. App. 2009).

The Family Law License Suspensions Act, § 7-1401 et seq., does not violate the ex post facto prohibition of this section or U.S. Const., art. I, § 9, cl. 3, because the act does not invoke criminal jurisprudence. Wheeler v. Idaho Dep't of Health & Welfare, 147 Idaho 257, 207 P.3d 988 (2009).

#### Persistent Violator Enhancement Statute.

Persistent violator enhancement statute was not an illegal bill of attainder because it did not single out a specific group or individual, it did not impose punishment, and judicial protections were a required prerequisite to the imposition of an enhanced sentence pursuant to its terms. State v. Haggard, 146 Idaho 37, 190 P.3d 193 (Ct. App. 2008).

**A.L.R.** Construction and application of U.S. Const. Art. I, § 9, cl. 3, proscribing federal bills of attainder. 62 A.L.R.6th 517.

Construction and application of U.S. Const. Art. I, § 10, cl. 1, and state constitutional provisions proscribing state bills of attainder. 63 A.L.R.6th 1.

## § 17. Unreasonable searches and seizures prohibited.

**Cited in:** State v. Jones, 151 Idaho 943, 265 P.3d 1155 (Ct. App. 2011).

#### Analysis

Arrest.

-Probable cause.

Blood alcohol test.

Blood draw.

Consent.

Construction.

—Affidavit.

Control of premises.

Exception to warrant requirement.

Exclusion of evidence.

Exigent circumstances.

Expectation of privacy.

Field sobriety tests.

Freedom to leave.

Inventory search.

Investigative stop.

Knock and announce.

Motion to suppress.

Parolee.

Plain view.

Probable cause.

—Affidavit.

—Detention.

-Reasonable suspicion.

Probationers.

Protective frisk.

Protective sweeps.

Rental vehicle.

Search

—Automobile.

-Exceeding scope of warrant.

-Pat-down.

Search incident to arrest.

Search warrants.

In general.Probable cause.

Seizure. Standing.

Statements.
Telephonic warrant.

Traffic stop.

#### Arrest.

#### -Probable Cause.

A temporarily opened attached garage is a place in which a person would have a reasonable expectation of privacy. Nevertheless, police officers who activated their overhead lights as defendant pulled into his driveway, and then followed him into his garage, had probable cause to arrest defendant for battery based on victim's specific identification of him as her attacker and their observation that he and his vehicle matched the description provided by the victim. State v. Jenkins, 143 Idaho 918, 155 P.3d 1157 (2007).

Officer had probable cause to make a warrantless arrest for DUI, where officer observed open beer cans in the vehicle where defendant sat in the driver's seat, defendant had slightly glazed eyes and slurred speech, there was an odor of alcohol present, defendant admitted to consuming alcohol, and defendant drove his vehicle across the parking lot immediately after the officers advised him not to. State v. Martinez-Gonzalez, 152 Idaho 775, 275 P.3d 1 (Ct. App. 2012).

#### **Blood Alcohol Test.**

Although a driver impiedly consents to a blood-alcohol test, when there is reasonable suspicion, by operating a motor vehicle on state highways, the fourth amendment and this section require police to perform the test in a medically acceptable manner and with the use of only reasonable force. Miller v. Idaho State Patrol, 150 Idaho 856, 252 P.3d 1274 (2011).

#### Blood Draw.

Where DUI defendant ultimately refused to submit to breathalyzer, police officer's transporting him to a hospital and requesting a blood draw over defendant's objection was not a violation of defendant's constitutional rights, as defendant's implied consent to search fell within the well-recognized consent exception to warrantless searches, and the actions of police were reasonable under the circumstances. State v. Diaz, 144 Idaho 300, 160 P.3d 739 (2007).

#### Consent.

Where police officers had observed defendant smoking a marijuana cigarette, their statement that defendant would be subject to arrest if he did not turn over what drugs he had did not render defendant's subsequent consent to search his truck involuntary, as it

merely informed defendant of their intention to do something that was within their authority based on the circumstances. State v. Garcia, 143 Idaho 774, 152 P.3d 645 (Ct. App. 2006).

Where a homeowner's guardian authorized the police to search the homeowner's house, the warrantless entry into defendant's locked room was unreasonable and required suppression of evidence because (1) defendant had an expectation of privacy, (2) the guardian lacked actual authority to consent to the police search due to a lack of common authority, and (3) there was no apparent authority to consent. State v. Fancher, 145 Idaho 832, 186 P.3d 688 (Ct. App. 2008).

Although time lapse of forty minutes and the fact that the officers did not know which apartment defendant had entered rendered initial entry into defendant's apartment unjustified by the hot pursuit exception, defendant's wife's subsequent consents were voluntarily given, and she signed a written voluntary consent form that contained language informing her of her constitutional right to refuse consent. State v. Ballou, 145 Idaho 840, 186 P.3d 696 (Ct. App. 2008).

Police officers reasonably believed a probationer, who lived in an RV on defendant's property, had authority to consent to a search of the common areas of defendant's home. Without basic amenities in his RV, it appeared that the probationer was not merely a guest, but rather used defendant's house as an extension of his own home. State v. Hansen, 151 Idaho 342, 256 P.3d 750 (2011).

#### Construction.

Although the wording of this section and the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution is similar, the supreme court of Idaho, at times, construes the provisions of the Idaho Constitution to grant greater protection than that afforded under the United States supreme court's interpretation of the federal Constitution. State v. Fees, 140 Idaho 81, 90 P.3d 306 (2004).

Although defendant argued that both constitutions were violated, he provided no cogent reason why this section was to be applied differently than the Fourth Amendment in this case, and thus the court relied on judicial interpretation of the Fourth Amendment in its analysis of defendant's claims. State v. Bordeaux, 148 Idaho 1, 217 P.3d 1 (Ct. App. 2009).

#### -Affidavit.

Word "affidavit," as used in this section, is broad enough to include the recording of sworn testimony. State v. Fees, 140 Idaho 81, 90 P.3d 306 (2004).

#### Control of Premises.

Summary dismissal of inmate's petition for postconviction relief on the basis of ineffective

counsel was improper. Inmate has been convicted of manufacturing methamphetamine in a rented shed which had been searched pursuant to consent by property resident. Defense counsel's failure to challenge search was prejudicial. Lint v. State, 145 Idaho 472, 180 P.3d 511 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### **Exception to Warrant Requirement.**

Because no evidence of regular and largescale drug trafficking by defendant was presented to the magistrate, the state's claim that an exception to the warrant requirement allowed a finding of probable cause to search a drug dealer's home where there was evidence showing that the homeowner was engaged in regular and large-scale drug trafficking was without merit. State v. Belden, 148 Idaho 277, 220 P.3d 1096 (Ct. App. 2009).

#### **Exclusion of Evidence.**

While police officer's initial detention of defendant was without reasonable suspicion, it ended when defendant jumped up from picnic table where officer was questioning him and ran. Thus, methamphetamine dropped by defendant when he was tackled was not suppressible under the fruit of the poisonous tree theory. Had defendant remained at table, however, evidence would have been suppressible. State v. Zuniga, 143 Idaho 431, 146 P.3d 697 (Ct. App. 2006).

Issue of whether police officers' entry of defendant's home was unlawful was immaterial, because officers did not exploit the intrusion to obtain any evidence. Even though officers acquired evidence of defendant's violent actions in close temporal proximity to their allegedly unlawful intrusion, any causal connection between the entry and the acquisition of evidence was broken by defendant's illegal acts of shooting at the police officers. State v. Deisz, 145 Idaho 826, 186 P.3d 682 (Ct. App. 2008).

Where a homeowner's guardian authorized the police to search the homeowner's house and the warrantless entry into defendant's locked room was unreasonable, evidence seized inside the room and defendant's statements to police immediately after the search had to be suppressed; however, evidence obtained as a result of interviews with other residents was not suppressed because their identities were not discovered as a result of the illegal search and the officers already had a motive to question the residents about drugs before they found drugs and paraphernalia in defendant's room. State v. Fancher, 145 Idaho 832, 186 P.3d 688 (Ct. App. 2008).

Methamphetamine discovered during a search following an arrest pursuant to an invalid warrant of attachment is not admissible into evidence, as the search is violative of this section. No "good faith" exception is ap-

plicable. State v. Koivu, 152 Idaho 511, 272 P.3d 483 (2012).

#### **Exigent Circumstances.**

Warrantless entry into a residence to preserve evidence of the felony crime of trafficking in marijuana was not invalid because it was done before the search warrant hearing to preserve evidence of a nonviolent crime. State v. Fees, 140 Idaho 81, 90 P.3d 306 (2004).

United States supreme court holds that where officers, having probable cause, enter premises, and with probable cause, arrest the occupants who have legitimate possessory interests in its contents and take them into custody and, for no more than the period here involved, secure the premises from within to preserve the status quo while others, in good faith, are in the process of obtaining a warrant, they do not violate the Fourth Amendment's proscription against unreasonable seizures. State v. Fees, 140 Idaho 81, 90 P.3d 306 (2004).

In prosecution for possession and trafficking of methamphetamine, trial court erred in denying defendants motion to suppress evidence obtained as a result of police officer's entry, along with firefighters, into defendant's garage after a fire had been put out. There was no exigency that would allow police to follow emergency personnel into the garage without a search warrant, because the firefighters did not find any contraband or evidence of a crime while investigating the fire's cause and they did not request the assistance of a police officer. State v. Bunting, 142 Idaho 908, 136 P.3d 379 (Ct. App. 2006).

In prosecution for trafficking in marijuana, trial court properly denied defendant's motion to suppress evidence obtained as a result of firefighters' entry into his warehouse to investigate the cause of a nearby grass fire. The possibility of electrical problem in the building caused a need to investigate, and the late hour and absence of anyone to provide access to the building compelled a need to act, and there was no time to get a search warrant or consent. The heightened privacy expectation for a private home was absent, and the firefighters were not seeking crime evidence. State v. O'Keefe, 143 Idaho 278, 141 P.3d 1147 (Ct. App. 2006).

Exigent circumstances existed so as to permit officers to enter home of DUI suspect and make a warrantless arrest, where they were speaking with her at the threshold of the door while she was four feet inside the home, she smelled of alcohol and slurred her speech, she had admitted to drinking and driving, which was corroborated by witnesses, and where there was a risk of imminent destruction of evidence through the dissipation of her blood

alcohol content. State v. Robinson, 144 Idaho 496, 163 P.3d 1208 (Ct. App. 2007).

The distinction between felony and misdemeanor for purposes of the exigent circumstances doctrine no longer has a valid basis in state or federal law. The logical implication from the state and federal cases is that jailable misdemeanors are encompassed within the exigent circumstances exception allowing warrantless entry to preserve evidence. State v. Robinson, 144 Idaho 496, 163 P.3d 1208 (Ct. App. 2007).

Blood draw of unconscious DUI suspect was permissible under the exigent circumstances exception to a warrant and also pursuant to defendant's implied consent. State v. Dewitt, 145 Idaho 709, 184 P.3d 215 (Ct. App. 2008).

Warrantless entry into a residence was permissible under the exigent circumstances exception to the warrant requirement where the officers had a legitimate concern for the safety of the individuals inside the residence. An officer had seen a man attempting to enter or leave the premises via a window, the identity of the man could not be confirmed, family members of the house's resident did not know the man, and repeated attempts to communicate with those inside the house went unanswered. State v. Araiza, 147 Idaho 371, 209 P.3d 668 (Ct. App. 2009).

#### Expectation of Privacy.

Where a driver fails to produce a valid driver's license, proof of insurance, and registration, there is no reason to prohibit an officer from checking both the dashboard and doorjamb vehicle identification numbers (VIN) as a precaution to ensure that the vehicle is not stolen; therefore, a motion to suppress evidence was properly denied where an officer saw marijuana seeds on the floorboard of vehicle as he opened a driver's door to find a VIN. Defendant had no recognized privacy interest in the VIN, the officer's invasion was minimal, the officer was permitted to open the vehicle door, and glancing around the vehicle was not a search. State v. Metzger, 144 Idaho 397, 162 P.3d 776 (Ct. App. 2007).

Warrantless search of temporary structure built on public forest lands violated defendant's reasonable expectation of privacy, because, even though he was a squatter, defendant had not been asked to leave by department of lands. Search was not incident to arrest, as it began 45 minutes afterwards. Search could also not be justified under plain view doctrine, as items lawfully viewed from outside of hooch could have been used as basis for telephonic search warrant instead. State v. Pruss, 145 Idaho 623, 181 P.3d 1231 (2008).

Where a homeowner's guardian authorized the police to search the homeowner's house, the warrantless entry into defendant's locked room was unreasonable and required suppression of evidence because, inter alia, defendant had an expectation of privacy since defendant was a resident and took specific steps to maintain defendant's privacy within the house by locking the door. State v. Fancher, 145 Idaho 832, 186 P.3d 688 (Ct. App. 2008).

In trial for transferring body fluid that might contain human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) after engaging in oral sex with woman without advising her of his HIV status, trial court properly denied defendant's motion to suppress documents released by health department to prosecutor's office. Defendant had submitted laboratory reports documenting his HIV status to health department in order to obtain HIV-related services, and he, thereby, assumed risk that the documents would be further disclosed. State v. Mubita, 145 Idaho 925, 188 P.3d 867 (2008), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

#### Field Sobriety Tests.

Defendant's consent to perform field sobriety tests was not required. Defendant acknowledged that the initial detention for investigation of DUI was based on reasonable suspicion and, therefore, was permissible. Trial court did not err in denying defendant's motion to suppress evidence of defendant's performance on field sobriety tests. State v. Buell, 145 Idaho 54, 175 P.3d 216 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### Freedom to Leave.

Trial court improperly suppressed cocaine found in defendant's car on the ground that a police officer's use of a spotlight to illuminate defendant's car amounted to a display of authority sufficient to create a detention under this section and the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution. The use of a spotlight alone, without the police's emergency lights, would not lead a reasonable person to believe that he was not free to leave, although it could be considered under the totality of the circumstances. State v. Baker, 141 Idaho 163, 107 P.3d 1214 (2004).

Where officers told defendant that "he needed to come speak to them" without any reasonable suspicion he had engaged in illegal activity, an illegal seizure had occurred, as the officers' language was sufficiently coercive as to make defendant feel he was not free to leave. Consequently, physical evidence obtained in a subsequent consent search was suppressed. State v. Cardenas, 143 Idaho 903, 155 P.3d 704 (Ct. App. 2006).

#### Inventory Search.

Trial court erred in denying defendant's motion to suppress evidence of marijuana and heroin found in a locked safe recovered from his apartment while officers were removing items pursuant to a writ of execution; any suspicion the officers had that the safe contained contraband did not alleviate the necessity for the sheriff's department to either get a warrant or to establish, and its officers to follow, a standardized criteria for dealing with locked containers discovered during an inventory search. State v. Owen, 143 Idaho 274, 141 P.3d 1143 (Ct. App. 2006).

#### Investigative Stop.

During a traffic stop, defendant was not unreasonably detained when one officer requested identification of the driver of the vehicle and another officer requested identification of the rear passengers. State v. Roe, 140 Idaho 176, 90 P.3d 926 (Ct. App. 2004).

Second officer's visual inspection of vehicle interior with flashlight during and briefly after issuance of a speeding citation to the drivers by first officer did not constitute an unreasonable search and seizure. State v. Gomez, 144 Idaho 865, 172 P.3d 1140 (Ct. App.), review denied, 2007 Ida. LEXIS 232 (2007).

Based on a response to a possible burglary, an officer was justified in conducting a *Terry* frisk that led the officer to the lawful discovery of contraband cigarettes in juvenile defendant's pocket. Upon defendant's admission that he also possessed marijuana, the officer was permitted to reach into defendant's pocket and remove the marijuana. State v. Doe (In re Doe), 145 Idaho 980, 188 P.3d 922 (Ct. App. 2008).

The length and scope of an initial investigatory detention may be lawfully expanded, if there exist objective and specific articulable facts that justify suspicion that the detained person is, has been, or is about to be engaged in criminal activity. State v. Grantham, 146 Idaho 490, 198 P.3d 128 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### Knock and Announce.

District court erred in denying suppression motion of defendant charged with possession of a controlled substance with intent to deliver; the five seconds the police waited after a knock and announce was not a reasonable length of time to allow an occupant of defendant's home to answer the door in the early morning, when no exigency existed or arose and the alleged volume of drugs in the home was itself insufficient to create reasonable suspicion of an exigency allowing the police to almost immediately enter the home after knocking and announcing. State v. Ramos, 142 Idaho 628, 130 P.3d 1166 (Ct. App. 2005).

In defendant's motion for post-conviction relief after being convicted of marijuana trafficking, trial court improperly refused to either appoint counsel, or give notice as to why the claim was frivolous. Although the allegations in defendant's claim for relief were insufficient to state a claim, a subsequent letter to the court raised a valid issue regarding trial counsel's failure to seek suppression of evidence based on a violation of the knock and announce rule, and under the lenient standard which should have been applied, this was sufficient to raise the possibility of a valid claim. Plant v. State, 143 Idaho 758, 152 P.3d 629 (Ct. App. 2006).

#### Motion to Suppress.

Because a stop was made under reasonable suspicion and the detention of defendant was related to the purpose of the stop, the court affirmed the denial of defendant's motion to suppress. State v. Bordeaux, 148 Idaho 1, 217 P.3d 1 (Ct. App. 2009).

#### Parolee.

Parolee is entitled to no greater Fourth Amendment protections in another's apartment than he has received or can assert with respect to his own home, and the Idaho Constitution offers no greater protection; therefore, a motion to suppress evidence should have been denied in a case where a parole officer, after receiving a tip, conducted a search of a residence where defendant may have been residing to determine if he was complying with the conditions of parole. State v. Cruz, 144 Idaho 906, 174 P.3d 876 (Ct. App. 2007).

#### Plain View.

Multiple searches of defendant's home and barns all stemmed from police officers' initial observation of a stolen utility trailer's serial number. As the trial court made no factual findings as to whether this serial number was in open view from a legal vantage point, the case was remanded for this issue to be determined. State v. Tietsort, 145 Idaho 112, 175 P.3d 801 (Ct. App. 2007).

#### Probable Cause.

Detective indicated in an affidavit that he was informed by pharmacy employees that defendant and his co-actors were purchasing large amounts of pseudoephedrine that they obtained from the pharmacies and that the detective had personally observed the names of defendant and the co-actors in the pharmacies' written pseudoephedrine logs; thus, under the Fourth Amendment, this section, and Idaho R. Crim. P. 4(e), the requisite probable cause established that defendant was involved in illegal drug activity and that there was a fair probability that contraband or evidence of that activity would be found at defendant's residence. State v. Harper, 152 Idaho 93, 266 P.3d 1198 (Ct. App. 2011).

#### -Affidavit.

Trial court did not err in dismissing defendant's motion for postconviction relief, because counsel was not ineffective for failing to file a motion to suppress; the information in an affidavit from an officer who conducted the undercover operation was sufficient to provide probable cause for the issuance of a warrant. Wolf v. State, 152 Idaho 64, 266 P.3d 1169 (Ct. App. 2011).

#### —Detention.

Border patrol agent had not finally confirmed defendant's immigration status as a United States citizen until they arrived at the jail, after marijuana had been discovered; thus, the detention was not extended by the use of a drug dog, as the purpose of the stop for an immigration check had not been concluded. State v. Bordeaux, 148 Idaho 1, 217 P.3d 1 (Ct. App. 2009).

#### -Reasonable Suspicion.

In prosecution for driving under the influence, trial court erred in suppressing evidence obtained after police officers approached stopped vehicle which they had just observed driving without headlights and with its passenger door open and, after observing defendant curled up on the floor behind the front seats, opened door and ordered defendant to exit vehicle, because at that point, the officers possessed a reasonable suspicion to detain defendant driver for the traffic violations they had witnessed. State v. Irwin, 143 Idaho 102, 137 P.3d 1024 (Ct. App. 2006).

In a methamphetamine trafficking case, evidence seized from defendant should have been suppressed as fruit of an illegal detention as the officers did not have a reasonable and articulable suspicion that defendant was involved in criminal activity prior to detaining him based on vague anonymous phone call to police dispatch. State v. Zapata-Reyes, 144 Idaho 703, 169 P.3d 291 (Ct. App. 2007).

In his challenge to the finding of reasonable suspicion, defendant argued that a border patrol agent did not verify that the vehicle he was stopping was the same one that had crossed the border that morning; however, the trial court's finding that the vehicle observed by the agent matched the vehicle described to him by an inspector was supported was substantial evidence. State v. Bordeaux, 148 Idaho 1, 217 P.3d 1 (Ct. App. 2009).

#### Probationers.

Where defendant had signed consent to search form as condition of probation, had probation revoked, and then reinstated without signing consent form, he was deemed not to have consented to warrantless search by probation officer. However, even without consent, the search was not in violation of defendant's rights, as his expectation of privacy was reduced as a probationer, and as long as there were reasonable grounds, probation officer was justified in conducting warrantless

search. State v. Klingler, 143 Idaho 494, 148 P.3d 1240 (2006).

Where defendant delivered methamphetamine to an informant while he was serving probation, the search of his girlfriend's vehicle while he was riding as a passenger did not violate his rights under this section. The government's substantial interest in supervising probationers outweighed his diminished expectation of privacy in his girlfriend's car; defendant was not entitled to suppress evidence of \$2,910 and 50.8 grams of methamphetamine found in the vehicle. State v. Adams, 146 Idaho 162, 191 P.3d 240 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### Protective Frisk.

During a traffic stop of a vehicle driven by defendant, a police officer found a number of unused syringes in defendant's pocket and a small amount of methamphetamine, another syringe, and other paraphernalia in the vehicle; defendant was not permitted to suppress the evidence as the frisk was justified by officer safety. State v. Martin, 146 Idaho 357, 195 P.3d 716 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### Protective Sweeps.

Where the police were executing a search of defendant's trailer and noticed two other men exiting a "rock building" on the premises, the ensuing protective sweep of the rock house was lawful because they had a reasonable, articulable suspicion that the building might harbor individuals posing a danger because the people present were suspected of drug activity, persons involved in drug activity often carried guns, the rock house was proximate to the place to be searched, and the police saw multiple vehicles on the premises, suggesting that more people were somewhere on the property. State v. Rojas-Tapia, 151 Idaho 479, 259 P.3d 625 (Ct. App. 2011).

#### Rental Vehicle.

Because he was not an authorized user under the rental agreement, defendant lacked standing to challenge an inventory search of rental vehicle from which he had fled after being stopped for speeding. The totality of the circumstances did not indicate that defendant had any reasonable expectation of privacy in the vehicle. State v. Cutler, 144 Idaho 272, 159 P.3d 909 (Ct. App. 2007).

#### Search.

#### -Automobile.

Defendant was not entitled to suppress evidence of a controlled substance seized during a traffic stop of a vehicle in which he was a passenger. The officer was justified in stopping the vehicle when he observed a violation of Idaho's seatbelt law. State v. Roe, 140 Idaho 176, 90 P.3d 926 (Ct. App. 2004).

Valid detention of defendant became a consensual encounter at the point when defendant was told that he could leave. When defendant's driver's license and other documents were returned to him, he was told at least twice that he was free to leave, after defendant had returned to his car to depart the officer asked politely for permission to ask defendant a question, and it followed that the subsequent consent to a search occurred during a consensual encounter and was not the product of an unlawful detention, as the officer did not command defendant to stay, nor preface his request with any other comments that would suggest a continuing exercise of authority. State v. Roark, 140 Idaho 868, 103 P.3d 481 (Ct. App. 2004).

Search of defendant's purse, which was voluntarily left in a vehicle, did not violate her rights to be free from unlawful searches and seizures because a lawful custodial arrest justified the infringement of any privacy interest she had in the purse. State v. Watts, 142 Idaho 230, 127 P.3d 133 (2005).

Warrantless vehicle search did not violate this section, because the officer had reasonable suspicion that the man and woman riding in the vehicle were associated with a large quantity of drugs found outside a truck stop's bathrooms, the man had an outstanding felony drug warrant, and the woman matched the description of the only customer in the store between the time that the employee cleaned the store and when she discovered drugs on the floor. State v. Johnson, 152 Idaho 56, 266 P.3d 1161 (Ct. App. 2011).

Subsequent failed alert by a drug dog did not negate a prior positive alert, and it was not necessary to suppress evidence from a vehicle search, where there were significant additional circumstances supporting probable cause, including that defendant was driving erratically in an unregistered vehicle with fictitious plates and engaged in furtive movements during the stop. State v. Anderson, — Idaho —, — P.3d —, 2012 Ida. LEXIS 191 (Sept. 14, 2012).

#### -Exceeding Scope of Warrant.

Officers did not exceed the scope of the warrant by searching defendant's apartment where (1) the officer's affidavit presented sufficient evidence to give rise to a belief that evidence of the theft would be located either on defendant or inside his apartment; (2) both the affidavit and the search warrant listed the address of defendant's apartment and described its appearance and location; (3) the officer's affidavit requested a warrant to search defendant's apartment and made no references to a car other than an unknown vehicle; (4) commanding a search of an unknown vehicle contradicted the rest of the warrant; and (5) use of the word "vehicle"

instead of "residence" in the warrant was obviously a technical error, which would not automatically invalidate the warrant. State v. Teal, 145 Idaho 985, 188 P.3d 927 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### -Pat-down.

Police officer did not act outside the scope of a *Terry* stop and frisk by shaking the waistband of defendant's pants following defendant's attempt to conceal some item on his person where the officer's decision was a measured protective response to the facts available to him, and the officer used the least intrusive means available, shaking defendant's waistband, to ensure his and another officer's safety. State v. Greene, 140 Idaho 605, 97 P.3d 472 (Ct. App. 2004).

Defendant appealed from his conviction for possession of a controlled substance. Specifically, defendant challenged the district court's order denying his motion to suppress evidence. The appellate court stated that the officer did not violate defendant's constitutional right to be protected against unreasonable searches and seizures by conducting a pat-down search for weapons. However, because the officer intentionally removed items that could not have been weapons when it was unnecessary to do so in order to remove a toothpaste container, he acted unreasonably and not in a minimally intrusive fashion. Thus, the officer exceeded the scope of a pat-down search for weapons when he emptied defendant's pocket and defendant's motion to suppress should have been granted. The baggy of methamphetamine that the officer removed from defendant's pocket was therefore the fruit of an unreasonable search and should have been suppressed. State v. Watson, 143 Idaho 840, 153 P.3d 1186 (Ct. App. 2007).

In the absence of furtive or aggressive behavior or suspicious circumstances, defendant's act of returning his hands to his pockets on a cold night despite a police officer's contrary instruction did not create reasonable suspicion that defendant was armed and dangerous. Therefore, the officer's pat-down search of defendant was unlawful, and the evidence that it yielded had to be suppressed. State v. Davenport, 144 Idaho 99, 156 P.3d 1197 (Ct. App. 2007).

#### Search Incident to Arrest.

Motion to suppress evidence of drugs found during search incident to arrest for violation of local open container ordinance was properly denied, since police had probable cause to make the arrest. State v. Schmitt, 144 Idaho 768, 171 P.3d 259 (Ct. App. 2007).

Evidence of drug paraphernalia was not suppressible on the ground that the seizing officer illegally entered defendant's home because the officer did not derive this evidence from any exploitation of the unlawful entry; rather, it was found in a search incident to an arrest for battery of the officer and, thus, was not "fruit of the poisonous tree." State v. Lusby, 146 Idaho 506, 198 P.3d 735 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### Search Warrants.

When a search warrant was issued for defendant's commercial building, if the address stated in the warrant was erroneous, the warrant was not invalid because it otherwise particularly described the building, so there was no risk that an officer executing the warrant, who was familiar with the building, would search the wrong property. State v. O'Keefe, 143 Idaho 278, 141 P.3d 1147 (Ct. App. 2006).

When a search of defendant's commercial building revealed evidence of a large-scale marijuana-growing operation, and the building was linked to defendant by the fact that he had rented it, owned several vehicles on the premises, and had utilities service to the building in his name, a magistrate could reasonably infer that further evidence of defendant's drug trafficking would be found at his residence, so there was sufficient probable cause for a search warrant for that residence. State v. O'Keefe, 143 Idaho 278, 141 P.3d 1147 (Ct. App. 2006).

When there is a technical error or inadvertent error in a search warrant, a court analyzes whether the search exceeds the scope of the warrant based on an objective assessment of the circumstances surrounding the issuance of the warrant, the contents of the search warrant, and the circumstances of the search; however, the subjective state of mind of the officer executing the warrant is not material to the inquiry. A technical error will not automatically invalidate the warrant. State v. Teal, 145 Idaho 985, 188 P.3d 927 (Ct. App. 2008).

#### -In General.

Procedure followed in the case to obtain the search warrant did not violate this section where there was no authority supporting defendants' proposition that it required that an affidavit submitted in connection with an application for a search warrant had to be signed in the presence of the person issuing the warrant, and had the framers intended to exclude affidavits signed before a notary public from being used to obtain a search warrant, they would have drafted the constitution to do so. State v. Bicknell, 140 Idaho 201, 91 P.3d 1105 (2004).

#### -Probable Cause.

Where a controlled drug transaction took place at one location in a mobile home park, but a search of that location failed to find any marijuana, and the officer determined that the suspect lived in an adjoining space and obtained a search warrant for that space based solely on the residence of the suspect, the search of the adjoining space was invalid for lack of a nexus between the place to be searched and the item to be seized, and the evidence should have been suppressed. State v. Belden, 148 Idaho 277, 220 P.3d 1096 (Ct. App. 2009).

#### Seizure.

Under the Fourth Amendment and Idaho Const., Art. I, § 17, the district court's order granting defendant's motion to suppress was proper because a seizure, without a warrant or any exigent circumstances, occurred when a police officer opened the passenger door of the defendant's car and stood in the open doorway, preventing defendant from driving away. State v. Liechty, 152 Idaho 163, 267 P.3d 1278 (Ct. App. 2011).

Officer did not seize defendant: officer's conduct in parking behind defendant's vehicle, leaving the patrol car's headlights on, and approaching defendant's vehicle and knocking on the window did not communicate to a reasonable person that he was not at liberty to ignore the officer's presence and go about his business. State v. Randle, 152 Idaho 860, 276 P.3d 732 (Ct. App. 2012).

A seizure initiated through a show of authority requires words or actions, or both, by a law enforcement officer that would convey to a reasonable person that the officer was ordering him or her to restrict his or her movement. State v. Ray, — Idaho —, 286 P.3d 1114 (2012).

#### Standing.

State waived its argument that defendant lacked standing to contest an automobile search where its initial position was that defendant had consented to the search, which was inconsistent with its appellate position that defendant was not authorized to control the automobile. State v. Cardenas, 143 Idaho 903, 155 P.3d 704 (Ct. App. 2006).

#### Statements.

Statements made by a properly Mirandized defendant were admissible despite the fact that he had been arrested as the result of an illegal stop, because the three hour time lapse between the stop and the statements was sufficient to break their connection with the illegal stop. State v. Cardenas, 143 Idaho 903, 155 P.3d 704 (Ct. App. 2006).

#### Telephonic Warrant.

Where the officer applied for a search warrant by telephone and testified to information provided by confidential informants that defendant kept and used methamphetamines in her home and was involved in an organized theft operation, a telephonic warrant was issued by the magistrate and signed by the prosecuting attorney at the direction of a magistrate judge. The fact that the judge did not sign the warrant was procedural defect that did not rise to the level of a constitutional violation; the defect did not call into question the finding of probable cause to justify issuance of the warrant. State v. Zueger, 143 Idaho 647, 152 P.3d 8 (2006).

#### Traffic Stop.

Officer had reasonable suspicion to stop defendant's vehicle when he saw the taillights emitting white light, when red light was required by law, and defendant was not entitled to suppress the evidence obtained subsequent to the stop. State v. Patterson, 140 Idaho 612, 97 P.3d 479 (Ct. App. 2004).

Trial court erred in denying defendant's motion to suppress evidence of contraband where the trial court based its determination that a police officer was justified in initiating the weapons search during a traffic stop upon a subjective feeling attributed to the officer rather than a determination as to whether a reasonably prudent man in the circumstances would be warranted in the belief that his safety or that of others was in danger. State v. Henage, 143 Idaho 655, 152 P.3d 16 (2007).

**A.L.R.** Validity of warrantless search of motor vehicle driver based on odor of marijuana — State cases. 123 A.L.R.5th 179.

Validity of search conducted pursuant to parole warrant. 123 A.L.R.5th 221.

Validity of warrantless search of motor vehicle passenger based on odor of marijuana. 1 A.L.R.6th 371.

Application of Leon good faith exception to exclusionary rule where police fail to comply with knock and announce requirement during execution of search warrant. 2 A.L.R.6th 169.

Application in state narcotics cases of collective knowledge doctrine or fellow officers' rule under Fourth Amendment — Cocaine cases. 4 A.L.R.6th 599.

Application in state narcotics cases of collective knowledge doctrine or fellow officers' rule under Fourth Amendment — Drugs other than marijuana and cocaine and unidentified drugs. 12 A.L.R.6th 553.

Construction and application of rule permitting knock and talk visits under Fourth Amendment and state constitutions. 15 A.L.R.6th 515.

When is warrantless entry of house or other building justified under "hot pursuit" doctrine. 17 A.L.R.6th 327.

Employee's expectation of privacy in work-place. 18 A.L.R.6th 1.

Expectation of privacy in text transmissions to or from pager, cellular telephone, or other wireless personal communications device. 25 A.L.R.6th 201.

Timeliness of execution of search warrant. 27 A.L.R.6th 491.

Hospital as within constitutional provision forbidding unreasonable searches and seizures. 28 A.L.R.6th 245.

Application in state narcotics cases of collective knowledge doctrine or fellow officers' rule under Fourth Amendment — Marijuana cases. 35 A.L.R.6th 497.

Validity of search of cruise ship cabin. 43 A.L.R.6th 355.

Validity of search and reasonable expectation of privacy as affected by no trespassing or similar signage. 45 A.L.R.6th 643.

Construction and application of "automatic companion rule" or person's "mere propinquity" to arrestee to determine propriety of search of person for weapons or firearms. 47 A.L.R.6th 423.

Construction and application of consentonce-removed doctrine, permitting warrantless entry into residence by law enforcement officers for purposes of effectuating arrest or search where confidential informant or undercover officer enters with consent and observes criminal activity or contraband in plain view. 50 A.L.R.6th 1.

Sufficiency of showing to support no-knock search warrant — Cases decided after *Richards v. Wisconsin*, 520 U.S. 385, 117 S. Ct. 1416, 137 L. Ed. 2d 615 (1997). 50 A.L.R.6th 455.

Construction and application of supreme court's holding in *Arizona v. Gant*, 129 S. Ct. 1710, 173 L. Ed. 2d 485, 47 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 657 (2009), that police may search vehicle incident to recent occupant's arrest only if arrestee is within reaching distance of passenger compartment at time of search or it is reasonable to believe vehicle contains evidence of offense — Substantive traffic offenses. 55 A.L.R.6th 1.

Construction and application of supreme court's holding in *Arizona v. Gant*, 129 S. Ct. 1710, 173 L. Ed. 2d 485, 47 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 657 (2009), that police may search vehicle incident to recent occupant's arrest only if arrestee is within reaching distance of passenger compartment at time of search or it is reasonable to believe vehicle contains evidence of offense — Pretextual traffic offenses and other criminal investigations. 56 A.L.R.6th 1.

Necessity of rendering medical assistance as circumstance permitting warrantless entry or search of building or premises. 58 A.L.R.6th 499.

Propriety of execution of no-knock search warrant. 59 A.L.R.6th 311.

Validity of search of wireless communication devices. 62 A.L.R.6th 161.

Search and seizure: reasonable expectation of privacy in backyards. 62 A.L.R.6th 413.

Search and seizure: Reasonable expectation of privacy in outbuildings. 67 A.L.R.6th 531.

Search and seizure: reasonable expectation of privacy in side yards. 69 A.L.R.6th 275.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding search and seizure issues — Pretrial motions — Suppression motions where no warrant involved. 71 A.L.R.6th 1.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding search and seizure issues — Pretrial motions — Suppression motions where warrant was involved. 72 A.L.R.6th 1.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for the truth — Underlying homicide and assault offenses. 72 A.L.R.6th 437.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding search and seizure issues — Pretrial motions — Motions other than for suppression. 73 A.L.R.6th 1.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying drug offenses. 73 A.L.R.6th 49.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for the truth — Underlying sexual offenses. 74 A.L.R.6th 69.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying weapons offenses. 75 A.L.R.6th 443.

Construction and application of *Illinois v. Lidster*, 540 U.S. 419, 124 S. Ct. 885, 157 L. Ed. 2d 843 (2004), governing validity of police roadblock, checkpoint, or other detention of vehicle for gathering of information. 78 A.L.R.6th 213.

Construction and application by state courts of protective sweep doctrine recognized in *Maryland v. Buie*, 494 U.S. 325, 110 S. Ct. 1093, 108 L. Ed. 2d 276 (1990) — Warrantless search of house for dangerous persons. 78 A.L.R.6th 297.

Permissibility under Fourth Amendment of *Terry* stop to investigate completed misdemeanor. 78 A.L.R.6th 599.

Construction and application by state courts of protective sweep doctrine recognized in *Maryland v. Buie*, 494 U.S. 325, 110 S. Ct. 1093, 108 L. Ed. 2d 276 (1990) — Warrantless search of apartment or other non-house dwelling for dangerous persons. 79 A.L.R.6th

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause

with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying vehicular offenses. 79 A.L.R.6th 325.

Validity of "reach-in" searches. 79 A.L.R.6th 631.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for truth — Underlying theft and burglary offenses. 80 A.L.R.6th 239.

Reverse-Franks claims, where police arguably omit facts from search or arrest warrant affidavit material to finding of probable cause with reckless disregard for the truth — Underlying miscellaneous offenses. 81 A.L.R.6th 257.

Sufficiency of information provided by confidential informant, whose identity is known to police, to provide probable cause for federal search warrant where there was indication that informant provided reliable information to police in past — Cases decided after *Illinois v. Gates*, 462 U.S. 213, 103 S. Ct. 2317, 76 L. Ed. 2d 527 (1983). 196 A.L.R. Fed. 1.

Sufficiency of information provided by confidential informant, whose identity is known to police, to provide probable cause for federal search warrant where there was no indication that informant provided reliable information to police in past — Cases decided after *Illinois v. Gates*, 462 U.S. 213, 103 S. Ct. 2317, 76 L. Ed. 2d 527 (1983). 9 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1.

When are facts offered in support of search warrant for evidence of federal drug offense so untimely as to be stale. 13 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1.

Allowable use of federal pen register and trap and trace device to trace cell phones and internet use. 15 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 537.

First amendment protection for members of military subjected to discharge, transfer, or discipline because of speech. 40 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 229.

Application of first amendment's "ministerial exception" or "ecclesiastical exception" to federal civil rights claims. 41 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 445.

Claims of ineffective assistance of counsel in death penalty proceedings — United States supreme court cases. 31 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1.

Construction and application of sixth amendment right to counsel — Supreme court cases. 33 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1.

Adequacy of defense counsel's representation of criminal client regarding entrapment defense — Federal cases. 42 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 145.

Validity and application of anticipatory search warrant — Federal cases. 31 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 123.

Unconstitutional search or seizure as warranting suppression of evidence in removal proceeding. 40 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 489.

Border search or seizure of traveler's laptop computer, or other personal electronic or digital storage device. 45 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 1. Application of fourth amendment to automobile searches — Supreme court cases. 47 A.L.R. Fed 2d 197.

Construction and application by federal courts of protective sweep doctrine recognized in *Maryland v. Buie*, 494 U.S. 325, 110 S. Ct. 1093, 108 L. Ed. 2d 276 (1990) — Warrantless search of house for dangerous persons. 67 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 159.

Construction and application of Fourth

Amendment exclusionary rule — Supreme court cases. 68 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 303.

Construction and application by federal courts of protective sweep doctrine recognized in *Maryland v. Buie*, 494 U.S. 325, 110 S. Ct. 1093, 108 L. Ed. 2d 276 (1990) — Warrantless search of apartment or other nonhouse dwelling for dangerous persons. 69 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 241.

## § 18. Justice to be freely and speedily administered.

**Cited in:** Venters v. Sorrento Del., Inc., 141 Idaho 245, 108 P.3d 392 (2005).

#### Analysis

Court access.
Summary judgment continuance.

#### Court Access.

Denial of an employee's claim for psychological reaction without an accompanying physical injury, did not violate her rights under Idaho Const. art. 1, §§ 2 and 18. Luttrell v. Clearwater County Sheriff's Office, 140 Idaho 581, 97 P.3d 448 (2004).

## § 22. Rights of crime victims.

**Cited in:** State v. Korsen, 141 Idaho 445, 111 P.3d 130 (2005).

#### Analysis

Restitution.
Right to address court.
Rights of defendant.
Victim impact statement.

#### Restitution.

This provision, setting forth a criminal victim's statutory rights, including the restitution right, does not explicitly provide for a right to a jury trial. This does not conflict with Idaho Const. art I, § 7. Article 1, § 7 protects the right to a jury trial as that right existed when the Idaho constitution was adopted; however, article 1, § 22 codified rights that post-date the adoption of the Idaho constitution. State v. Straub, — Idaho —, 292 P.3d 273 (2013).

#### Right to Address Court.

Because the record did not support the conclusion that the victim's mother was presenting testimony at sentencing at the initiative of or on behalf of the state, the court was unable to conclude that the prosecutor acted contrary to the provisions of the plea agreement where defendant pleaded guilty to aggravated assault in violation of §§ 18-901 and 18-905. Under subsection (6) of this section

#### Summary Judgment Continuance.

Parties have a significant interest in the timely and economical resolution of legal disputes, and the courts are required to provide a speedy remedy to aggrieved parties and to administer justice without delay. The legal standard governing the exercise of discretion when deciding a Idaho R. Civ. P. 56(f) motion permits consideration of the moving party's previous lack of diligence in pursuing discovery. Boise Mode, LLC v. Donahoe Pace & Partners Ltd., — Idaho —, 294 P.3d 1111 (2013).

and § 19-5306(1)(e), crime victims were guaranteed the right to be heard upon request at sentencing hearings, and the state had informed the trial court that the mother wanted to address the court on behalf of the victim, and the trial court allowed the statement as a victim impact statement, and the issue of whether the trial court erred in allowing the mother to give such a statement was not preserved for review. State v. Jones, 141 Idaho 673, 115 P.3d 764 (Ct. App. 2005).

#### Rights of Defendant.

Where defendant was found guilty of murder, the appellate court declined to apply a harmless error analysis and remand the case for resentencing with directions to the trial court to exclude victim impact statements calling for the death penalty, or other information that did not comply with *Booth*, and *Payne*, in order not to violate the Eighth Amendment. State v. Lovelace, 140 Idaho 73, 90 P.3d 298, cert. denied, 543 U.S. 936, 125 S. Ct. 323, 160 L. Ed. 2d 242 (2004).

Subsequent to the decision in *Payne*, this section was added to Article I of the Idaho Constitution guaranteeing rights to crime victims, and subsection (6) provides that a crime victim has the right "to be heard, upon request, at all criminal justice proceedings considering a plea of guilty, sentencing, incarceration or release of the defendant, unless

manifest injustice would result," language identical to that found in § 19-5306(1)(e). State v. Lovelace, 140 Idaho 73, 90 P.3d 298, cert. denied, 543 U.S. 936, 125 S. Ct. 323, 160 L. Ed. 2d 242 (2004).

#### Victim Impact Statement.

DVD presentation containing photographic and video images of the victim and her family at the sentencing hearing was proper as it was a valid exercise of the victim's right to be heard and did not result in a manifest injustice. State v. Leon, 142 Idaho 705, 132 P.3d 462 (Ct. App. 2006).

Defendant failed to demonstrate that a district court erred in its use of a victim impact statement in a presentence investigation re-

port. District court ruled that the statement was admissible only as victim input and then set forth multiple reasons for defendant's sentence based on the proper sentencing factors. State v. Deisz, 145 Idaho 826, 186 P.3d 682 (Ct. App. 2008).

When police officers were injured in the attempt to take defendant's husband into custody, defendant pled guilty to harboring and protecting a felon. At sentencing, the injured officers were properly allowed to give victim impact statements under this provision, § 19-5306(1)(e), and Idaho Crim. R. 32(b)(1); the officers were the victims of defendant's crime of harboring and protecting her husband. State v. Lampien, 148 Idaho 367, 223 P.3d 750 (2009).

§ 23. The rights to hunt, fish and trap. — The rights to hunt, fish and trap, including by the use of traditional methods, are a valued part of the heritage of the State of Idaho and shall forever be preserved for the people and managed through the laws, rules and proclamations that preserve the future of hunting, fishing and trapping. Public hunting, fishing and trapping of wildlife shall be a preferred means of managing wildlife. The rights set forth herein do not create a right to trespass on private property, shall not affect rights to divert, appropriate and use water, or establish any minimum amount of water in any water body, shall not lead to a diminution of other private rights, and shall not prevent the suspension or revocation, pursuant to statute enacted by the Legislature, of an individual's hunting, fishing or trapping license.

Compiler's Notes. This section was proposed as an enactment to the Idaho Constitution by House Joint Resolution No. 2 (2012).

House Joint Resolution No. 2 was adopted by the electorate at the general election of November 2012.

#### Article II

#### DISTRIBUTION OF POWERS

## § 1. Departments of government.

Cited in: Idaho Press Club, Inc. v. State Legislature, 142 Idaho 640, 132 P.3d 397 (2006).

Analysis

Pardon or parole. Separation of powers.

#### Pardon or Parole.

Section 20-228 did not violate the separation of powers where the legislature had the authority of establishing suitable punishment for various crimes; the legislative pronouncement that an inmate had to be subject to forfeiture of time spent on parole was an

exercise of the legislative power to define crimes and their penalties and did not involve resentencing inmates. Gibson v. Bennett, 141 Idaho 270, 108 P.3d 417 (Ct. App. 2005).

Separation of Powers.

Whether or not the Idaho judicial council member's appointment violated § 1-2101(1) was an issue that the senate could, and did, debate prior to his confirmation vote; it would violate the separation of powers for the appellate court to substitute its view for that of the senate regarding whether the member was qualified to be appointed to the judicial council. Troutner v. Kempthorne, 142 Idaho 389, 128 P.3d 926 (2006).

#### **Article III**

#### LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT

SECTION. 28. Marriage.

## § 1. Legislative power — Enacting clause — Referendum — Initiative.

**Cited in:** Johnson v. Blaine County, 146 Idaho 916, 204 P.3d 1127 (2009).

#### Initiative Power.

Pursuant to this section and § 50-501, coalition's petition for an initiative election demanding enactment of an ordinance for a Ten Commandments display to be placed in a park qualified for the ballot for consideration by the voters; the supreme court could not interrupt the consideration of a properly qualified initiative. City of Boise City v. Keep the Commandments Coalition (In re Initiative Petition for a Ten Commandments Display), 143 Idaho 254, 141 P.3d 1123 (2006).

**Idaho Law Review.** Legislative Power at Odds: The Effect of a Referendum Petition in Idaho, Comment. 48 Idaho L. Rev. 553 (2012).

**A.L.R.** Validity of super-majority voting requirements in constitutional, statutory, and other public provisions. 28 A.L.R.6th 439.

## § 2. Membership of House and Senate.

#### Apportionment.

Reapportionment under which the total maximum population deviation was 9.71% was presumptively constitutional, and challengers of plan failed to demonstrate that the

deviation resulted from any unconstitutional or irrational state purpose which would overcome this presumption. Idaho Legislative Reapportionment Plan of 2002 v. Ysursa, 142 Idaho 464, 129 P.3d 1213 (2005).

## § 4. Apportionment of legislature.

Cited in: Idaho Legislative Reapportionment Plan of 2002 v. Ysursa, 142 Idaho 464, 129 P.3d 1213 (2005).

## § 5. Senatorial and representative districts.

#### Division of Counties.

Reapportionment under which the total maximum population deviation was 9.71% was presumptively constitutional, and challengers of plan failed to demonstrate that the deviation resulted from any unconstitutional or irrational state purpose which would overcome this presumption. Idaho Legislative Reapportionment Plan of 2002 v. Ysursa, 142 Idaho 464, 129 P.3d 1213 (2005).

Legislative redistricting plan divided more counties than necessary to comply with the equal protection requirements of the four-teenth amendment. Thus, the plan violated this section and § 72-1506(5) and was invalid, making it necessary for a revised plan to be adopted pursuant to § 72-1501(2). Twin Falls County v. Idaho Comm'n on Redistricting, 152 Idaho 346, 271 P.3d 1202 (2012).

## § 7. Privilege from arrest.

Analysis

Construction.
Scope of privilege.

#### Construction.

This section privileges legislators from unnecessary compulsion by the other branches of government, which may interfere with offi-

cial legislative duties. Hart v. Idaho State Tax Comm'n, — Idaho —, — P.3d —, 2012 Ida. LEXIS 99 (Apr. 26, 2012).

### Scope of Privilege.

Taxpayer, who was also a member of the Idaho state legislature, was just a taxpayer, with no greater privilege than his constituents. This section speaks of privilege from

liability to civil process, not privilege from conformance with the rules governing permissive actions. Accordingly, because the tax-payer failed to timely file his board of tax appeals (BTA) appeal, he failed to exhaust his administrative remedies; consequently, nei-

ther the BTA nor the district court had jurisdiction to consider any of the taxpayer's arguments about the legality or appropriateness of Idaho's tax system. Hart v. Idaho State Tax Comm'n, — Idaho —, — P.3d —, 2012 Ida. LEXIS 99 (Apr. 26, 2012).

#### § 9. Powers of each house.

Cited in: Idaho Press Club, Inc. v. State Legislature, 142 Idaho 640, 132 P.3d 397 (2006).

## § 10. Quorum, adjournments and organization.

Cited in: Idaho Press Club, Inc. v. State Legislature, 142 Idaho 640, 132 P.3d 397 (2006).

## § 12. Secret sessions prohibited.

Open Meetings.

This section does not apply to legislative committee meetings. Idaho Press Club, Inc. v.

State Legislature, 142 Idaho 640, 132 P.3d 397 (2006).

## § 14. Origin and amendment of bills.

Standing.

Citizen lacked standing to challenge the cigarette tax since he had a "generalized grievance" shared by a large class of citizens, and his remedy was through the political

process. Further, the sales and use tax bill originated in the Idaho house and although substantially amended in the Idaho senate, it was constitutionally enacted. Gallagher v. State, 141 Idaho 665, 115 P.3d 756 (2005).

## § 16. Unity of subject and title.

Analysis

Partial invalidity.
Titles.
— Sufficient.

Partial Invalidity.

Section 60-106 was judged to be constitutional as it applied to governmental entities, but unconstitutional and void in regard to non-governmental entities because the statute referred to governmental entities in its title, but referred to non-governmental entities in the statute itself. Federated Publ'ns,

Inc. v. Idaho Bus. Review, Inc., 146 Idaho 207, 192 P.3d 1031 (2008), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

#### Titles.

#### -Sufficient.

Section § 50-219 did not violate the unity requirement of this section because its title encompassed the subject matter of damage claims against cities and served to fairly identify the content of the act. Cox v. City of Sandpoint, 140 Idaho 127, 90 P.3d 352 (Ct. App. 2003).

## § 19. Local and special laws prohibited.

Analysis

Constitutionality. Legislative classifications. Special laws. Worker's compensation. Constitutionality.

Immunity provision found at former § 22-4803A(6) [now see §§ 39-114 and 52-108] does not effect a taking in violation of the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution or Idaho Const. art. I, § 14. It also

does not violate Idaho Const. art. I, § 1 or the prohibition against local or special laws found in this section. The statute is constitutional. Moon v. N. Idaho Farmers Ass'n, 140 Idaho 536, 96 P.3d 637 (2004), cert. denied, 543 U.S. 1146, 125 S. Ct. 1299, 161 L. Ed. 2d 106 (2005).

Legislative Classifications.

House Bill 403 of the 2003 Idaho legislative session, as it amended § 6-2215, violated this section because the language of the bill was aimed at essentially disbanding a group's education case against the state and restructuring it in a manner that destroyed the group's cause of action, and was clearly a special law. Further, the legislation directly contradicted Idaho court procedure and effectively dismissed parties to a pending lawsuit without any court action, and there was no necessity present pursuant to Idaho Const. art. V, § 13 meriting the legislature's attempt to legislate itself out of the lawsuit by rewriting the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure. Idaho Schs. for Equal Educ. Opportunity v. State, 140 Idaho 586, 97 P.3d 453 (2004).

#### Special Laws.

There are three characteristics of special laws. First, a special law applies only to an

## § 20. Gambling prohibited.

Analysis

Applicability.
Indian gaming.
Licensing operation.

Applicability.

To give effect to this provision, which prohibits, in part, electromechanical imitation or simulation of any form of casino gambling, the Idaho legislature enacted § 18-3810 to make it a misdemeanor to use or keep a slot machine. The term "slot machine" is sufficiently clear to include video gaming machines. Knox v. United States DOL, 759 F. Supp. 2d 1223 (D. Idaho 2010).

Indian Gaming.

Sections 67-429B and 67-429C are constitutional; the decision in *Idaho v. Shoshone-Bannock Tribes*, 465 F.3d 1095 (9th Cir. 2006),

individual or number of individuals out of a single class similarly situated and affected or to a special locality. (A law is not special simply because it may have only a local application or apply only to a special class if, in fact, it does apply to all such cases and all similar localities and to all belonging to the specified class to which the law is made applicable.) Second, when the legislature pursues a legitimate interest in protecting citizens of the state in enacting a law, then it is not special. Lastly, courts must determine whether the statute's classification is arbitrary, capricious, or unreasonable. Hom v. Idaho Fish & Game Dep't (Citizens Against Range Expansion), — Idaho —, 289 P.3d 32 (2012).

#### Worker's Compensation.

Requirement that an employee suffering an accident had to timely notify the employer, even if that employee was unaware of the extent of the personal injury caused by the accident, was not a special law prohibited by this section, because this section applies to all persons and subject matters in a like situation. Arel v. T & L Enters., 146 Idaho 29, 189 P.3d 1149 (2008).

regarding whether video gaming machines were permitted on an Indian reservation, was final, and res judicata in any further litigation as to whether tribal video gaming is permissible. Knox v. State Ex Rel. Otter, 148 Idaho 324, 223 P.3d 266 (2009).

#### Licensing Operation.

Idaho lottery commission (commission) did not abuse its discretion in determining that the nonprofit organizations failed to keep and account for all checks; instead of keeping or obtaining and producing the underlying records needed to fulfill the duty of scrutiny, the organizations told the commission to fetch the records at the commission's expense. Sons & Daughters of Idaho, Inc. v. Idaho Lottery Comm'n, 144 Idaho 23, 156 P.3d 524 (2007).

**A.L.R.** Preemption of state law by Indian Gaming Regulatory Act. 27 A.L.R. Fed. 2d 93.

## § 26. Power and authority over intoxicating liquors.

Analysis

Control of sale of liquor. Property right.

Control of Sale of Liquor.

District court erred in holding that § 23-615 was facially unconstitutional for

overbreadth, as selling alcohol is not constitutionally protected conduct under U.S. Const. amend. XXI, § 2 and this section. Alcohol Bev. Control v. Boyd, 148 Idaho 944, 231 P.3d 1041 (2010).

Property Right.

As an administrative agency in the execu-

tive department, ABC has no authority to create a property interest in a liquor license by virtue of an administrative rule. Only the legislature can create a property interest in a liquor license. Fuchs v. State, Dep't of Ida. State Police, 152 Idaho 626, 272 P.3d 1257 (2012).

§ 28. Marriage. — A marriage between a man and a woman is the only domestic legal union that shall be valid or recognized in this state.

Compiler's Notes. This section was proposed as an amendment to the Idaho Constitution by House Joint Resolution No. 2 (2006).

House Joint Resolution No. 2 was adopted by the electorate at the general election of November 7, 2006.

#### **Article IV**

#### EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT

## § 7. The pardoning power.

ANALYSIS

Commutation of sentences. Limitation.

#### Commutation of Sentences.

The Idaho constitution does not require that all decisions of the commission of pardons and parole relating to clemency be the product of open sessions following notice and publication. Rather, the requirement of an open session following notice and publication applies only to the commission's decisions to grant clemency. Leavitt v. Craven, — Idaho —, — P.3d —, 2012 Ida. LEXIS 141 (June 8, 2012).

#### Limitation.

Defendant's pardon under this section and § 20-240 was not a complete removal of the conviction from defendant's record, allowing a later court to include that conviction while calculating the defendant's criminal history. United States v. Bays, 589 F.3d 1035 (9th Cir. 2009)

#### § 18. Board of examiners.

Cited in: State v. District Court, 143 Idaho 695, 152 P.3d 566 (2007).

#### Article V

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

## § 2. Judicial power — Where vested.

Cited in: Hudelson v. Delta Int'l Mach. Corp., 142 Idaho 244, 127 P.3d 147 (2005).

## § 9. Original and appellate jurisdiction of Supreme Court.

Cited in: Harris v. Elec. Wholesale, 141 Idaho 1, 105 P.3d 267 (2004); Ryder v. Idaho PUC (In re Ryder), 141 Idaho 918, 120 P.3d 736 (2005); McNeal v. Idaho PUC, 142 Idaho 685, 132 P.3d 442 (2006); Jenkins v. Barsalou, 145 Idaho 202, 177 P.3d 949 (2008); Fife v. Home Depot, Inc., 151 Idaho 509, 260 P.3d 1180 (2011); Leavitt v. Craven, — Idaho —, — P.3d —, 2012 Ida. LEXIS 141 (June 8, 2012); Wasden v. State Bd. of Land Comm'n, — Idaho —, 280 P.3d 693 (2012).

ANALYSIS

Attorney's fees. Declaratory judgments. Industrial Commission. Prohibition.

#### Attorney's Fees.

Employee was not the prevailing party on appeal and therefore was not entitled to an award of attorney fees and costs. Henderson v. Eclipse Traffic Control & Flagging, Inc., 147 Idaho 628, 213 P.3d 718 (2009).

#### **Declaratory Judgments.**

Grant of attorney fees as the result of a frivolous appeal was appropriate when an employee sought judicial review of a county board of commissioners' decision to affirm termination, yet there was no statute that provided for judicial review of this type of decision. Also, the employee sought a declaratory judgment from the supreme court, which the supreme court lacked jurisdiction to issue. Gibson v. Ada County, 142 Idaho 746, 133 P.3d 1211, cert. denied, 549 U.S. 994, 127 S. Ct. 496, 166 L. Ed. 2d 366 (2006).

#### Industrial Commission.

In review of an appeal from the industrial commission, the commission's conclusions of law are freely reviewed by the supreme court, and its findings of fact will be upheld if they are supported by substantial and competent evidence in the record, evidence which a reasonable mind might accept such evidence as

adequate and sufficient to support a conclusion. Smith v. J.B. Parson Co., 127 Idaho 937, 908 P.2d 1244 (1996).

Court refused to overturn determination of industrial commission that worker was not entitled to unemployment benefit because he was discharged for misconduct, where worker presented no new questions of law, but merely attempted to attack the credibility of witnesses against him. Huff v. Singleton, 143 Idaho 498, 148 P.3d 1244 (2006).

#### Prohibition.

State was not entitled to a writ of prohibition to enjoin a district court from assessing fees for a special master against the state because the appointment of special masters and the assessment of special master costs were matters within the discretion of the district courts. Clear statutory authority existed for the award of such fees, as well direction as to how costs awarded against the State were to be paid. State v. District Court, 143 Idaho 695, 152 P.3d 566 (2007).

## § 10. Jurisdiction over claims against the state.

**Cited in:** State v. District Court, 143 Idaho 695, 152 P.3d 566 (2007).

## § 13. Power of legislature respecting courts.

Cited in: State v. Toyne, 151 Idaho 779, 264 P.3d 418 (Ct. App. 2011); Wasden v. State Bd. of Land Comm'n, — Idaho —, 280 P.3d 693 (2012).

#### Analysis

Appropriate exercise of legislative power. Unauthorized legislative power.

## Appropriate Exercise of Legislative Power.

Enactment of the Idaho Outdoor Sport Shooting Range Act, chapter 91, title 67, Idaho Code, and its noise standard, is not a legislative deprivation of judicial power; rather, it is a valid use of the Idaho legislature's police power. Although the act potentially made compliance with an injunction easier, it did not by itself lift the injunction or legislatively remove the Idaho department of fish and game from related litigation. Hom v.

Idaho Fish & Game Dep't (Citizens Against Range Expansion), — Idaho —, 289 P.3d 32 (2012).

#### Unauthorized Legislative Power.

House Bill 403 of the 2003 Idaho legislative session, as it amended § 6-2215, violated Idaho Const. art. III, § 19 because the language of the bill was aimed at essentially disbanding a group's education case against the state and restructuring it in a manner that destroyed the group's cause of action, and was clearly a special law. Further, the legislation directly contradicted Idaho court procedure and effectively dismissed parties to a pending lawsuit without any court action, and there was no necessity present pursuant to this section meriting the legislature's attempt to legislate itself out of the lawsuit by rewriting the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure. Idaho Schs. for Equal Educ. Opportunity v. State, 140 Idaho 586, 97 P.3d 453 (2004).

## § 18. Prosecuting attorneys — Term of office — Qualifications.

#### As Judicial Officer.

While the Industrial Commission was a state agency, the county prosecutor represented the sovereign in the criminal proceeding in accordance with Idaho Const., Art. V, § 18, not any individual state agency. Hooper v. State, 150 Idaho 497, 248 P.3d 748 (2011).

#### § 20. Jurisdiction of district court.

Cited in: Jenkins v. Barsalou, 145 Idaho 202, 177 P.3d 949 (2008); Troupis v. Summer, 148 Idaho 77, 218 P.3d 1138 (2009); Johnson v. State (In re Johnson), — Idaho —, 280 P.3d 749 (Ct. App. 2012).

#### Analysis

Evidence of value. Jurisdiction in general. Original jurisdiction. Quiet title action.

#### **Evidence of Value.**

Landowners did not prove their own property appraisal, or any of their own comparables, to substantiate their claim that their property was overvalued, to suggest that the assessor did not locate enough comparables, or to show that the ones chosen were inappropriate. City of Eagle v. Idaho Dep't of Water Res., 150 Idaho 449, 247 P.3d 1037 (2011).

#### Jurisdiction in General.

District court acquired subject matter jurisdiction over defendant when the state filed the criminal complaint, and although the district court erred in believing it had a valid warrant for defendant's arrest in that no warrant had been issued on April 25, 1994, or at any time thereafter, the district court had no intention of relinquishing jurisdiction and

postponed sentence for a proper purpose, to have defendant in custody or amenable to the process of the court. State v. Rogers, 140 Idaho 223, 91 P.3d 1127 (2004).

In a petition for post-conviction relief, because § 19-2719 is a statute of limitations rather than a jurisdictional bar, subsection (5) of § 19-2719 does not violate the state constitution's separation of powers provisions in Idaho Const., art. V, § 13 and this section. Stuart v. State, 149 Idaho 35, 232 P.3d 813 (2010), cert. denied, — U.S. —, 131 S. Ct. 1472, 179 L. Ed. 2d 313 (2011).

#### Original Jurisdiction.

Order denying defendant's motion for reduction of sentence was upheld where defendant presented no new or additional evidence in support of the motion. The trial court acted within a reasonable time in ruling on the motion and had not lost original jurisdiction when it issued the order denying the motion. State v. Shumway, 144 Idaho 580, 165 P.3d 294 (Ct. App. 2007).

#### Quiet Title Action.

District court had jurisdiction over a quiet title action because both parties submitted to personal jurisdiction by filing pleadings, and the district court had original jurisdiction to hear all cases, both at law and in equity. Bach v. Miller, 144 Idaho 142, 158 P.3d 305 (2007).

#### Article VI

#### SUFFRAGE AND ELECTIONS

## § 3. Disqualification of certain persons.

**A.L.R.** Validity, construction, and application of state criminal disenfranchisement provisions. 10 A.L.R.6th 31.

#### Article VII

#### FINANCE AND REVENUE

SECTION.

18. Idaho millennium permanent endowment fund — Idaho millennium income fund — Idaho millennium fund.

## § 2. Revenue to be provided by taxation.

Cited in: Halper v. Jerome County, 143 Idaho 691, 152 P.3d 562 (2007); Viking Constr., Inc. v. Hayden Lake Irrigation Dist., 149 Idaho 187, 233 P.3d 118 (2010).

#### Intoxicating Liquors.

A municipality may not adopt an ordinance which makes the possession of an open container of alcohol by a passenger within a motor vehicle a misdemeanor, when the state has a statute making such possession an

infraction. State v. Reyes, 146 Idaho 778, 203 P.3d 708 (Ct. App. 2008).

## § 4. Public property exempt from taxation.

Public Property.

Because a city's stormwater charge served the non-regulatory purpose of raising revenue for cleaning, maintaining, and expanding the city's streets and stormwater infrastructure, it was not a fee incidental to regulation and enacted pursuant to the city's police powers under Idaho Const., Art. XII, § 2. Rather, it was an unlawful revenue-generating tax, lacking legislative authorization under Idaho Const., Art. VII, § 6, and its imposition on governmental entities was barred by this section. Lewiston Indep. Sch. Dist. #1 v. City of Lewiston, 151 Idaho 800, 264 P.3d 907 (2011).

## § 5. Taxes to be uniform — Exemptions.

Analysis

Conflicting assessments. Double taxation. Irrigation districts.

Conflicting Assessments.

Costs and attorney fees were awarded to a taxpayer in an appeal from a county assessor's decision to assess property as non-operating after it had already been assessed as operating by the Idaho tax commission because there was no reasonable basis for the decision to include the real property on the tax rolls under § 63-311. The assessment amounted to double taxation. Union Pac. Land Res. Corp. v. Shoshone County Assessor, 140 Idaho 528, 96 P.3d 629 (2004).

#### **Double Taxation.**

An order issued by a district court under § 1-2218, requiring a city to provide suitable and adequate quarters for a magistrate division of the district court, did not impose a tax or raise general revenue and, thus, did not implicate the prohibition in this section against duplicative and non-uniform taxes. City of Boise v. Ada County (In re Facilities & Equip. Provided by the City of Boise), 147 Idaho 794, 215 P.3d 514 (2009).

#### Irrigation Districts.

Under this section, as long as the connection fees for the delivery of domestic and irrigation water conform to the statutory scheme set forth in the Irrigation District Domestic Water System Revenue Bond Act, §§ 43-1907 to 43-1920 and are allocated and budgeted in conformity with that act, they are not taxes; whether or not they conform to the statutory scheme and are allocated and budgeted in conformity with the act are issues of fact. Viking Constr., Inc. v. Hayden Lake Irrigation Dist., 149 Idaho 187, 233 P.3d 118 (2010), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

## § 6. Municipal corporations to impose their own taxes.

Analysis

Construction.
Irrigation districts.

#### Construction.

Because a city's stormwater charge served the non-regulatory purpose of raising revenue for cleaning, maintaining, and expanding the city's streets and stormwater infrastructure, it was not a fee incidental to regulation and enacted pursuant to the city's police powers under Idaho Const., Art. XII, § 2. Rather, it was an unlawful revenue-generating tax, lacking legislative authorization under this section, and its imposition on governmental entities was barred by Idaho Const., Art. VII, § 4. Lewiston Indep. Sch. Dist. #1 v. City of Lewiston, 151 Idaho 800, 264 P.3d 907 (2011).

#### Irrigation Districts.

District court did not err in holding that the irrigation district was exercising its proprietary function when it imposed a water connection fee. Viking Constr., Inc. v. Hayden Lake Irrigation Dist., 149 Idaho 187, 233 P.3d 118 (2010), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

# § 12. State tax commission, members, terms, appointment, vacancies, duties, powers — County boards of equalization, duties.

Cited in: Union Pac. Land Res. Corp. v. Shoshone County Assessor, 140 Idaho 528, 96 P.3d 629 (2004).

## § 13. Money — How drawn from treasury.

**Cited in:** State v. District Court, 143 Idaho 695, 152 P.3d 566 (2007).

§ 18. Idaho millennium permanent endowment fund — Idaho millennium income fund — Idaho millennium fund. — There is hereby created in the state treasury an Idaho Millennium Permanent Endowment Fund. The fund shall consist of eighty percent of the moneys received each year by the state of Idaho on and after January 1, 2007, pursuant to the master settlement agreement entered into between tobacco product manufacturers and the state of Idaho, and any other moneys that may be appropriated or otherwise directed to the fund by the legislature, including other moneys or assets that the fund receives by bequest or private donation. The moneys received annually for deposit to the fund, including earnings, shall forever remain inviolate and intact. No portion of the permanent endowment fund shall ever be transferred to any other fund, or used, or appropriated, except as follows: each year, the state treasurer shall distribute five percent of the permanent endowment fund's average monthly fair market value for the first twelve months of the preceding twenty-four months, to the Idaho Millennium Income Fund, and provided, that such distribution shall not exceed the permanent endowment fund's fair market value on the first business day of July.

The Idaho Millennium Income Fund, which is hereby created in the state treasury, is subject to appropriation as provided by law, and shall consist of the distribution from the Idaho Millennium Permanent Endowment Fund and other moneys that may be appropriated or otherwise directed to the fund as provided by law.

The remaining twenty percent of the moneys received by the state of Idaho on and after January 1, 2007, pursuant to the master settlement agreement entered into between tobacco product manufacturers and the state of Idaho and the earnings thereon, shall be deposited to the Idaho Millennium Fund. The fund may consist of any other moneys that may be appropriated or otherwise directed to the fund by the legislature, including other moneys or assets that the fund receives by bequest or private donation. Moneys in the fund shall be allowed to accumulate, but shall not exceed a maximum limit as determined by law. Any amounts so accumulating in the Idaho Millennium Fund which exceed the maximum limit, shall be transferred, no less than once a year, to the Idaho Millennium Permanent Endowment Fund, and such moneys and earnings in the permanent endowment fund shall also remain inviolate and intact.

**Compiler's Notes.** This section was proposed as an amendment to the Idaho Constitution by Senate Joint Resolution 107 (2006).

Senate Joint Resolution No. 107 was adopted by the electorate at the general election of November 7, 2006.

#### **Article VIII**

#### PUBLIC INDEBTEDNESS AND SUBSIDIES

SECTION.

3C. Hospitals and health services — Authorized activities and financing.

3D. Municipal electric systems — Authorized indebtedness.

SECTION.

3E. Airports and air navigation facilities —
Airport related projects —
Revenue and special facility
bond financing.

## § 2. Loan of state's credit prohibited — Holding stock in corporation prohibited — Development of water power.

**A.L.R.** State or local governmental body's action or inaction, in provision of public utility services, benefiting private company as

constituting gift of money, or pledge of credit, to private party in violation of state constitutional provision. 122 A.L.R.5th 337.

## § 3. Limitations on county and municipal indebtedness.

**Cited in:** Viking Constr., Inc. v. Hayden Lake Irrigation Dist., 149 Idaho 187, 233 P.3d 118 (2010).

Analysis

Multi-year power agreement.
Ordinary and necessary expenses.
Payment of judgment.
Redevelopment agencies.
Standing to bring suit.

Multi-Year Power Agreement.

Municipal utility's 17-year power sales agreement with the U.S. department of energy constitutes a liability exceeding the income and revenue provided for that liability in the year in which it was incurred, did not receive the assent of two-thirds of the qualified voting electorate, and did not fit into the exception to this voting requirement under the proviso clause and is, therefore, invalid. City of Idaho Falls v. Fuhriman (In re Validity of the Power Sales Agreement), 149 Idaho 574, 237 P.3d 1200 (2010).

#### Ordinary and Necessary Expenses.

District court erred in determining that a city's plan to incur long term indebtedness for an airport parking expansion was an ordinary and necessary expense on the basis that adequate parking facilities were critical to the operation of the airport. The constitutional requirement to submit such an issue to the electorate cannot not be avoided unless the expenditure is so urgent that it must be made during the current year. City of Boise v. Frazier, 143 Idaho 1, 137 P.3d 388 (2006).

District court erred in determining that a city's plan to incur long term indebtedness for an airport parking expansion was an ordinary and necessary expense on the basis that it was merely repair or improvement of existing facilities. Converting a flat parking lot into a five floor parking garage did not constitute a mere repair or improvement and was such a profound expansion as to constitute an entirely new construction in every meaningful sense. City of Boise v. Frazier, 143 Idaho 1, 137 P.3d 388 (2006).

Payment of Judgment.

As § 31-604 grants a county the right to sue and be sued, it is reasonable to conclude that a county's payment of a judgment arising from a lawsuit in which the county is involved was consistent with the ordinary course of municipal business; a county's payment of such a judgment, thus, would be an "ordinary" and "necessary" expenditure within the meaning of § 31-1608, would not be proscribed by § 31-1607, and would not violate this section. In re Boise County, 465 B.R. 156 (Bankr. D. Idaho 2011).

Redevelopment Agencies.

Where citizen brought suit against an urban renewal agency, alleging the agency's issuance of bonds to finance project violated limitations on actions by municipalities, the court held that the grant of authority to urban renewal agencies to issue revenue allocation bonds did not violate Idaho Const., art. VIII, § 4 or this section, because the agencies were not the alter egos of cities. Urban Renewal Agency of Rexburg v. Hart, 148 Idaho 299, 222 P.3d 467 (2009).

Standing to Bring Suit.

If a taxpayer had standing to challenge a congressional appropriation that violated a specific constitutional limitation upon the congressional taxing and spending power, then there was no logical difference between making an appropriation that was specifically prohibited by the constitution and incurring an indebtedness or liability that was specifically prohibited by the constitution; thus, plaintiff taxpayers, who were electors and

taxpayers of a county, had standing to challenge whether a lease agreement entered into by defendant county violated this section. Although the taxpayers had standing, the lease agreement no longer existed because the county had purchased and sold the property at issue, the matter was moot, and none of the exceptions to the mootness doctrine applied. Koch v. Canyon County, 145 Idaho 158, 177 P.3d 372 (2008).

§ 3C. Hospitals and health services — Authorized activities and financing. — Provided that no ad valorem tax revenues shall be used for activities authorized by this section, public hospitals, ancillary to their operations and in furtherance of health care needs in their service areas, may: (i) incur indebtedness or liability to purchase, contract, lease or construct or otherwise acquire facilities, equipment, technology and real property for health care operations as provided by law; (ii) acquire, construct, install and equip facilities or projects to be financed for, or to be leased, sold or otherwise disposed of to persons, associations or corporations other than municipal corporations and may, in the manner prescribed by law, finance the costs thereof; (iii) engage in shared services and other joint or cooperative ventures; (iv) enter into joint ventures and partnerships; (v) form or be a shareholder of corporations or a member of limited liability companies; (vi) have members of its governing body or its officers or administrators serve as directors, managers, officers or employees of any venture, association, partnership, corporation or limited liability company as authorized by this section; (vii) own interests in partnerships, corporations and limited liability companies. Any obligations incurred pursuant to this section shall be payable solely from charges, rents or payments derived from the existing facilities and the facilities or projects financed thereby and shall not be secured by the full faith and credit or the taxing power of the county, hospital taxing district, the state, or any other political subdivision; and provided further, that any county or public hospital taxing district contracting such indebtedness shall own its just proportion to the whole amount so invested. The authority granted by this section shall be exercised for the delivery of health care and related service and with the prior approval of the governing body of the county, hospital district or other governing body of a public hospital. No provisions of this Constitution including, but not limited to Sections 3 and 4 of Article VIII, and Section 4 of Article XII, shall be construed as a limitation upon the authority granted under this section.

Compiler's Notes. The section was added to Article VIII by S.J.R. 111 (S.L. 1996, p. 1473) and ratified at the general election November 5, 1996, to read as follows: "Hospitals and health services — Authorized activities and financing. — Provided that no ad valorem tax revenues shall be used for activities authorized by this section, public hospitals, ancillary to their operations and in furtherance of health care needs in their

service areas, may: (i) acquire, construct, install and equip facilities or projects to be financed for, or to be leased, sold or otherwise disposed of to persons, associations or corporations other than municipal corporations and may, in the manner prescribed by law, finance the costs thereof; (ii) engage in shared services and other joint or cooperative ventures; (iii) enter into joint ventures and partnerships; (iv) form or be a shareholder of

corporations or a member of limited liability companies; (v) have members of its governing body or its officers or administrators serve as directors, managers, officers or employees of any venture, association, partnership, corporation or limited liability company as authorized by this section: (vi) own interests in partnerships, corporations and limited liability companies. Any obligations incurred pursuant to this section shall be payable solely from charges, rents or payments derived from the existing facilities and the facilities or projects financed thereby and shall not be secured by the full faith and credit or the taxing power of the county, hospital taxing district, the state, or any other political subdivision; and provided further, that any

county or public hospital taxing district contracting such indebtedness shall own its just proportion to the whole amount so invested. The authority granted by this section shall be exercised for the delivery of health care and related service and with the prior approval of the governing body of the county, hospital district or other governing body of a public hospital. No provisions of this Constitution including, but not limited to Sections 3 and 4 of Article VIII, and Section 4 of Article XII, shall be construed as a limitation upon the authority granted under this section."

This section was amended by S.L. 2010, H.J.R. No. 4 and ratified at the November, 2010, general election to read as it now ap-

pears.

- § **3D.** Municipal electric systems Authorized indebtedness. Notwithstanding the limitations and requirements of Section 3, Article VIII, of the Constitution of the State of Idaho, any city owning a municipal electric system may:
- (a) acquire, construct, install and equip electric generating, transmission and distribution facilities for the purpose of supplying electricity to customers located within the service area of each system established by law and for the purpose of paying the cost thereof, may issue revenue bonds with the assent of a majority of the qualified electors voting at an election held as provided by law; and
- (b) incur indebtedness or liability under agreements to purchase, share, exchange or transmit wholesale electricity for the use and benefit of customers located within such service area;

provided that any revenue bonds, indebtedness or liability shall be payable solely from the rates, charges or revenues derived from the municipal electric system and shall not be secured by the full faith and credit or the taxing power of the city, the state or any political subdivision.

**Compiler's Notes.** This section was proposed as an amendment to the Idaho Constitution by S.L. 2010, House Joint Resolution

No. 7, and was adopted by the electorate at the November, 2010, general election.

§ 3E. Airports and air navigation facilities — Airport related projects — Revenue and special facility bond financing. — Political subdivisions of the state and regional airport authorities as defined by law, if operating an airport, may acquire, construct, install, and equip land, facilities, buildings, projects or other property, which are hereby deemed to be for a public purpose, to be financed for, or to be leased, sold or otherwise disposed of to persons, associations or corporations, or to be held by the subdivision or regional airport authority, and may in the manner prescribed by law issue revenue and special facility bonds to finance the costs thereof; provided that any such bonds shall be payable solely from fees, charges, rents, payments, grants, or any other revenues derived from the airport or any of its facilities, structures, systems, or projects, or from any land, facilities, buildings, projects or other property financed by such bonds, and

shall not be secured by the full faith and credit or the taxing power of the subdivision or regional airport authority. No provision of this constitution including, but not limited to, sections 3 and 4 of article VIII and section 4 of article XII, shall be construed as a limitation upon the authority granted under this section.

Compiler's Notes. This section was proposed as an amendment to the Idaho Constitution by S.L. 2010, House Joint Resolution

No. 5, and was adopted by the electorate at the November, 2010, general election.

## § 4. County, etc., not to loan or give its credit.

ANALYSIS

Notice of tort claim required. Redevelopment agencies.

Notice of Tort Claim Required.

Bail bond company's claim under this section, challenging a sheriff's acceptance of bail bond payments by credit card, which the company equated to a cause of action for tortious interference with a business relationship, sounded in tort and was dismissed for lack of timely notice of the claim under § 6-908. Allied Bail Bonds, Inc. v. County of Kootenai, 151 Idaho 405, 258 P.3d 340 (2011).

Redevelopment Agencies.

Where citizen brought suit against an ur-

ban renewal agency, alleging the agency's issuance of bonds to finance project violated limitations on actions by municipalities, the court held that the grant of authority to urban renewal agencies to issue revenue allocation bonds did not violate Idaho Const., art. VIII, § 3 or this section, because the agencies were not the alter egos of cities. Urban Renewal Agency of Rexburg v. Hart, 148 Idaho 299, 222 P.3d 467 (2009).

**A.L.R.** State or local governmental body's action or inaction, in provision of public utility services, benefiting private company as constituting gift of money, or pledge of credit, to private party in violation of state constitutional provision. 122 A.L.R.5th 337.

#### Article IX

#### EDUCATION AND SCHOOL LANDS

SECTION.

10. State University — Location, regents, tuition, fees and lands.

## $\S$ 1. Legislature to establish system of free schools.

Cited in: Idaho Schs. for Equal Educ. Opportunity v. State, 140 Idaho 586, 97 P.3d 453 (2004); State v. District Court, 143 Idaho 695, 152 P.3d 566 (2007).

**Duty of Legislature.** 

District court did not err in finding that the state acted unconstitutionally by failing to provide a safe environment for public school students that would be conducive to learning. Although the state legislature had undertaken some measures, which were commended, these measure did make the controversy moot. Idaho Schs. for Equal Educ. Opportunity v. State, 142 Idaho 450, 129 P.3d 1199 (2005).

## § 6. Religious test and teaching in school prohibited.

Religious Texts.

State education officials were reasonable in their belief that their banning religious texts from public school curriculum was lawful in light of §§ 33-118 and 33-118A, this section, and a legal opinion from a deputy in the attorney general's office upon which they acted. Nampa Classical Acad. v. Goesling, 714 F. Supp. 2d 1029 (D. Idaho 2010).

## § 8. Location and disposition of public lands.

Sale or Disposal of Lands.

Section 58-310A, which exempts cottage site leases from conflict auctions, violates this section, because the word "disposal" in this

section covers any sale or lease. Wasden v. State Bd. of Land Comm'n, — Idaho —, 280 P.3d 693 (2012).

§ 10. State University — Location, regents, tuition, fees and lands. — The location of the University of Idaho, as established by existing laws, is hereby confirmed. All the rights, immunities, franchises, and endowments, heretofore granted thereto by the territory of Idaho are hereby perpetuated unto the said university. The regents shall have the general supervision of the university, and the control and direction of all the funds of, and appropriations to, the university, under such regulations as may be prescribed by law. The regents may impose rates of tuition and fees on all students enrolled in the university as authorized by law. No university lands shall be sold for less than ten dollars per acre, and in subdivisions not to exceed one hundred and sixty acres, to any one person, company or corporation.

Compiler's Notes. As originally adopted, this section provided as follows: "State University — Location, regents, and lands. — The location of the University of Idaho, as established by existing laws, is hereby confirmed. All the rights, immunities, franchises, and endowments, heretofore granted thereto by the territory of Idaho are hereby perpetuated unto the said university. The regents shall have the general supervision of the university, and the control and direction of all

the funds of, and appropriations to, the university, under such regulations as may be prescribed by law. No university lands shall be sold for less than ten dollars per acre, and in subdivisions not to exceed one hundred and sixty acres, to any one person, company or corporation."

This section was amended by S.L. 2009, Senate Joint Resolution No. 101 and ratified at the November, 2010, general election to read as it now appears.

Article X

#### PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS

SECTION.

5. State prisons — Control over.

§ 5. State prisons — Control over. — The state legislature shall establish a nonpartisan board to be known as the state board of correction, and to consist of three members appointed by the governor, one member for two years, one member for four years, and one member for six years. After the appointment of the first board the term of each member appointed shall be six years. This board shall have the control, direction and management of the penitentiaries of the state, their employees and properties, and of adult felony probation and parole, with such compensation, powers, and duties as may be prescribed by law.

Compiler's Notes. This section was proposed as an amendment to the Idaho Constitution by Senate Joint Resolution No. 102

(2012). Senate Joint Resolution No. 102 was adopted by the electorate at the general election of November 2012.

## **Article XI**

#### CORPORATIONS, PUBLIC AND PRIVATE

## § 17. Liability of stockholders — Dues.

**A.L.R.** Validity of warrantless search of other than motor vehicle or occupant of vehi-

cle based on odor of marijuana — State cases. 122 A.L.R.5th 439.

#### **Article XII**

#### CORPORATIONS, MUNICIPAL

#### § 1. General laws for cities and towns.

#### Construction.

Rational basis exists for the grant of immunity in § 72-223, even if the statutory em-

ployer has not had to pay benefits because the direct employer has. Kolar v. Cassia County Idaho, 142 Idaho 346, 127 P.3d 962 (2005).

## § 2. Local police regulations authorized.

Cited in: Potts Constr. Co. v. N. Kootenai Water Dist., 141 Idaho 678, 116 P.3d 8 (2005); Boudreau v. City of Wendell, 147 Idaho 609, 213 P.3d 394 (2009); KGF Dev., LLC v. City of Ketchum, 149 Idaho 524, 236 P.3d 1284 (2010); In re City of Shelley, 151 Idaho 289, 255 P.3d 1175 (2011).

#### ANALYSIS

County regulations. Fee vs. tax. Municipal ordinances. Zoning ordinances.

County Regulations.

Where a dairymen's association and a cattle association filed a complaint challenging the constitutionality of Gooding County, Idaho, Ordinance No. 90, which regulated water quality at confined animal feeding operations (CAFOs), the supreme court of Idaho held that Ordinance 90 did not violate this section. While § 42-101 provides that control over the appropriation of water is vested in the state, regulation of water quality by local government is not preempted; because of Idaho's diverse geographical settings, water regulation at CAFOs does not call for a uniform regulatory scheme. Idaho Dairymen's Ass'n v. Gooding County, 148 Idaho 653, 227 P.3d 907 (2010).

#### Fee vs. Tax.

Because a city's stormwater charge served the non-regulatory purpose of raising revenue for cleaning, maintaining, and expanding the city's streets and stormwater infrastructure, it was not a fee incidental to regulation and enacted pursuant to the city's police powers under this section. Rather, it was an unlawful revenue-generating tax, lacking legislative authorization under Idaho Const., Art. VII, § 6, and its imposition on governmental entities was barred by Idaho Const., Art. VII, § 4. Lewiston Indep. Sch. Dist. #1 v. City of Lewiston, 151 Idaho 800, 264 P.3d 907 (2011).

Municipal Ordinances.

This provision applies to both cities and counties, and to the extent that the Kuna City, Idaho, Code may be interpreted as purporting to authorize judicial review under the Administrative Procedures Act, it conflicts with the general laws of the State. Black Labrador Investing, LLC v. Kuna City Council, 147 Idaho 92, 205 P.3d 1228 (2009).

Where defendant minor was cited for violating Wendell City, Idaho, Ordinance No. 442, a curfew ordinance which prohibited a minor from being in public from 11:00 p.m. till 5:00 a.m., the supreme court of Idaho held that the ordinance was a reasonable time, place, and manner restriction with only an incidental effect on First Amendment freedoms; the ordinance was a valid enactment within the city's power under this section, served the government's interest in keeping juveniles off the streets, and did not reach an amount of conduct that was greater than necessary to further the city's interests in the physical well-being of minors. State v. Doe, 148 Idaho 919, 231 P.3d 1016 (2010).

Zoning Ordinances.

Bingham County, Zoning Ordinance § 17.7 was not applicable when the recommendation of a planning and zoning commission on a rezoning application was to amend the zoning ordinance. Applying § 17.7 in a situation in which the planning and zoning commission had recommended approval of a rezoning ap-

plication, but the application had been denied by the board of county commissioners on the ground that they were split on the issue, would, in essence, delegate to the planning and zoning commission the authority to amend the zoning ordinance in violation of this section and §§ 67-6504 and 67-6511. Brower v. Bingham County Commissioners (In re Zoning Change), 140 Idaho 512, 96 P.3d 613 (2004).

Board of county commissioners acted within its authority under §§ 67-6509, 67-6511, and 67-6535(3) and this section, when it considered two zoning changes pursuant to a single application; and there was no violation of procedural due process because the objec-

tors had sufficient opportunity to express their views. Ciszek v. Kootenai County Bd. of Comm'rs, 151 Idaho 123, 254 P.3d 24 (2011).

Opinions of Attorney General. Because the legislature has authorized both the counties and the state to regulate confined animal feeding operations (CAFOs), and because these authorities overlap, it is unlikely that a court would conclude the state has completely occupied the field of CAFO regulation or that state law provides an exclusive regulatory program that preempts all local regulation. OAG 08-01.

No authority exists for a city to appoint the employees of a private company to serve as "peace officers." OAG 08-02.

#### **Article XV**

#### WATER RIGHTS

## § 1. Use of waters a public use.

Idaho Law Review. Why Does Idaho's Water Law Regime Provide for Forfeiture of

Water Rights?, Peter R. Anderson and Aaron J. Kraft. 48 Idaho L. Rev. 419 (2012).

## § 3. Water of natural stream — Right to appropriate — State's regulatory power — Priorities.

Analysis

Administrative rules.
—Constitutionality.
Preferential rights to water.
Stock watering.

#### Administrative Rules.

#### -Constitutionality.

To the extent that the district court engaged in an "as applied" analysis of the Rules for Conjunctive Management of Surface and Ground Water Resources (CM Rules), it was in error, as administrative remedies had not been exhausted. Am. Falls Reservoir Dist. No. 2 v. Idaho Dep't of Water Res., 143 Idaho 862, 154 P.3d 433 (2007).

As the Rules for Conjunctive Management of Surface and Ground Water Resources (CM Rules) specifically incorporated Idaho law, the failure to recite certain burdens and evidentiary standards, set specific timelines and set objective standards did not make them facially unconstitutional. The CM Rules also survive a facial challenge in the recognition given to partial decrees and in the treatment of carryover water. Am. Falls Reservoir Dist. No. 2 v. Idaho Dep't of Water Res., 143 Idaho 862, 154 P.3d 433 (2007).

#### Preferential Rights to Water.

Because the senior appropriators had acquired water rights, they had property rights

under § 55-101 that could not be taken from them for public or private use, except by due process of law. When there was insufficient water to satisfy both the senior appropriators' and the junior appropriators' water rights, giving the junior appropriators a preference to the use of the water would constitute a taking for which compensation was required under this section. Clear Springs Foods, Inc. v. Spackman, 150 Idaho 790, 252 P.3d 71 (2011).

#### Stock Watering.

Trial court properly determined that United States had not asserted its water rights under the constitutional method of appropriation because, under that method, the United States, as the claimant, was required to put the water to beneficial use, which it had failed to do. On the other hand, a livestock company had established its water rights because the company's predecessors had put the water to beneficial use by watering their stock; no diversion was needed under the constitutional method. Joyce Livestock Co. v. United States (In re SRBA Case No. 39576), 144 Idaho 1, 156 P.3d 502, cert. denied, 552 U.S. 990, 128 S. Ct. 487, 169 L. Ed. 2d 339 (2007).

Idaho Law Review. Why Does Idaho's Water Law Regime Provide for Forfeiture of Water Rights?, Peter R. Anderson and Aaron J. Kraft. 48 Idaho L. Rev. 419 (2012).

## § 4. Continuing rights to water guaranteed.

Analysis

Beneficial use. Irrigation districts.

#### Beneficial Use.

Entity that applies the water to beneficial use has a right that is more than a contractual right. United States v. Pioneer Irrigation Dist. (In re SRBA Case No. 3957), 144 Idaho 106, 157 P.3d 600 (2007).

Irrigation Districts.

Where the United States Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) filed water right claims against irrigation entities regarding projects developed pursuant to the Reclamation Act of 1902, any rights held by BOR were subject to rights of the beneficial users that were served by the irrigation districts because, inter alia, (1) fed-

eral law deferred to state law in determining the rights to water in the reclamation projects, (2) the beneficial users had an interest that was stronger than mere contractual expectancy, and (3) title to the use of the water was held by the consumers or users of the water. United States v. Pioneer Irrigation Dist. (In re SRBA Case No. 3957), 144 Idaho 106, 157 P.3d 600 (2007).

Whenever water is appropriated by any person or corporation for use in agricultural purposes under a sale, rental or distribution, it shall never be diverted from that use and purpose, so long as there may be any demand for the water and to the extent of such demand for agricultural purposes. Viking Constr., Inc. v. Hayden Lake Irrigation Dist., 149 Idaho 187, 233 P.3d 118 (2010), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

#### § 5. Priorities and limitations on use.

Irrigation Districts.

Whenever water is appropriated by any person or corporation for use in agricultural purposes under a sale, rental or distribution, it shall never be diverted from that use and purpose, so long as there may be any demand

for the water and to the extent of such demand for agricultural purposes. Viking Constr., Inc. v. Hayden Lake Irrigation Dist., 149 Idaho 187, 233 P.3d 118 (2010), overruled on other grounds, Verska v. St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr., 151 Idaho 889, 265 P.3d 502 (2011).

#### **Article XVIII**

#### COUNTY ORGANIZATION

## § 6. County officers.

Commissioners.

County commissioners' supervisory authority under § 31-802 to control other constitutional officers did not extend to the sheriff's bail procedures. The commissioners were not

empowered to direct the sheriff's conduct regarding bail, which was a matter within the sheriff's authority. Allied Bail Bonds, Inc. v. County of Kootenai, 151 Idaho 405, 258 P.3d 340 (2011).

#### Article XXI

#### SCHEDULE AND ORDINANCE

## § 19. Religious freedom guaranteed — Disclaimer of title to Indian lands.

Idaho Law Review. Time to Recommit: The Department of Justice's Indian Resources Section, the Trust Duty, and Affirmative Litigation, Thad Blank. 48 Idaho L. Rev. 391 (2012).

## PARALLEL REFERENCE TABLES

Am § NE = amendment of section not enacted

Appn. = appropriation

App./S. = Application of other code section

ch. or c. = chapter

Const. = constitutionality

Eff. = effective date

Emer. = emergency

EE = emergency and effective date

(E. S.) = extra session

Exp. = Expiration

Hist. D = Historical Documents

Init. Meas. = Initiative measure Leg. = legalizing act

Legis. Int. = Legislative Intent

n. = note

PR = partial repeal

p. = page

Ref. = referendum

Ren. = renumbered

R = repealed

Rplg. = repealing

Sav. Cl. = saving clause

Sep. = separability

Sev. = severability

Spec. = special act or section

S. = Superseded

Temp. = temporary

Unconst. = Unconstitutional

Val. = Validation

Veto = Vetoed

## SESSION LAWS OF 2005

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1	Appn.	11	2	Emer.	25	6	6-1404
	2	Emer.	12	1	72-1351A		7	6-1405
2	1	Appn.	13	1	67-4704		8	6-1406
	2	Emer.		2	67-4711		9	6-1407
3	1	Appn.		3	67-4712		10	6-1408
	2	Emer.		4	67-4715		11	6-1409
4	1	Appn.		5	72-1336		12	9-340D
	2	Emer.	14	1	63-3004		13	9-349A
5	1	23-950		2	63-3022		14	19-2720
	2	72-1316A		3	Emer.	-	16	22-1501
	3	72-1319B	15	1	63-3622K		17	22-1502
	4	72-1337	16	1	63-3022F		18	22-1505
	5	72-1347A		2	Emer.		19	22-1506
	6	72-1347B	17	1	63-3049		20	22-1507
	7	72-1349	18	1, 2	63-3638		21	22-1510
	8	72-1350		3	Eff.		22	22-1511
	9	72-1351	19	1	25-3102		24	25-3601
	10	72-1354		2	25-3104		25	25-3602
	11	72-1359	20	1	36-1403		26	25-3603
	12	72-1360	21	1	63-3026A		27	25-3604
	13	72-1366	i	2	Emer.		28	25-3605
	14	72-1367	22	1	63-3061A		29	25-3606
	15	72-1369	23	1	63-3029B		30	25-3607
	16	72-1372		2	63-3029E		31	25-3608
	17	Emer.		3	63-3029F		32	25-3702
	18	Eff.		4	63-3029I		33	25-3703
6	1-3	Appn.		5	63-3033		34	25-3705
	4	Emer.		6	63-3036		35	25-3707
7	1	Appn.		7	63-3068		36	25-3709
	2	Emer.		8	Emer.		37	28-51-101
8	1	Appn.	24	1	63-1701		38	28-51-102
	2	Emer.		2	63-1705		39	31-869
9	1, 2	Appn.		3	Emer.		40	31-3550
	3	Emer.	25	1	5-248		41	31-3551
10	1	Appn.		3	6-1401		42	31-3552
	2	Emer.		4	6-1402		43	31-3553
11	1	Appn.		5	6-1403		44	31-3554

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
25	45	31-3555	25	114	56-1302	59	2	Emer
	46	31-3556		115	56-1303	60	1	33-206
	47	31-3557		116	56-1304	61	2	33-207
	48 49	33-1003B 33-1514		117 118	56-1305 56-1306	61 62	1	49-418A 41-1024
	51	33-4901		119	57-234	63	1	49-1004
	52	33-4902		120	63-3622II	64	1	49-1901
	53	33-4903		121	67-820	65	1	33-2211
	54	33-4905		122	67-62		2	33-3006
	55	33-4906	26	1	31-1419A		3	33-3405
	56	49-304	27	1	21-114	66	1	41-5602
	57	Rplg.		2	46-1006	67	1	41-2005
	58	34-439		3	46-1009	68	1	20-225
	59	39-1457B	28	1	Rplg.	69	1	67-3012
	61	39-5001	20	$\frac{2}{1}$	63-2421	70	2	67-3013
	62 63	39-5004 39-5005	29 30	1	38-1209 63-119	70	2	49-402 49-426
	64	39-5006	30	2	63-3065	71	1	41-5510
	65	39-5007	31	1	63-701	72	1	41-2918
	66	39-5008	32	1	23-1327		2	41-2926
	68	39-8201	33	1	36-1301	73	1	41-1325
	69	39-8202	34	1	36-1402	74	1	41-291
	70	39-8203	35	1	36-106		2	41-292
	71	39-8204	36	1	14-518		3	41-295
	72	39-8205	37	1	54-1507	75	1	41-335
	73	39-8206	38	1	54-2907		2	Rplg
	74	39-8207	39	1	39-7802		3	41-4807
	75 76	16-1513	40	1 1	39-8403	76 77	1 1	41-1940 41-231
	76 77	16-1608 16-1609	41	2	Rplg. 50-907	''	2	Rplg
	78	16-1629		3	50-908		3	41-235
	79	16-1634		4	50-909		4	Rplg
	80	16-2007	42	1	33-2110A		5	41-1016
	82	40-2201	43	1-9	Appn.		6	41-1301
	83	40-2202		10	Emer.		7	Rplg
	84	40-2203	44	1	25-238		8	41-1321
	85	40-2204	45	1	54-1841		9	Rplg
	86	40-2205	46	1	54-3405		10	41-1417
	87	40-2206		2	Rplg.		11	41-1426
	88	40-2207		3	54-3405C		12	41-1432
	89	40-2208		4 5	54-3408 54-3411		13	41-1434
	90	40-2209	47	1	54-1109		14	Rplg
	91	40-2210	48	1	15-5-420		15	41-1440
	92	40-2211	49	1	15-5-315		16	41-1610
	93	40-2212		$\overset{-}{2}$	15-5-316		17	41-1614
	94	40-2213		3	15-5-434		18	41-1619
	95 96	41-287 $41-5501$		4	15-5-435		19 20	41-1620
	96 97	50-2032	50	1	15-5-419		21	41-1621 41-1622
	98	54-2607	51	1	15-5-303		22	41-1623
	100	54-3801		2	15-5-404		23	Rplg.
	101	54-3802	52	1	15-5-310		24	41-2309
	102	54-3803		2	15-5-407A		25	Rplg
	103	54-3804	53	1	67-8901 — 67-8924		26	41-2316
	104	54-3805	54	1	54-5002	78	1	41-213
	105	54-46	34	2	54-5002		2	41-1237
	106	54-4601	55	1	31-3201G		3	Rplg
	107	54-4604		2	Eff.		4	41-1327
	108	55-28	56	1	22-4720		5	41-4805
	109	55-2801	57	1	39-175A —	79	1	41-5701, 41-5702
	110	55-2802			39-175C	80	1	Rplg
	111	55-2803	58	1	9-340D		2	19-4301
	112	56-13		2	Emer.	81	1	41-2401
	113	56-1301	59	1	Appn.	82	1	54-1003A

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
32	2	54-1013	114	1	19-4705	144	1	49-1613
33	1	49-104		2	31-3201A	145	1	49-114
	2	49-124	115	3	31-3201B	1.40	2	49-1629
34	3 1	49-657 54-3102	115	1 3	18-8324 19-5201	146 147	$\frac{1}{1-4}$	Appn.
54	2	54-3102		4	19-5201	148	1-4	Appn. Ineff.
	3	54-3109		5	19-5203	140	4	Emer.
	4	54-3110		6	19-5204	149	1	42-1763B
	5	54-3111		7	67-3008		2	Emer.
35	1	49-1010	116	1	25-2907	150	1	42-1507
36	1	56-118		2	Emer.		2	Emer.
37	1	54-2411	117	1	49-227	151	1	18-1501
38	1	33-1508	118	1	18-7001	150	2	Emer.
39 90	1 1	59-1315 59-1305	119 120	1	49-1401	152 153	1 1	19-2515 54-5201 —
90 91	1	59-1303	120	2	Rplg. 39-4501 —	193	1	54-5201 — 54-5219
92	1	20-525A			39-4514		2	Emer.
93	1	19-851		3	5-332	154	1	49-402
94	1	20-505		4	31-3910		2	49-416B
95	1	1-102		5	39-3902	-	3	Eff.
96	1	39-5503		6	54-1142	155	1	Ineff.
	2	Emer.		7	56-1015	150	2	Emer.
97	1	33-1405	121	8 1	66-405 15-3-1205	156	$1 \\ 2$	Ineff. Emer.
0.0	2	Emer.	121	1	15-8-101 —	157	1	20-237B
98 99	1 1	49-808 15-7-601	122	1	15-8-103, 15-8-	158	1	18-918
100	1	58-335A			201 - 15-8-212,	159	1	67-1224
101	1	32-1214B			15-8-301 — 15-8-305		2	67-1225
102	1	49-402	123	1	15-2-108	160	1	49-450
	2	49-416C	124	1	32-918		2	49-450A
	3	67-4725	125	1	40-902		3	Emer.
	4	Eff.	126	1	49-909	161	1	72-432
103	1	39-1392a	127	1	34-408	162	2 1	72-706 58-406
10.4	2	39-1392e	128	1	18-3302H	163	$\overset{1}{2}$	67-8801
104	1	63-3067A	129	$\frac{1}{2}$	67-5221	105	3	67-8802
105	2 1	57-820 54-2015	130	1	Emer. Rplg.		4	67-8803
105	$\overset{1}{2}$	54-2016	131	1	19-510A		5	67-8804
	3	Rplg.	101	2	19-5109		6	67-8805
106	1	54-2013		3	20-209C		7	67-8806
107	1	54-2004	132	1	33-5502	164	1	67-7125
	2	54-2012		2	33-5504	165	1	18-3302
	3	54-2016		3	33-5505	166	$\frac{1}{2}$	18-1505 18-1505A
	4	54-2018	100	4	33-5507		3	39-5310
	5	54-2019	133 134	1, 2 1, 2	Appn. Appn.	167	1	39-103
	6	54-2023	135	$1, 2 \\ 1, 2$	Appn. Appn.		2	39-118A
	7	54-2025	136	1, 2	Appn.		3	47-1501
	8	54-2027	137	1	Appn.		4	47-1502
	9 10	54-2032 54-2033		2	Legis. Int.		5	47-1503
	11	54-2036	138	1, 2	Appn.		6	47-1505
	12	54-2041	139	1	22-4802		7 8	47-1506 47-1507
	13	54-2042	140	1	54-808		9	47-1507
	14	54-2043	141	1	67-2913A		10	47-1512
	15	54-2056		2 3	67-7103 67-7104		11	47-1513
	16	54-2062		4	67-7104		12	47-1514
108	1	41-4303	142	1	26-2914		13	47-1517
	2	41-4309		2	26-2915		14	47-1518
109	1	72-1019		3	26-2916		15	42-202B
110	1	55-1512		4	26-2917	168	1, 2	Appn.
111	1	53-637	143	1	54-5101 —	169	1, 2	Appn.
1 <b>12</b> 113	1 1	36-1603 15-5-207		0	54-5117 67 2601	170	1, 2 1-3	Appn. Appn.
110	$\frac{1}{2}$	15-5-213		2	67-2601 67-2602	171	1-5 4	Legis. Int.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
171	5, 6	Appn.	194	1	Appn.	213	30	54-1916
172	1-3	Appn.		2	Emer.		31	54-1926
	4	Legis. Int.	195	1	Appn.		32	54-1926A
173	1	33-3722	100	2	Emer.		33	54-4503
174	$\frac{1}{2}$	21-101 21-106	196 197	1-3 1, 2	Appn.		34 35	54-4508
	3	21-501	198	1, 2	Appn. Appn.		36	Rplg. 59-1026
	4	21-502	199	1-3	Appn.		37	67-2801 —
	5	21-503	200	1	62-604	}	00	67-2808
	6	21-504		2	62-605		38 39	67-4912 67-5711
	7 8	21-505 21-505A		3 4	62-607A 62-616A		40	67-5711C
	9	21-505A 21-506		5	62-617		41	70-1612
	10	21-515		6	62-622A		42	70-1613
	11	21-516	201	1	67-4223	214	1	18-1359
	12	21-517	202	1	49-714		2 3	59-210
175	13 1	21-701	203 204	1 1	21-401 49-666	215	1	59-208 6-2601 —
170	2	Appn. Emer.	204	1	49-720	210	•	6-2608
176	1	38-134	206	1	39-6701 —	216	1	18-1505B
177	1	18-101A			39-6717	217	1 2	19-3807
	2	18-6110	207	1-6	Appn. 63-3022H	ļ	3	55-403 Rplg.
178	$rac{1}{2}$	63-802 31-1420	208	$1 \\ 2$	Emer.	218	1	54-1723
	3	51-1420 Emer.	209	1	49-672	219	1	18-3126A
179	1	36-201	210	1	Rplg.		2	18-3128
180	1	36-1101		2	33-3717	000	3	Emer.
181	1	20-618		3 4	33-3717A	220 221	1 1	67-5224 1-1613A
182	$\frac{2}{1}$	20-619 49-434A	ĺ	5	33-3717B 33-3717C	222	1	54-2514A
104	2	49-434A 49-1013		6	33-3720	223	1	20-511A
183	1	49-105		7	33-4306	224	1, 2	Appn.
	2	49-115		8	33-4403	225	1-4 1, 2	Appn.
	3	49-123	211	$1 \\ 2$	36-417 Eff.	226 227	1, 2	Appn. Appn.
	4 5	49-402 49-663	212	1-3	Appn.	228	1, 2	Appn.
184	1	54-2213	213	1	31-1001	229	1, 2	Appn.
101	2	54-2214		2	31-3615	230	1, 2	Appn.
185	1	54-2203		3	Rplg.	231	1 1-3	Appn.
	2	54-2205		4 5	33-402 33-601	232 233	1-3	Appn. 18-8304
186	3 1	54-2219 19-2601		6	33-601A	200	2	18-8307
187	1	20-505		7	40-901	234	1	54-312
188	1	1-2005		8	Rplg.	235	1	54-1016
	2	1-2221		9	42-3115	236	1 2	Rplg. 30-901 —
189	1	61-801 — 61-809		10 11	Rplg. 42-3212		۷	30-921, 30-931 —
	2	Emer.		12	42-4416		_	30-935
190	1	2-204		13	43-318A	007	3	45-1504
	2	2-205		14	43-901	237 238	1 1	41-2401 28-23-101
	3	2-206		15	43-903	200	2	28-23-101
	4 5	2-207		16	43-2508		3	28-23-105
	6	2-208 2-209		17 18	Rplg. 50-342		4	28-23-106
	7	2-210		19	50-344		5	28-23-108
	8	2-211		20	50-1710		6 7	28-24-102 28-24-103
	9	2-212		21	50-2006		8	28-24-104
	10 11	2-213 2-214		22	50-2620 50-2621		9	28-24-104A —
	11 12	2-214 $2-217$		$\frac{23}{24}$	50-2621 54-1901		10	28-24-104E
191	1	33-802		25	54-1903	239	10 1	28-24-105 18-6901 —
	2	33-808		26	54-1904A	200	1	18-6905
	3	33-1002D		27	54-1913	240	1	1-1623
192	1, 2	Appn.		28	54-1914		2	31-3201
193	1, 2	Appn.		29	54-1915	i	3	31-3201A

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
241	1	63-701	265	2	67-2750	280	12	39-3313
	2	Emer.		3	67-2751		13	39-3315
242	1	63-3622RR		4	67-2752		14	39-3316
	2 3	63-3622NN 63-3622D		5 6	67-2753 67-2754		15 16	39-3318
	4	Emer.		7	67-2755		17	39-3321 39-3322
243	1	31-2401		8	67-2756		18	39-3324
240	2	31-2401		9	67-2757		19	39-3325
	3	31-2402A		10	67-2758		20	39-3326
	4	31-2404		11	67-2759		21	39-3330
	5	31-2410		12	67-2760		22	39-3331
	6	31-2411		13	67-2761		23	39-3332
	7	31-2417		14	67-2762		24	39-3333
	8	31-2419		15	26-3701 —		25	39-3340
	9	55-810			26-3715		26	39-3345
	10	55-1910		16	26-1111		27	39-3349
244	1	42-3239		17	26-2226		28	39-3351
	2	Emer.		18	26-2501		29	39-3352
245	1, 2	Appn.		19	26-2505		30	39-3354
	3	Emer.	966	20 1	Sev. 54-309		31	39-3354A
246	1-3	Appn.	266 267	1	41-1218		32	39-3355
0.45	4	Emer.	268	1	41-3608		34	39-3501
247	1	Appn.	200	2	41-3616		35	39-3502
248	2 1	Emer.	269	1	49-1305		36 37	39-3506
440	2	Appn. Emer.	200	2	Eff.		38	39-3507 39-3508
249	1-8	Appn.	270	1	39-1447A		39	39-3509
250	1, 2	Appn.	271	1	63-604		40	39-3511
251	1-4	Appn.		2	Emer.		41	39-3516
252	1-3	Appn.	272	1	30-1-401		42	39-3519
253	1, 2	Appn.		2	30-3-27		43	39-3505
254	1	67-6602		3	53-202		44	39-3520
	2	67-6630		4	53-503		45	39-3521
	3	67-6625		5	53-602		46	39-3522
	4	31-2012	273	1	48-506		47	39-3523
	5	50-477	274	f. 1	30-1-1622		48	39-3524
	6	1-2220A		2	30-3-136		49	39-3525
255	1-4	Appn.		3	53-613		50	39-3526
	5	33-1002C	275	4 1	53-3-1003 54-507		51	39-3527
	6	33-5208	210	2	54-521		52	39-3528
	7	33-5203	276	1	9-340D	0	53	39-3503
	8	Emer.	2.0	2	Emer.		54	39-3513
	9	Eff.	277	1	Rplg.		55	39-3504
256	1-6	Appn.		2	54-2901 —		56	39-3510
257	1-6	Appn.			54-2927		57	39-3512
	7	Rplg.		3	67-2601	001	58	63-701
	8	33-1002		4	67-2602	281	1	31-3502
	9	33-1003B	278	1	67-5901	282	1	34-2409
	10	Rplg.		2	67-5902	283	1	63-602G
050	11	Eff.		3	67-5903	904	2	Emer.
258	1 1	33-3405		4	67-5909	284	$rac{1}{2}$	63-602HH
259	2	67-7702 67-7709		5 6	67-5910 67-5911	905	1	Emer. Appn.
	3	67-7716	279	1	63-602HH	285 286	1	Appn.
	4	67-7717	280	1		287	1	Appn.
	5	67-7718	200	3	Rplg. 39-3301	201	$\frac{1}{2}$	Emer.
	6	67-7719		4	39-3301	288	1	Appn.
260	1	6-902		5	39-3303	289	1-3	Appn.
200	2	6-903		6	39-3304	290	1, 2	Appn.
261	1	26-3103		7	39-3304	250	3	Emer.
262	1	26-3103		8	39-3306	291	1	31-2224
263	1	28-41-302		9	39-3307	292	1	39-115, n.
264	1	54-2505		10	39-3308		2	39-115
	1	Rplg.	1	11	39-3309	293	1	63-2401

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
293	2	Emer.	334	1	39-3602	358	8	19-5609
294	1	56-209d		2	39-3611	0.50	9	31-3201E
295	$\frac{2}{1}$	Eff. 54-1904A		3 4	39-3615 39-3616	359	$\frac{1}{2}$	18-113 18-902
290	$\frac{1}{2}$	67-2805		5	59-5616 Emer.		3	18-904
296	1-3	Appn.	335	1	54-3610		4	18-2509
297	1-4	Appn.	336	1	66-1106		5	18-2510
298	1-4	Appn.		2	66-1107		6	18-3312
299	1, 2	Appn.	337	1	65-107		7	18-3613
300	1-3	Appn.		2	65-202		8	18-4621
301	1-6	Appn.	338	1	67-6537		9	18-4626
302 303	1, 2 1	Appn. 18-923	339	$\frac{1}{2}$	Rplg. 67-1901		10 11	18-6711A 18-6713
303	2	Emer.		3	67-1901		12	18-7011
304	1	56-218		4	67-1903		13	18-8001
305	1	6-2402		5	67-1904		14	31-714
306	1	54-602		6	67-1905		15	50-302
307	1	16-2406		7	67-3507	360	1	1-1625
	2	16-2409	340	1	33-512		2	19-4705
	3	16-2415	0.41	2	33-514	0.01	3	23-217
	4	16-2416	341	$\frac{1}{2}$	47-1206	361 362	1-4	Appn.
	5 6	16-2418 16-2419	342	1	47-1513 39-6007	362	1, 2 3	Other 42-1740
	7	16-2413	343	1-5	Appn.		4	42-1740
308	1	33-4904	344	1-4	Other		5	42-1754
	2	49-453		5	Sev.		6	Other
309	1	49-420A	345	1-6	Appn.		7	Sev.
310	1	49-662	346	1-4	Appn.		8	Emer.
	2	49-1301	347	1-11	Appn.	363	1	42-620
311	1	67-8902	348	1-5	Appn.	364	1	70-2203
	2 3	67-8903	349	1, 2 1, 2	Appn.	265	$\frac{2}{1}$	Emer.
	3 4	67-8908 67-8925	350 351	1, 2	Appn.	365 366	1-4	Appn. Appn.
312	1	56-1004A	352	1	Appn. 18-8002A	367	1	42-5201
012	2	Eff.	302	2	18-8004A	501	2	42-5210
313	1-4	Appn.		3	18-8005		3	42-5212
314	1-6	Appn.		4	49-105		4	42-5214
315	1, 2	Appn.		5	49-306		5	42-5218A
316	1	Appn.		6	49-313		6	42-5218B
317 318	1, 2 1-4	Appn.		7	49-325		7 8	42-5218C 42-5218D
319	1-4	Appn. Appn.		8	49-326		9	42-52160
320	1	54-3604	050	9	49-335		10	42-5224
321	1	39-118	353	$\frac{1}{2}$	41-5203 41-5208		11	42-5233
	2	39-118, n.		3	41-5212		12	42-5242
322	1-10	Appn.		4	41-5501		13	42-5244
323	1-3	Appn.		5	41-5505		14	42-5245
324	1	39-115		6	41-5507		15	42-5251
325 326	1, 2 $1$	Appn.		7	41-5509		16	42-5253 42-5256
327	1	33-4302 19-5506		8	41-5510		17 18	42-5259
021	2	Eff.		9	41-5511		19	Sev.
328	1-11	Appn.	354	1-3	Appn.		20	Emer.
	12	Emer.	355	1	63-3622QQ	368	1	36-2203
329	1	54-5101 —	256	2 1	Emer. 67-7702	369	1	63-2901 —
	2	54-5118	356	2	67-7710		0	63-2910
	3	Other 67-2601	357	1	23-903b		2 3	63-3067
	4	67-2602	551	2	23-956		3 4	Sev. Emer.
330	1-6	Appn.	358	2	19-5601	370	1	63-4401 —
	7	Emer.		3	19-5602	310	1	63-4409
331	1	42-1207		4	19-5603		2	63-606A
	2	18-4308		5	19-5606		3	Sev.
332	1	16-1629		6	19-5607	0.5.	4	Emer.
333	1	9-335	1	7	19-5608	371	1	72-803

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
372	1	61-1601 —	389	8	67-8727	391	46	16-2001
	4.0	61-1611		9	67-8728		47	16-2002
373	1-3	Appn.		10	Emer.		48	16-2003
	4	Emer.	390	1	67-1222		49	16-2005
374	1-8	Appn.	391	1	6-210		50	16-2007
375	1-10	Appn.		2	6-1903		51	16-2010
	11	Emer.		3	16-1506		52	18-609A
376	1	33-5202A		4	16-1513		53	39-258
	2	33-5203		5	16-1602		54	39-259
	3	33-5204		6	16-1603		55	39-270
	4	33-5205		7	16-1605		56	39-8205
	5	33-5205A		8	16-1606		57	39-8206
	6	33-5206		9	16-1607		58	54-4407
	7	33-5207		10	16-1608		59	66-317
	8	33-5208		11	16-1609		60	66-324
	9	33-5209		12	16-1610	392	1	33-1121
	10	33-5210		13	16-1611		2	33-1123
377	1	33-1216		14	16-1612		3	Emer.
378	1	40-105		15	16-1613	393	1	18-602
	2	40-108		16	16-1614		2	18-604
	3	40-315		17	16-1615		3	18-605
	4	40-702		18	16-1616		4 -	18-609A
	5	40-707		19	16-1617		5	18-614
	6	40-718		20	16-1618		6	Other
	7	67-6201		21	16-1619		7	Sev.
	8	67-6205		22	16-1620		8	Eff.
	9	67-6206		23	16-1621	394	1, 2	Appn.
	10	67-6210		24	16-1622	395	1-6	Appn.
	11	Sev.		25	16-1623	396	1-7	Appn.
379	1	36-602		26	16-1624	397	1-4	Appn.
	2	36-306		27	16-1625	398	1-4	Appn.
	3	36-416		28	16-1626	399	1, 2	Appn.
380	1-3	Appn.		29	16-1627	333	3	1-2222
	4	Emer.		30	16-1628		4	59-502
381	1, 2	Appn.		31	16-1629	400	1	42-1763B
	3	Emer.		32	16-1629	400	2	42-1703B Emer.
382	1-3	Appn.		33		401	1	
383	1, 2	Appn.			16-1631	401		22-413
384	1-4	Appn.		34	16-1632	402	1	67-818
385	1-5	Appn.		35	16-1633	400	2	Emer.
386	1	Appn.		36	16-1634	403	1	Rplg.
387	1	22-626		37	16-1635	ŀ	2	36-115
388	1	22-2226		38	16-1636		3	36-122
389	1	67-8702		39	16-1637		4	36-1108
	2	67-8705		40	16-1638		5	Appn.
	3	67-8710		41	16-1639	404	1	63-2506
	4	67-8712		42	16-1640		2	63-2509
	5	67-8713		43	16-1641		3	63-2520
	6	67-8716		44	16-1642	405	1	63-3026A
	7	67-8725		45	16-1643		2	Emer.

## SESSION LAWS OF 2006

Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1	Legis. Int.
	2	Appn.
	3	Emer.
2	1-3	Appn.
	4	Emer.
3	1	Appn.
	2	Emer.

Ch.	Section	Herein
4	1	Legis. Int.
	2	Appn.
	3	Emer.
5	1, 2	Appn.
	3	Emer.
6	1	Appn.
	0	Eman

	Ch.	Section	Herein
	7	1	Appn.
ı		2	Emer.
Į	8	1	Appn.
1		2	Other
ł		3	Emer.
ı	9	1, 2	Appn.
į		3	Emer.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
10	1	Appn.	27	3	Rplg.	51	11	Rplg.
	2	Emer.		4	41-727		12	65-509
11	1	Appn.	28	1	54-3611		13	65-510
10	$\begin{smallmatrix}2\\1,2\end{smallmatrix}$	Emer.	29	$\frac{1}{2}$	23-1309A		14 15	Rplg. 65-511
12	3	Appn. Emer.	30	1	23-1319 $41-2515$		16	67-5302
13	1	72-1347B	31	1	40-510		17	67-5302
14	1	67-4726	01	$\overset{1}{2}$	40-511		18	49-123
15	1	55-3001	32	1	45-1704	52	1	54-2315
		55-3015		2	45-1705	53	1	50-609
16	1	9-340D	i	3	Emer.	54	1	54-1506
	2	16-1629	33	1	65-201		2	Rplg.
	4	33-5203	0.4	2	Emer.	~ ~	3	54-1508
	5	47-1506	34	1	67-423A	55	1	.63-3077D
	6 8	49-416C 54-5301	35 36	1 1	18-8324 Rplg.	56 57	1 1	63-3033 25-2701
	9	54-5302	30	$\overset{1}{2}$	67-6610C	31	2	25-2701
	10	54-5303		3	Emer.		3	25-2702
	11	54-5304	37	1	47-1513		4	25-2704
	12	54-5305		2	47-1703		5	25-2705
	13	54-5306	38	1	72-1349A		6	25-2706
	14	54-5307		2	72-1366		7	25-2707
	15	54-5308		3	9-340C		8	25-2708
	16	54-5309		4	63-3077A		9	25-2709
	17	54-5310	00	5	Emer.		10	25-2710
	18 19	54-5311 54-5312	39	$\frac{1}{2}$	19-401 19-402		11 12	25-2711 $25-2712$
	20	54-5312		3	Emer.		13	25-2712
	21	54-5314	40	1, 2	Appn.		14	25-2714
	22	54-5315		3	Emer.		15	25-2715
	23	54-5316	41	1	49-402		16	25-2716
	24	54-5317		2	49-415C		17	25-2717
	25	63-602II		3	Eff.	58	1	49-432
	26	67-2601	42	1	67-7101	59	1	63-602HH
	28	67-7702		2	67-7122		2	63-710
	29	72-311		3	67-7128		3	63-2909
17	1	67-1210		4	49-105	00	4	Emer.
18	$rac{1}{2}$	23-203 23-217		5 6	49-122 49-501	60	$\frac{1}{2}$	63-2427C 63-2503
	3	23-309		7	49-1608		3	Rplg.
	4	23-401	43	1	57-724		4	63-2512
	5	23-402	10	2	Emer.		5	63-3035C
	6	23-407	44	1	Other		6	63-2510A
	7	63-3638A		2	57-724A	61	1	63-3620
19	1	59-1320	45	1	41-913	62	1	67-5725
	2	72 - 1422	46	1	18-3313	63	1	63-3022
	3	72-1461	47	1	19-5109		2	Emer.
	4	72-1462		2	19-5112	64	1, 2	Appn.
	5	72-1463	48	1	72-1347A		3	Emer.
	6	72-1464	10	2	Emer.	65	1	Appn.
	7	72-1465	49	1	41-232A	cc	2	Emer.
20	8 1	Eff.		2 3	41-308	66	1, 2 3	Appn. Emer.
$\frac{20}{21}$	1	49-2202 31-814	50	1	41-1016 66-901	67	1	9-340C
22	1	67-6608	51	1	65-501	0,	2	39-4509
	2	Emer.	01	$\frac{1}{2}$	65-502		3	39-4510
23	1	67-6610A		3	Rplg.		4	39-4511
	2	Emer.		4	65-503		5	39-4513
24	1	27-114		5	65-504		6	39-4515
25	1	41-2841		6	65-505		7	Emer.
26	1	41-721		7	65-506	68	1, 2	Appn.
	2	41-722		8	Rplg.		3	Emer.
27	1	41-713		9	65-507	69	1	63-3029C
	2	41-714	L	10	65-508	1	2	Emer.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
70	1	34-304	86	6	22-2505	112	2	Emer.
	2	34-418		7	22-2506	113	1	37-2735A
	3 4	34-432 34-437B		8 9	Rplg.	114	$\frac{2}{1}$	57-816
	5	Emer.		10	Rplg. 22-2507	115	1	18-3302 59-907
71	1	18-1601		11	Rplg.	116	1	54-2203
	2	18-1905		12	22-2508	110	$\overset{-}{2}$	54-2205
	3	18-2308		13	22-2509		3	54-2206
	4	18-2317		14	22-2510		4	54-2207
	5	18-2318		15	22-2511		5	54-2208
	6	18-2322		16	22-2512 $22-2513$		6	54-2209
	7 8	18-2501 $18-3202$	87	17 1	22-2513 22-2807		7 8	54-2210 54-2211
	9	18-3304	01	2	22-2808		9	54-2211
	10	18-3305		3	22-2811		10	54-2213
	11	18-3306	88	1	63-3067A		11	54-2214
	12	18-3809		2	63-3067B		12	54-2215
	13	18-3810		3	57-821		13	54-2216
	14	18-4109	89	1	63-36220		14	54-2217
	15	18-4616	90	1	63-3013		15	54-2218
	16	18-4629	01	2	Emer.		16	54-2219
	17 18	18-6801 18-7020	91 92	1 1	66-326 18-217		17 18	54-2220 54-2221
	19	18-7020	93	1	25-402		19	54-2221
	20	19-4705	94	1	Rplg.		20	54-2223
	21	49-1401		2	5-338		21	54-2224
72	1	1-2012	95	1	37-3301 —		22	67-2601
73	1	1-1623			37-3306		23	67-2602
	2	31-3221	96	1	7-701A	117	1	54-1733
74	1	39-8402	97	$\frac{1}{2}$	Other	118	1	49-402
75	1	70-2203	98	1-3	67-5761A Appn.		2	49-418E
	2 3	70-2204 $70-2205$	99	1-3	Appn.	119	3	Eff.
	4	70-2206	100	1-3	Appn.	119	$rac{1}{2}$	49-402 49-416D
	5	70-2210	101	1-3	Appn.		3	67-4129B
	6	70-2211	102	1	49-524		4	Eff.
76	1	37-2737A		2	49-525	120	1	49-418D
77	1	16-1514	103	1	9-338		2	Emer.
=0	2	16-1514A		2 3	9-339 Eman	121	1	22-4802
78 70	$\frac{1}{2}$	49-624	104	1	Emer. 19-2604	122	1	28-41-201
79	3	39-4001 39-4002	105	1	50-203		2	28-41-301
	4	39-4002	100	2	Rplg.		3	28-45-401
	5	39-4003A		3	50-431		4	28-46-108
	6	39-4004		4	50-432		5 6	28-46-113 Rplg.
	7	Rplg.		5	50-435		7	28-46-301
	8	Rplg.		6	50-436		8	28-46-302
	9	Rplg.		7 8	50-439 50-440		9	28-46-303
00	10	67-2601		9	Rplg.		10	28-46-304
80 81	1 1	54-1904 39-8623		10	50-459		11	28-46-305
82	1	54-1008		11	Rplg.		12	28-46-402
02	2	Rplg.		12	50-612		13	28-46-403
	3	54-1014		13	50-707B		14	28-46-404
83	1	54-1009	106	1	67-6601		15	28-46-407
	2	54-1013		2	67-6602	100	16	Emer.
84	1	33-2211		3	67-6618	123	$\frac{1}{2}$	26-3104 Emer.
0.5	2	33-2303	107	4 1	67-6619 61-502A	124	1	43-201
85	1	18-8501 - 18-8505	107	1	49-1612	124	2	43-401
86	1	22-2501	109	1	39-269		3	43-1808
	2	22-2502	110	1	54-5302	125	1	54-4208
	3	22-2503		2	54-5308		2	54-4211
	4	22-2504	111	1	58-1302		3	54-4213
	5	Rplg.	112	1	Other	126	1	42-1756

Herei	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
Ef	2	174	67-5339	2	150	42-2042	2	126
9-3400	1	175	59-1356	1	151	54-303	1	127
37-272	2		59-1359	1	152	54-305	2	
37-2730	3		Emer.	2		54-307	3	
49-40	1	176	38-104A	1	153	54-308	4	
49-416	2		Emer.	2	154	54-309	5	
Ef.	3	177	19-2520G	1 1	154	54-310	6 1	100
20-51 18-91	1 1	177 178	19-2827 18-5701	1	155 156	54-3003 19-2515	1	$\frac{128}{129}$
18-91	2	170	18-5703	2	150	19-2515A	2	120
18-150	3		19-2604	1	157	Emer.	3	
18-1506	4		5-203	1	158	58-415	1	130
18-150	5		5-204	2		58-1305	1	131
18-1507	6		5-206	3		58-1306	2	
18-1508	7		5-207	4		58-1305	1	132
18-411	8		5-210	5		58-1306	2	
18-660	9		5-211	6		58-1307	1	133
18-830	10		5-213	7	150	58-1302	1	134
18-830	11		54-2508	1	159	58-1312	1	135
18-830 18-831	12 13		54-2512 Emer.	2 3		55-1904 54-1223	1 1	$\frac{136}{137}$
Eme:	13		ьтег. 15-3-1201	3 1	160	67-2901B	1	13 <i>1</i> 138
15-3-120	1	179	15-2-511	1	161	49-674	2	100
56-21	2	113	15-7-701	2	101	Emer.	3	
56-218	3		15-7-402	1	162	54-2103	1	139
15-5-20	1	180	15-1-201	1	163	54-2106	$\overline{2}$	
54-114	1	181	49-109	1	164	Legis. Int.	1	140
15-5-30	2		49-120	2		41-4904	2	
15-5-42	3		49-240	3		Emer.	3	
15-5-21	1	182	49-301	4		Other	1	141
15-5-31	2		49-315	5		Emer.	2	
15-5-43	3		49-321	6		Rplg.	1	142
15-5-43	4		49-323	7		20-227	1	143
Rplg - 15-9-101	5 6		49-335 49-337	8 9		Rplg. 53-2-101 —	$rac{1}{2}$	144
15-9-101 -	O		49-1416	10		53-2-101	2	
201 - 15-9-20			40-1304	1	165	53-2-201 —		
15-10-101 -	7		40-1305C	2	100	53-2-210,		
15-10-105, $15-10201 - 15-10-20$			54-2004	1	166	53-2-301 —		
15-11-101 -	8		54-2014	2		53-2-306,		
15-11-103, 15-11	O		54-2015	3		53-2-401 — 53-2-408,		
201 - 15-11-20			54-2018	4		53-2-501 —		
15-5-20	1	183	54-2023	5		53-2-509,		
18-8501 - 18-850	1	184	54-2027	6		53-2-601 —		
16-650 Se	2		54-2033	7		53-2-607,		
Eme:	3		54-2034	8		53-2-701 — 53-2-704,		
59-135	1	185	54-2036	9 1	167	53-2-801 —		
72-41	1	186	42-3218 36-406	1	167 168	53-2-812,		
72-41	2		36-401	1	169	53-2-901 —		
67-180	1	187	36-408	2	105	53-2-908,		
67-180	2		25-3501	1	170	53-2-1001 — 53-2-1005,		
67-180	3		25-3501A	2		53-2-1005, 53-2-1101 —		
67-180	4		25-3502	3		53-2-1113,		
67-180	5		25-3504	4		53-2-1201 —		
67-180	6		25-3505	5		53-2-1205		
67-180	7		25-3511	6		30-1-401	3	
67-180	8		25-3520A	7		Eff.	4	1.45
67-180 Ef	9 10		25-3520B	8	151	36-401	1	145
Othe	10		46-216	1	171	42-605 $54-2502$	1 1	$\frac{146}{147}$
Appr	1-3	188	46-225	1	172	59-1352	1	147
Eme	4	100	Emer. 33-1301 —	2	179	Rplg.	1	149
	1-4	189	33-1301 — 33-1304	1	173	Other	2	
Appr	1-4							

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
190	3	Emer.	222	1	42-1406B	235	31	63-3029A
191	1-3	Appn.		2	42-1414		32	67-5205
	4	Legis. Int.		3	42-1425	236	1	63-3622SS
192	1-4	Appn.	223	1	49-1627		2	Emer.
193	1	Appn.	224	1	18-8002	237	1	63-3622R
194	1-3	Appn.	225	1	22-2402	238	1	31-4804
195	1	63-3024		2	22-2403	239	1	19-4301A
	2 3	63-3029B 63-3035		3 4	22-2404 $22-2405$	240	$\frac{1}{2}$	33-107
	4	63-3044		5	22-2407		3	33-2401 Rplg.
	5	63-3045A		6	22-2407		4	33-2402
	6	63-2906		7	22-2411		5	Rplg.
	7	63-4406	226	1	25-236		6	33-2403
	8	Emer.		2	25-3001		7	Rplg.
196	1	63-2512		3	36-711		8	33-2404
197	1	Rplg.	227	1	49-454		9	33-2405
	2	Rplg.		2	49-402B		10	33-2406
	3	22-2019		3	Eff.		11	33-2407
198	1	25-1145	228	1	33-601		12	33-2408
100	2	25-1160	229	1	57-1503	0.43	13	33-2409
199	1	41-2908		2 3	67-4223	241	1	39-277
200	$\frac{1}{2}$	43-111 43-112	230	3 1	67-7128 36-112	242	$\frac{1}{2}$	63-3004 Emer.
201	1	67-5008	231	1	72-204	243	1	56-1054
202	1	25-2505	201	2	72-204	244	1	33-120
202	2	25-2510	232	1	33-403C	244	2	33-210
203	1	37-2709	202	2	33-406		3	33-512
204	1	9-207		3	Emer.		. 4	33-515
	2	Eff.	233	1	Rplg.		5	33-1001
205	1	67-5708B		2	63-604		6	33-1004G
	2	67-5711		3	63-602K		7	33-1204
206	1	72-102		4	Emer.	245	1	37-2732B
	2	72-432	234	1	63-712 — 63-721	246	1	19-5109
	3	20-245		2	63-3638	247	1	72-327
	4	72-436		3	Emer.	248	1	6-310
	5	72-438	235	2	33-2501		2	6-311
207	6 1	72-451 41-603		3	33-2502		3 4	6-311A Emer.
208	1	49-1608A		4	33-2503	249	1	19-2520
209	1	63-3029C		5	Rplg.	250	1	68-119
200	2	Emer.		6	33-2504	200	2	68-120
210	1	31-869		7	33-2505	251	1	20-223
211	1	63-3622O		8	33-2506		2	Emer.
	2	Emer.		9	33-2611	252	1	7-1001
212	1	41-1314		10 11	33-2612 33-2613		2	7-1002
213	1	67-6506		12	33-2614		3	7-1003
214	1	39-4501		13	33-2616		4	7-1004
	2	66-317		14	33-2617		5	7-1005
	3	66-318		15	33-2618	-	6	7-1006
	4	66-324		16	33-2619		7	7-1007
	5	66-326		17	33-2702		8	7-1008
	6	66-341		18	33-2703		9	7-1009
0.4 5	7	66-601		19	33-2705		10	7-1010
215	1-3	Appn.		20	33-2706		11	7-1011
010	4	Emer.		21	33-2707		12	7-1012
$\frac{216}{217}$	1	25-610		22	33-2708		13	7-1013 7-1014
217	1	22-5202 67-6529C		23	33-2709 33-2711		14 15	7-1014 7-1015
210	$\frac{1}{2}$	67-6529C 67-6529E		$\frac{24}{25}$	33-2711 33-2716		16	7-1016
219	1	63-3622TT		26	33-2717		17	7-1010
7	2	Eff.		27	33-2717		18	7-1017
220	1	22-103		28	33-2724		19	7-1019
	2	Emer.		29	33-2726		20	7-1020
221	1	67-4237		30	33-4803		21	7-1021

	a .:			0 /				
Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
252	22	7-1022	265	2	39-3404	290	6	Emer.
	$\frac{23}{24}$	7-1023 7-1024		$\frac{3}{4}$	39-3413 49-315	291	$\frac{1}{2}$	72-1003 72-1019
	25	7-1024		5	49-2444		3	Emer.
	26	7-1026	266	1	1-802	292	1	46-1201 —
	27	7-1027		2	1-804			46-1212
	28	7-1028	267	1	1-2224		2	Eff.
	29	7-1029		2	31-3201A	293	1	39-8301 — 39-8304
	30	7-1030	000	3	Eff.		2	41-1313
	31 32	7-1031 7-1032	268	$rac{1}{2}$	59-1305	294	1	18-3302
	33	7-1032	269	1	59-1308 59-509	295	1-8	Appn.
	34	7-1034	270	1	56-238		9	Emer.
	35	7-1035		2	56-240	296	1, 2	Appn.
	36	7-1036	1	3	56-241	005	3	Emer.
	37	7-1037	271	1	Appn.	297	1, 2	Appn.
	38	7-1038		2	Legis. Int.		3 4-6	Legis. Int. Appn.
	39	7-1039	272	1-7	Appn.	298	1, 2	Appn.
	40	7-1040	273	1-5	Appn.	299	1-3	Appn.
	$\frac{41}{42}$	7-1041 $7-1042$	274 275	1, 2 1-3	Appn. Appn.	300	1-5	Appn.
	43	7-1042	276	1-3	Appn.		6	Legis. Int.
	44	7-1044	277	1	39-3124	301	1-8	Appn.
	45	7-1045		2	39-3126		9	Emer.
	46	7-1046		3	39-3125	302	1	63-317
	47	7-1047		4	39-3127		2 3	63-201
	48	7-1048		5	39-3128		4	63-405 Emer.
	49	7-1049		6	Rplg.	303	1	18-3302I
	50	7-1050		7 8	39-3129	304	1	39-501
	51 52	7-1051 7-1052		9	39-3132 39-3133			39-508
	53	7-1052		10	39-3134A		2	42-1756
	54	7-1054	278	1	56-250	305	1	56-256, 56-257
	55	7-1055			56-255	306 307	1 1	33-317 63-3029C
	56	7-1056	279	1	36-1604	307	2	Emer.
	57	7-1057	280	1, 2	Other	308	1	39-7202
	58	7-1058	281	$rac{1}{2}$	56-1004A Other		2	39-7203
	59 60	7-1059 7-1060	282	1	9-340B		3	39-7211
	61	7-1061		2	39-3556	ļ	4	Eff.
	62	7-1062	283	1	39-5603	309	1	63-3029C
	63	Eff.		2	39-5608	310	1	50-2018
253	1, 2	Other	284	1	66-402	311	$\frac{1}{2}$	Legis. Int. 6-2212
254	1	23-616	285	1	54-903		3	33-905
255	1	43-707A		2 3	54-913		4	33-906
256	1	42-201		4	54-919 Rplg.		5	33-907
257	$rac{1}{2}$	67-5343 59-1608		5	54-920		6	33-909
258	1	28-51-104		6	54-921	-	7	33-1018B
	-	28-51-107		7	54-922		8	33-1019
259	1	33-1015		8	54-923		9	39-8006A
260	1	33-1004A		9	54-924		10	39-8011 63-2520
261	1	18-8002		10	54-925	1	11 12	65-2520 Appn.
	2 3	18-8002A 18-8005	286	11 1	54-932 31 <b>-</b> 3205		13	Sev.
	4	18-8006	287	1	39-6306	312	1	63-3029K
262	1	55-1003		2	Emer.	313	1	33-205
	2	Emer.	288	1	36-1406		2	33-512
263	1	1-2208	289	1	23-404		3	18-917A
	2	1-2210		2	Emer.	314	1	63-4402
	3	1-2301	290	1	54-1705		2	63-4403
	4	1-2310		2	54-1733		3	63-4404
264	5 1	39-6316		3	37-3201 54 4702		4	63-4405
	1	46-1008 39-3403		4	54-4702 54-5110		5 6	63-4408 Emer
265	1	39-3403	I	5	54-5110	l	6	Emer.

Herei	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
Appn	1-8	372	Appn.	1-4	333	63-3622D	1	315
Appn	1-11	373	Appn.	1-8	334	63-3622JJ	2	
Appn	1	374	Appn.	1-3	335	63-3622O	1	316
Legis. In	2		Appn.	1, 2	336	Other	1-9	317
Appn	3-6		Legis. Int.	3		31-1401	1	318
Emer	7		Appn.	4		31-1403	2	
Legis. Int	1	375	Appn.	1-4	337	31-1405	3	
Appr	2-8		Appn.	1-5	338	31-1408	4	
Legis. Int	9		Appn.	1-3	339	31-1410A	5	
Appr	1-9	376	Appn.	1-3	340	31-1409	6	
Appr	1, 2	377	Appn.	1-3	341	31-1410	7	
Eme	3		Appn.	1-3	342	31-1411	8	
23-94	1	378	Appn.	1-3	343	31-1413	9	
Ser	2		Appn.	1-4	344	31-1414	10	
Eme	3		Appn.	1-5	345	31-1412	11	
18-831	1	379	Appn.	1-4	346	31-1415	12	
Eme	2		Appn.	1-4	347	31-1416	13	
33-2101	1	380	Appn.	1-7	348	31-1417	14	
33-2109	2		Appn.	1-3	349	31-1418	15	
59-160	3		Legis. Int.	4, 5		31-1419	16	
59-160	4		Appn.	6		31-1420	17	
59-160	5		Legis. Int.	7		Rplg.	18	
67-351	6		63-705	1	350	31-1421	19	
67-530	7		63-701	2		31-1422	20	
67-530	8		Emer.	3		31-1423	21	
Rplg	9		49-1002	1	351	31-1424	22	
67-5309	10		9-337	1	352	31-1425	23	
Rplg	11		9-340B	2		31-1426	24	
67-5309]	12		9-340C	3		31-1427	25	
Rplg	13		67-5241	4		31-1428	26	
67-53090	14		41-2223	1	353	Rplg.	27	
67-53091	15		41-4707	2		31-1429	28	
Rplg	16		41-5207	3		31-1430	29	
67-532	17		18-8329	1	354	Rplg.	30	
67-533	18		Emer.	2	001	31-1431	31	
67-533	19		42-5214	1	355	31-1432	32	
67-533	20		42-5232	2	000	Rplg.	33	
67-534	21		42-5244	3		31-1433	34	
49-80	1	381	42-5251	4		31-1434	35	
56-13	1, 2	382	Emer.	5		31-1435	36	
33-340	1, 2	383	Other	1	356	31-1436	37	
33-340	2	505	Emer.	2	330	31-1437	38	
	1-7	384	33-1618	1	357	63-802	39	
Appr	1-7	385	33-5509	1	358	63-602D	1	319
Appr					300	Emer.	2	
Appr	1, 2	386	Emer.	2	250	63-3029C	1	320
Appr	1, 2	387	41-2401	1	359	Emer.	2	
Appn	1-3	388	41-1842	2	0.00	67-8206	1	321
Appn	1-6	389	49-114	1	360	67-8210	2	
Appn	1-3	390	22-4215	1	361	63-902	1	322
Legis. Int	4	061	22-3811	1	362	63-906	2	
Appn	1	391	22-4720	1	363	28-46-501	1	323
Legis. Int	2		22-2919	1	364	28-46-509		
Appn	3		22-4203	1	365	Appn.	1-3	324
Appn	1-5	392	22-4205	2		Appn.	1	325
Eme	6		63-602E	1	366	63-3622X	1	326
Appn	1-3	393	Emer.	2		Emer.	2	
Legis. Int	4		39-124, 39-125	1	367	Appn.	1-3	327
Appr	1-5	394	Emer.	2		Legis. Int.	4	
Legis. Int	6, 7		61-215	1	368	Appn.	5	
Appn	8		63-102	2		Appn.	1-3	328
Eme	9		72-503	3		Appn.	1-3	329
Appn	1	395	59-502	1	369	Appn.	1-3	330
	1-3	396	57-820	1	370	Appn.	1	331
Appn	1.0	000						

Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
Appn.	1, 2	434	41-4013	12	414	34-503	1	397
Appn.	1, 2	435	41-4014	13		Emer.	2	
Appn.	1-4	436	41-4015	14		Appn.	1	398
56-234	1	437	41-4018	15		Appn.	1	399
Eff.	2		41-4019	16		Appn.	1-3	400
18-604	1, 2	438	41-4020	17		Legis. Int.	4	
18-609	3		41-4021	18		42-1777	5	
Sev.	4		41-4022	19		Appn.	1-3	401
Legis. Int.	1	439	41-4023	20		Appn.	1-3	402
67-2346	2		41-4101 —	1	415	Appn.	1-6	403
Appn.	1, 2	440	41-4125			Emer.	7	
Appn.	1-3	441	56-1003	1	416	Appn.	1-3	404
Appn.	1, 2	442	56-1005	2		Appn.	1-3	405
Appn.	1	443	Appn.	1-6	417	Appn.	1	406
Legis. Int.	2		33-1004E	7		39-302	1	407
Appn.	1, 2	444	Appn.	1-6	418	Rplg.	2	
Appn.	1-4	445	33-1002	7		39-303	3	
Appn.	1-4	446	33-1004E	8		39-303A	4	
Appn.	1, 2	447	Appn.	9		39-304	5	
Appn.	1-8	448	Emer.	10		Eff.	6	
Emer.	9		Appn.	1-5	419	18-8304	1	408
23-904	1	449	Legis. Int.	6		Appn.	1-4	409
23-957			Appn.	7-10		26-3105	1	410
23-903			Appn.	1-3	420	54-523	1	411
7-707	1	450	Legis. Int.	4		54-802	2	***
40-506	2	100	33-1004E	5		54-805	3	
7-721	1	451	56-1012	1	421	54-808	4	
Emer.	2	101	56-1013	2		54-818	5	
7-711	1	452	56-1013A	3		54-824A	6	
6-808	1	453	56-1016	4		54-837	7	
67-5711E	1	454	56-1017	5		33-1004	1	412
Emer.	2	101	Appn.	1	422	49-2901	2	413
Other	1, 2	455	Appn.	1, 2	423	49-2902	3	410
Appn.	3-7	400	33-906A	3		49-2903	4	
Other	8		Appn.	1-3	424	49-2904	5	
Emer.	9		Other	1-4	425	49-2905	6	
Appn.	1-3	456	Sev.	5	120	41-4001	1	414
Legis. Int.	4	450	Appn.	1-3	426	41-4001	2	414
	5-8		Appn.	1-3	427		3	
Appn. Emer.			Appn.	1-3	428	41-4003		
	9	450	Legis. Int.	4	420	41-4004	4	
Appn.	1-3	457	63-602G	1	429	41-4005	5	
Legis. Int.	4		Emer.	2	443	41-4006	6	
Appn.	5			1-7	490	41-4007	7	
Other	6		Appn.		430	41-4009	8	
Emer.	7	450	59-501	1	431	41-4010	9	
Appn.	1, 2	458	Appn.	1	432	41-4011	10	
Appn.	1-3	459	Appn.	1, 2	433	41-4012	11	

## SESSION LAWS OF 2006 (1st E.S.)

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1	Other	1	10	33-1003	1	19	63-3621
	2	33-701		11	33-1004		20	63-3638
	3	33-802		12	33-1408		21	63-3640
	4	33-802A		13	33-5208		22, 23,	Appn.
	5	33-808		14	50-2908		24	**
	6	33-905		15	63-315		25	Leg.
	7	33-907		16	63-802A		26	Sev.
	8	33-1002		17	63-811		27	Emer.
	9	Rplg.		18	63-3619			

## SESSION LAWS OF 2007

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1	1-702	29	2	Emer.	60	7	22-4708
2	1	32-1215	30	1	Rplg.		8	22-4710
	2	32 - 1217		2	39-3401 —		9	22-471
3	1	54-3104			39-3425		10	22-4714
1	1-3	Appn.	31	1	Appn.		11	22-4716
7	4	Emer.		2	Emer.		12	22-4718
5	1	Appn.	32	1	20-239		13	22-4719
	2	Emer.	33	1	18-3122		14	22-4722
3	1, 2	Appn.		2	18-3124	0.1	15	9-340
,	3	Emer.		3	18-3125	61	1	Appn
7 3	1	18-3305	2.4	4	18-3128	CO	2	Emer
)	1	72-327	34 35	1	18-8001	62	1	19-5304
,	1	Appn.	35	$\frac{1}{2}$	36-409	63	1	31-2901 — 31-2907
0	$\frac{2}{1}$	Emer.	26	1	36-416	64	1	72-1372
.0	2	63-3025A 63-3027	36 37	1	36-1101 63-2401	01	2	Emer
	3	63-3067A	31	2	63-2407	65	1	49-1001
	4	63-3072		3	41-4903	66	1	49-121
	5	63-4407	38	1	63-602B		2	49-510
	6	63-3087	39	1	63-602G		3	49-527
	7	63-2516	39	2	Emer.		4	49-528
	8	63-2563	40	1	49-1002		5	49-529
	9	23-1050A	41	1	67-404a	67	1	36-1101
	10	23-1322A	1	2	67-1204	68	1	15-1-201
1	1	63-3022O		3	67-1608		2	15-7-501
-	2	Emer.		4	Emer.		3	Rplg
2	1	63-3026A	42	1	18-3317		4	15-7-502
	2	Emer.	43	1	18-4006		5	55-908
3	1	63-3004	44	1	59-1302	69	1	67-821
	2	Emer.		2	59-1352		2	39-303
4	1	63-3025		3	59-1356		3	39-304
	2	63-3025A		4	59-1359		4	Emer
	3	Emer.		5	59-1362	70	1	15-5-309
.5	1	63-506		6	59-1363		2	15-5-405
6	1	65-202	45	1	59-1356	71	1	15-1-201
7	1	Rplg.	46	1	Rplg.		2	15-5-309
.8	1, 2	Appn.		2	10-1401 —		3	15-5-405
	3	Emer.			10-1411		4	15-5-406
9	1	63-2554		3	Eff.	72	1	15-5-212A
	2	63-2559	47	1	20-504	73	1	36-408
	3	63-2560	48	1	54-802		2	36-409
0	1	49-115	49	1	58-304		3	36-416
	2	49-1010	50	1	58-415	74	1, 2	Appn
1	1	49-202	51	1	22-507		3	Emer
2	1	49-431	52	1	22-2323	75	1	Appn
	2	49-437	53	1	22-1102		2	Emer
3	1	49-434	54	1	54-2103	76	1	Appn
	2	49-443	1	2	54-2105		2	Emer
4	1	Appn.		-3	54-2112	77	1, 2	Appn
	2	Emer.		4	54-2115		3	Emer
5	1	32-1407	55	1	67-8720	78	1	33-1228
26	1	16-1602	56	1	67-6509A		2	67-5333
	2	16-1632	57	1	22-1211	79	1	33-310
	3	16-1638	58	1	50-1707		2	33-310E
	4	16-1639		2	Emer.		3	33-521
7	1	Appn.	59	1	63-3023		4	33-906
	2	Emer.		2	Eff.		5	33-1002
8	1	50-342A	60	2	22-4701		6	33-1003
	2	Sev.		3	22-4702		7	33-906E
	3	Emer.		4	22-4703		8	Emer
29	1	39-8801 —		5	22-4704	80	1	Rplg
		39-8812		6	22-4705	81	1	59-502

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
82	1-4	Appn.	97	1	14-113	116	12	Eff.
83	1	39-104		2	14-522	117	1	67-7101
	2	39-105		3	14-523		2	67-7103
	3	39-107D	00	4 1	15-3-914		3 4	67-7104 67-7106
	4 5	Rplg. 39-3026	98	$\frac{1}{2}$	54-2004 54-2016	118	1, 2	Appn.
	6	39-3028		3	54-2018	110	3	Emer.
	7	Rplg.		4	54-2020	119	1	Appn
	8	39-7403	1	5	54-2023		2	Emer
	9	42-3902		6	54-2027	120	1-4	Appn
	10	63-3029D		7	54-2035	121	1	61-215
	11	Rplg.	}	8	54-2040		2	63-102
	12	57-822	]	9	54-2044		3	72-503
34	1	19-2904	ł	10	54-2045	100	4-6	Appn
35	1	20-607		11	54-2051	122	1-2	Appn
36	$_2^1$	Appn. Emer.	99	12 1	54-2056	123	3 1	Emer 39-130
37	$1, \frac{2}{2}$	Appn.	99	$\overset{1}{2}$	Rplg. 31-2307	123	1	19-403
,,	3	Emer.	100	1	49-335	125	1	6-1701
38	1	9-340D	101	1	49-948	120	2	6-1704
39	1	57-728	102	1	20-210	126	1	26-213
	$\overset{-}{2}$	33-5303	103	1	6-902A		2	Rplg
90	1	7-803	104	1	1-805		3	26-703
	2	15-2-504	105	1	19-4408		4	26-706
	4	18-8601	106	1	32-717E		5	26-801
	5	18-8602	107	1	67-8903		6	26-1102
	6	18-8603		2	67-8910		7	26-2802
	7	18-8604	1	3	67-8921		8	67-2752
	8 9	18-8605		4	67-8922	107	9	67-2756
	9 10	19-819 19-1205	108	5 1	Emer. 32-717	127	$1 \\ 2$	54-1914 54-1920
	11	19-2507	100	2	33-3719		3	67-2310
	12	19-2916	109	1	46-206	128	1	16-1607
	13	19-2936	100	2	Rplg.	129	1	33-211
	15	33-1004E	110	1	49-303	120	2	Emer
	16	33-3407	111	1	63-3620C	130	1	18-6409
	17	34-2205		2	63-3622R	131	1	33-1004H
	18	38-1306	}	3	63-3622JJ		2	59-1356
	19	39-2102A	112	1	44-2101		3	Eff
	20	39-3502		2	Rplg.	132	1-4	Appn
	21	39-3613		3	44-2101A	133	1-3	Appn
	22	41-3408		4	44-2102		4	Emer
	23	43-219		5	44-2103	134	1	54-4205
	24	49-435		6	44-2104	135	1	63-301A
	25	54-1733		7	44-2105	100	2	Emer
	26	63-3622O		8	44-2106	136	1	43-1510
	27 28	67-460		9 10	44-2107	137	$1 \\ 2$	39-8611 39-8614
	28 29	67-501 67-505	113	10	44-2108 49-624		3	39-8616
	30	67-5718	113	1	18-2505		4	39-8618
	32	72-205	114	$\overset{1}{2}$	18-2506	138	1	58-307
	33	72-1347B	115	$1, \frac{2}{2}$	Appn.	139	1	54-1404
1	1	39-412	116	1	30-18-101 —	100	2	54-1406A
2	1	33-2106	110	-	30-18-713	140	1	54-1727
_	2	34-106		2	30-1-858	141	1	23-404
	3	Sev.		3	30-1-1100		2	23-408
	4	Emer.		4	30-3-50		3	23-409
93	1	54-903		5	30-3-100A		4	23-603
	2	54-923		6	30-1309A		5	23-1008
94	1	54-935		7	30-1312		6	23-1319
95	1	33-4302		8	53-2-1100	142	1	33-1019
96	1	39-1607		9	53-3-101		2	39-8011
	2	Rplg.		10	53-3-901A	4	3	Emer.
	3-5	Other	l	11	53-660A	143	1	63-3501

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
143	2	63-3502B	162	2	67-2601A	193	1	Other
	3	63-3503B	163	1	39-411		2	9-340G
	4	63-3504	164	1	67-2351 —		3	18-605
	5	63-3505	1.05	1	67-2355		4	Rplg.
	6 7	63-3506 63-602JJ	165	$rac{1}{2}$	63-3029M Eff.		5	18-609A 18-609F
	8	Emer.	166	1	33-402			18-609G
144	1	33-906B	167	1	67-8204A		6	18-614
177	2	63-802	168	1	63-605		7	Sev
	3	Emer.	100	2	Emer.		8	Emer
145	1-5	Appn.	169	1	33-701	194	1	41-4909
146	1-6	Appn.	170	1	33-5403		2	41-4914
147	1-5	Appn.	171	1	56-1054	195	1	54-501
148	1	41-2103	172	1	63-3641	ŀ	2	54-502
	2	41-4703		2	63-3638	ļ	3	54-506
	3	41-4706	173	1	Rplg.	}	4	54-511
	4	41-5203		2	33-5001 —		5	54-512
	5	41-5206			33-5009	1	6	54-513
	6	41-5501	174	1	67-2344		7	54-514
	7	63-3022K		2	67-2345		8	54-518
149	1	54-401	175	1	19-2927		9	54-519
	2	54-402		2	19-2928		10	54-521
	3	54-403	1	3	19-2929		11	54-522
	4	54-404	176	1-4	Appn.		12	54-524
	5	54-405	177	1-7	Appn.	100	13	Rplg.
	6	54-406 54-408	178	1-4	Appn.	196	$\frac{1}{2}$	39-4501
	7 8	54-411	179 180	1-4 1-4	Appn.		3	39-4502 39-4503
	9	54-411 54-412	181	1-4	Appn.		3 4	39-4504
	10	54-413	101	6	Appn. Emer.		5	39-4504
	11	54-415	182	1	58-127		6	39-4506
	12	54-416	183	1	54-5213		7	39-4507
	13	54-417	100	2	Emer.		8	39-4508
	14	54-419	184	1	39-4109		9	39-4509
	15	54-420	185	1	42-1806		10	Rplg.
150	1	54-2083	100	2	Eff.		11	39-4510
	2	54-2086	186	1	61-1701 —		12	39-4511
	3	54-2087		_	61-1709		13	39-4512A
	4	54-2089		2	67-6508		14	39-4512B
	5	54-2091		3	Sev.		15	39-4512C
151	1	41-402		4	Emer.		16	39-4513
152	1	67-6201	187	1	42-1409		17	39-4514
	2	67-6205	188	2	39-2801		18	Rplg.
	3	67-6206		3	39-2801A		19	66-405
	4	67-6207A		4	39-2802	197	1	54-1016
	5	67-6223		5	39-2804		2	54-2602
	6	Emer.		6	39-2806		3	54-2610
153	1-6	Appn.		7	39-2809		4	54-2620
	7	Emer.		8	39-2810		5	54-2622A
154	1	Appn.		9	39-2811		6	54-5015
	2	Emer.		10	39-2812		7	54-5016
155	1-4	Appn.		11	39-2813	198	1	54-5308
156	1-4	Appn.		12	39-2814		2	54-5309
157	1, 2	67-1602, n.		13	22-2508	199	1	39-3201
	3	67-1602		14	22-2811			39-3209
	4	Emer.		15	Emer.		2	39-5903
158	1-6	Appn.	189	1	42-4003		3	39-5904
	7	Emer.		2	42-4004	200	1	56-251
159	1	63-1311A		3	42-4005		2	56-252
	2	Emer.		4	Emer.		3	56-253
160	1	43-111	190	1	63-3022		4	56-254
161	1	43-803	-191	1	63-3029		5	56-255
	2	43-804		2	Emer.		6	56-256
162	1	67-2601	192	1-5	Appn.	201	1	67-453

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
202	1	18-2318	233	1-4	Appn.	263	1	57-717
	2	34-732	234	1	Appn.		2	57-720
	3	Rplg.	235	1-5	Appn.		3	57-721
	4 5	34-1005	236	1-12 1-8	Appn.	964	4	Emer.
	6	34-1007 34-1107	237 238	1-8	Appn. 67-9001 —	264 265	1-5 1-8	Appn. Appn.
	7	34-1107	250	1	67-9010	266	1-6	Appn.
	8	34-2409	239	1	41-291	267	1-4	Appn.
	9	Rplg.		2	41-293	268	1-6	Appn.
	10	50-211	240	1	67-7008	269	1-4	Appn.
	11	50-403	241	1	33-2104	270	1-5	Appn.
	12	50-410	040	2	Emer.	271	1	41-1302
	13 14	50-447 50-449	242 243	1 1	Other 39-241	272 273	1 1	39-5503 67-451
	15	50-459	244	1	39-260	210	2	Eff.
	16	50-477		2	39-268	274	1-8	Appn.
	17	50-2105	245	1	54-1733	275	1	46-609
	18	Sev.	246	1	33-5202A	276	1	46-407
203	1	48-1003C		2	33-5215		2	46-409
204	1	42-620	247	1	56-1005	277	1	41-5403
205	1 1	9-340D	040	$\frac{2}{1}$	Emer.	278	1	41-1036
206 207	1, 2	9-340D Appn.	248	2	56-214 Emer.	279 280	1 1	41-1427 41-509
208	1-6	Appn.	249	1	49-110	281	1	41-249
209	1-3	Appn.	-10	2	49-307	282	1	41-2801
210	1, 2	Appn.	250	1	37-2727	283	1	41-230
211	1-6	Appn.	251	1	49-1638	284	1	67-1202
212	1-5	Appn.	252	1	39-4103	285	1	Rplg.
213	1, 2	Appn.		2	39-4105	000	2	Rplg.
$214 \\ 215$	1-4 1-4	Appn. Appn.		3 4	39-4107 Rplg.	286	1 1	29-116 40-1317
216	1, 2	Appn.		5	39-4301 —	288	1	63-2402
217	1-9	Appn.		Ö	39-4306	200	2	63-2407
218	1	39-3134A		6	49-104		3	63-2427A
219	1	54-1202		7	54-1001D	ĺ	4	Rplg.
	2	54-1205		8	54-1016		5	63-2444
	3	54-1215		9	54-2602		6	Sev.
	4	54-1220		10	54-2622A		7	Eff.
	5	54-1223		11	54-5002	289	1	23-1306
220	6 1	Eff. 54-4703		12 13	54-5006		2 3	23-1325 23-1338
220	2	54-4707		13 14	54-5205 63-3606A		4	25-1556 Emer.
	3	54-4711		15	67-2601	290	1	63-3622X
221	1	67-4727		16	67-8203	291	1-4	67-5201, n.
	2	Eff.	253	1	56-1302		5	Sev.
222	2	39-5602		2	56-1303	292	1	31-4815
	3	39-5603		3	56-1306		2	46-1203
	4	Rplg.		4	Emer.		3	46-1204
	5	39-5609	254	1	73-121		4	67-5747
223	1	16-1611	055	2	Sev.	293	1-7	Appn.
	$\frac{2}{3}$	16-1615	255	1	62-412	294	1-9	Appn.
	3 4	16-1619 16-1622	256	$\frac{1}{2}$	49-402 49-415D	295 296	1-10 1	Appn. Appn.
	5	16-1629		3	45-415B Eff.	297	1-6	Appn.
224	1	18-609	257	1	49-1004	298	1-7	Appn.
225	1	33-5409	258	1	49-1004	299	1, 2	Appn.
226	1-3	Appn.	259	1	33-5601 —	300	1	Appn.
227	1-4	Appn.			33-5608	301	1-11	Appn.
228	1-4	Appn.	260	1	60-105	302	1-14	Appn.
229	1-5	Appn.	261	1	36-1101	303	1	Appn.
230	1-8	Appn.	262	1	42-1508	304	1-13	Appn.
231	9	Emer.		2 3	42-1765B	305	1-4	Appn.
231 232	1-4 1-4	Appn. Appn.		3 4	Sev. Eff.	306	$\frac{1}{2}$	56-1013A 56-1017

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
306	3	Emer.	314	43	30-3-126	336	8	66-1307
307	1	49-1212		44	30-3-127		9	66-1308
	2	49-2417		45	30-3-128		10	66-1312
308	1	20-520		46	Rplg.		11	66-1313
	2 3	20-508		47 48	53-2-201 53-2-202		12 13	66-1315
	4	20-509 20-532		48	53-2-202	337	1-4	66-1317 Appn
309	1	16-2403		50	53-2-208	338	1, 2	Appn
000	2	16-2404		51	53-2-210	339	1, 2	49-2901
	3	16-2404A		52	53-2-304	000	2	49-2902
	4	20-511A		53	53-2-407		3	49-2903
310	1	19-2524		54	53-2-807		4	49-2904
11	1	67-7901 —		55	53-2-902		5	49-2905
		67-7903		56	53-2-906	340	1	31-4801
	2	Sev.		57	53-2-1104		2	31-4802
12	1	51-107		58	53-2-1105		3	31-4804
	2	51-109	1	59	53-2-1108		4	31-4813
	3	55-712A		60	53-2-1109	341	1	15-8-103
10	4	55-712B		61	53-3-101		2	56-209h
13	$\frac{1}{2}$	34-625		62 63	53-3-1001		3 4	56-2090
14	1	34-625A 30-401 —		64	53-3-1003 53-3-1102		4 5	56-226 56-227
14	1	30-418		65	75-5-1102 Rplg.		6	56-227E
	2	30-1-120		66	53-608		7	56-2270
	3	30-1-122		67	53-613		8	56-227E
	4	30-1-125		68	53-643A	1	9	56-227E
	5	30-1-141		69	53-643B		10	67-1401
	6	30-1-202		70	53-651	342	1	67-8729
	7	Rplg.		71	53-655	343	1	33-4304
	8	30-1-703		72	53-655A		2	33-4306
	9	30-1-720		73	53-655B		3	33-4307
	10	30-1-809		74	53-710	344	1-6	Appn
	11	30-1-1005	315	1	56-1005		7	Sev
	12	30-1-1107	316	1	18-8503		8	Emer
	13	30-1-1330	317	1	28-9-502	345	1-6	Appn
	14	30-1-1407	010	2	28-9-518	0.40	7	Emer
	15	30-1-1408	318	1	33-107A	346	1-3	Appn
	16	30-1-1420	319	1	54-1751 —	347	1-5	Appn
	17	30-1-1421		2	54-1759 Sev.	348	1-5	Appn
	18 19	30-1-1431 30-1-1503	320	1	7-1026	349	1-5 6	Appn Emer
	20	30-1-1503	320	2	7-1026	350	1-3	Appn
	21	Rplg.	321	1-5	Appn.	300	4	Legis. Int
	22	30-1-1530	322	1-8	Appn.		5	33-1004E
	23	30-1-1604	323	1-5	Appn.		6	33-10041
	24	30-1-1605	324	1-6	Appn.		7	33-5208
	25	30-1-1622	325	1-5	Appn.	351	1-7	Appn
	26	30-3-2	326	1	Appn.		8	33-1004E
	27	30-3-4	327	1-6	Appn.	352	1-8	Appn
	28	30-3-7	328	1-4	Appn.		9	33-1004E
	29	30-3-8	329	1-7	Appn.		10	33-1006
	30	30-3-17	330	1-4	Appn.	353	1-5	Appn
	31	Rplg.	331	1-5	Appn.		6	Legis. Int
	32	30-3-48		6	Emer.		7	Appn
	33	30-3-54	332	1-9	Appn.		8	Legis. Int
	34	30-3-90	333	1-5	Appn.		9, 10	Appn
	35	30-3-104	334	1	Appn.	-	11	33-1002
	36	30-3-115	335	1	67-2002		12	33-1020
	37	30-3-115A	336	2	66-1301		13	33-5508
	38	30-3-115B		3	66-1302		14	33-5508, n
	39	30-3-115C		4	66-1303		15	Appn
	40	30-3-118		5	66-1304	354	1-4	Appn
	41	30-3-119	-	6	66-1305		5	33-906
	42	Rplg.	1	7	66-1306	1	6	33-101

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
355	1	Appn.	360	10	72-1347B	360	26	67-2402
	2	Emer.		11	9-340C		27	67-2406
356	1-6	Appn.		12	23-950	361	1, 2	Appn.
357	1	44-1502		13	39-3018	362	1, 2	Appn.
	2	Emer.		14	49-416C	363	1-12	Appn.
358	1	33-1103		15	49-2901		13	40-315
	2	Emer.		16	49-2902	364	1	63-802C
359	1-8	Appn.		17	49-2903	365	1	72-1101 —
360	2	67-4701		18	49-2904		-	72-1105
	3	67-4702		19	49-2905		2	Eff.
	4	67-4703		20	59-904	366	1-4	Appn.
	5	67-4711		21	63-2902	367	1-6	Appn.
	6	67-4726		22	63-3029J	368	1	
	7	72-1318		23	63-3077A	300	2	Appn.
	8	72-1333		24	63-3622TT	200		Emer.
	9	72-1347A		25	63-4402	369	1-8	Appn.

## SESSION LAWS OF 2008

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1	Appn.	23	1	18-2406	36	9	30-18-306
	2	Emer.	24	1	1-2404		10	30-18-403
2	1	Appn.	25	1	4-101		11	30-18-405
	2	Emer.		2	Emer.		12	30-18-406
3	1	Appn.	26	1	54-2510		13	30-18-503
	2	Emer.	27	2	9-335		14	30-18-505
4	1, 2	Appn.		3	9-340D		15	30-18-506
	3	Emer.		4	15-5-405		16	30-18-702
5	1	63-218		5	18-8105	37	1	31-819
	2	63-3071		7	31-2202		2	31-2307
6	1	63-3004		8	33-1002	38	1	1-2203
	2	Emer.		9	33-2106		2	1-2204
7	1	63-215		10	46-206		3	1-2205
8	1	63-3083		11	49-2902		4	31-879
	2	Emer.		12	49-2903	39	1	46-1009
9	1	63-3035		13	49-2905		2	31-2229
	2	Eff.		14	58-304	40	1	67-7410
10	1	63-3068		16	59-1356	41	1	20-520
11	1	14-532		17	67-2601	42	1	20-239A
12	1	Appn.		18	67-4727	43	1	67-7702
	2	Emer.		19	67-7903		2	67-7709
13	1	49-418A	28	1-4	Appn.		3	67-7711
	2	67-5205		5	Emer.	44	1	72-1315
	3	Emer.	29	1-3	Appn.		2	72-1316
14	1	49-420A		4	Emer.		3	72-1351
15	1	54-3204	30	1	Appn.		4	72-1351A
16	1	54-604		2	Emer.		5	72-1372
17	1	63-3067B	31	1	63-2408	45	1	49-326A
	$\overset{1}{2}$	65-209	32	1	25-3507	46	1	32-303
18	1	49-102	33	1	1-1102	47	1	25-3504
10	2	49-304	34	1	1-215	*'	2	Emer.
	3	49-327	35	1	9-801 —	48	1	67-3010
	4	49-328		0	9-814	49	1	63-3611
	5	49-1222	36	2	Sev.	45	2	63-3615A
19	1	63-3622N	36	1	Rplg.	50	1	63-602B
20	1	63-2503		2	30-18-102	30	2	63-602D
20	2	63-2551		3	30-18-104		3	Emer.
	3	63-2552B		4 5	30-18-109	51	3 1	54-1705
21	1, 2			6	30-18-203 30-18-205	52	1	63-105A
21	3	Appn. Emer.		7	30-18-206	53	1	63-105A
22	3 1			8		99		
22	1	19-2126	1	8	30-18-303	1	2	63-901

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
53	3	63-510	74	1	15-5-308	95	1	Appn.
	4	63-1703		2	15-5-311		2	Emer.
54	1	67-5711F		3	15-5-312	96	1	Appn.
==	$\frac{2}{1}$	Emer.		4 5	15-5-316	97	$\frac{2}{1}$	Emer.
55 56	1	49-202 18-5701	75	1	66-405 15-3-403	91	2	72-1333 72-1345A
90	2	18-5702	10	2	15-3-403	98	1	72-1345A 36-404
	3	18-5703	76	1	15-2-502	90	2	36-406
	4	Rplg.		2	15-2-504		3	36-407
	5	19-403	77	1	55-111	99	1	72-1342
	6	Emer.		2	55-111A		2	72-1366
57	1	11-605	78	1	18-3126		3	72-1372
58	1	36-409A	79	1-3	Appn.		4	72-1374
59	1	36-407		4	Emer.		5	9-340C
60	1	18-101A	80	1	20-208	100	1	54-2702
	2	18-6110		2	59-509		2	54-2703
61	1	20-237B	81	1	33-2505	101	1	36-1109
62	1	34-2503		2	33-2505A,		2	Emer.
63	1	49-303			33-2505B, 33-2505C	102	1	Eff.
	2	49-306	82	1-3	Appn.	103	1	58-307
	3	49-319	02	4	Emer.	104	1	33-317
	4	49-2443	83	1	6-1601	105	2	Emer.
	5	49-2444	00	2	6-1605	105	1	33-5202A
64	1	54-2908	84	1	49-123	100	2	33-5205
65	1	20-219		2	49-524	106	1	49-105
66	$1 \\ 2$	33-2110B		3	49-525		2	49-121
67	1	33-3717B 54-1401	85	1	67-1605		3 4	49-501 49-1606
01	2	54-1413		2	67-2901		5	49-1608
68	1	54-3101	86	1	54-802		6	63-3622HH
00	2	54-3102		2	54-805		7	Eff.
	3	54-3103		3	54-808	107	i	54-5206
	4	54-3104		4	54-809	108	1	54-4107
	5	54-3105		5	54-810		2	54-4113
	6	54-3106		6	54-815	109	1	54-1109
	7	54-3107		7	54-816	110	1	54-506
	8	54-3108		8	54-817		2	54-510
	9	54-3109		9	54-818		3	54-518
	10	54-3110		10	54-822		4	54-521
	11	54-3111		11	54-831	111	1	31-3205
	12	54-3112		12 13	54-832 54-834	112	1	36-2104
	13	54-3113		14	54-835		2	36-2107
	14	54-3114	87	1	54-3401		3	36-2117
	15	54-3115	"	2	54-3402	113	1	54-411
	16	Rplg.		3	54-3405B	114	1	58-411
	17	54-3117		4	54-3408	115	1 1	58-307
69	18 1	54-3118		5	54-3414	116	1	67-9101 — 67-9105
UÐ	$\frac{1}{2}$	41-2502 41-2503	88	1	18-915	117	1	63-701
		77 00		2	19-3947	118	1	50-222
70	3 1	Eff. 33-906		3	19-5101	119	1	33-5502
71	1	39-114		4	19-5109		2	33-5503
	2	9-340D		5	31-878		3	33-5504
	3	22-4804, n.		6	31-3201D		4	33-5504A
	4	Rplg.	89	1	67-5303		5	33-5504B
	5	39-6717	90	1	63-4402		6	33-5505
	6	Emer.	91	1	56-1401 —		7	33-5506
72	1	36-123			56-1410		8	33-5507
73	1	15-9-101		2	Exp.		9	59-1374
	2	15-9-201	92	1	63-3622UU	120	1, 2	Appn.
	- 3	15-10-101	93	1	54-4207	121	1-3	Appn.
	4	15-10-201	94	1	39-302	122	1, 2	Appn.
	5	15-11-101		2	39-304	123	1	67-6531
	6	66-415, 66-416,		3	39-306		2	67-6532
		66-417		4	39-307		3	Emer.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
124	1	18-8331	136	28	19-4531	168	3	Emer.
	2	Emer.		29	19-4528	169	1, 2	Appn.
125	1	46-804		30	Rplg.	170	1	54-936
126	1	46-102	İ	31	19-4529	171	1	33-5205A
	2	Rplg.	197	32	19-4530	172	1	63-36220
127	3 1	46-216 $46-1202$	137 138	1 1	41-114A 67-5302	173	1-4 5	Appn. Emer.
121	2	46-1202	130	2	Emer.	174	1-3	Appn.
	3	46-1204	139	1	41-1847	175	1	15-6-401,
	4	46-1206	140	1	Rplg.	1.0	-	15-6-402
	5	46-1207		2	19-5304	176	1	30-6-101 —
128	1	54-204		3	26-201			30-6-114,
	2	54-206		4	26-203			30-6-201 —
	3	54-208		5	26-601			30-6-210, 30-6-301 —
	4	54-209		6	26-602			30-6-304,
	5	54-210		7	26-702			30-6-401 —
	6 7	54-211 $54-212$	141	8 1	26-708			30-6-410,
	8	54-212 54-213	141	2	Appn. Emer.			30-6-501
	9	54-214	142	1	54-2016			30-6-504, 30-6-601 —
	10	54-227	112	2 -	54-2022			30-6-603,
129	1	37-2726		3	54-2023			30-6-701 —
130	1	22-2305		4	54-2027			30-6-708,
131	1	22-603		5	54-2033			30-6-801 —
	2	22-605	143	1	30-14-202			30-6-809, 30-6-901 —
	3	22-607		2	30-14-412			30-6-906,
	4	22-608		3	30-14-611			30-6-1001 —
	5	22-609	144	1	54-2004			30-6-1002,
	6 7	22-610 $22-620$	145	$\frac{2}{1}$	54-2020 15-5-410			30-6-1101 —
132	1	22-2208	146	1	56-214		2	30-6-1104 30-1-401
133	1	33-2110	147	1	41-318		3	63-3006A
134	1	Rplg.	148	1	42-1414		4	63-3622K
	2	42-1779	149	1	42-1406B		5	Rplg.
135	1	54-2104		2	Emer.		6	Eff.
	2	54-2105	150	1	49-402	177	1	Rplg.
136	1	19-4501		2	49-417D		2	28-52-101 —
	2	19-4502		3	Eff.	178	1	28-52-109 23-904
	3	19-4503	151	1	18-915	170	2	23-957
	4 5	Rplg. 19-4506	152 153	1 1	19-5304 49-2902	179	1	41-5801 —
	6	19-4506	153	1	49-2904			41-5821
	7	19-4508	155	1	67-2901B		2	Eff.
	8	19-4509	100	2	Eff.	180	1	23-1325
	9	19-4510	156	1	49-1004	101	2	Emer.
	10	19-4511	157	1	33-5205	181	$\frac{1}{2}$	23-1303 Emer.
	11	19-4512	158	1	33-1004A	182	1	15-2-401
	12	Rplg.		2	Emer.	102	2	15-2-402
	13	19-4513	159	1	42-1406B		3	15-2-403
	14	19-4514		2	Emer.		4	Rplg.
	15	Rplg.	160	1	33-701		5	15-2-405
	16	19-4515	161	1	Rplg.		6	15-2-406
	17	19-4516	162	1, 2	Appn.		7	56-218
	18 19	19-4517 19-4518	163 164	$1, 2 \\ 1, 2$	Appn. Appn.	183	1	67-5202
	20	19-4519	104	3	Emer.		2	67-5223
	21	19-4519	165	1, 2	Appn.	194	3	Emer.
	22	19-4521	130	3	Emer.	184 185	1-3 1	Appn. 33-4302
	23	19-4522	166	1	Appn.	186	1	Rplg.
	24	19-4523		2	Emer.	100	2	15-12-101 —
	25	19-4524	167	1	Appn.		_	15-12-101
	26	19-4525		2	Emer.			15-12-201 —
	27	19-4526	168	1, 2	Appn.			15-12-217,

Here	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
Rpl	10	249	63-713	1	214	15-12-301 —	2	186
18-83	1	250	63-714	2		15-12-302,		
33-52	1	251	40-513C	1	215	15-12-401 — 15-12-403		
23-4	1	252	41-2401	1	216	54-1142	3	
50-29	1	253	33-118A	1	217	56-209h	1	187
63-8	2		36-111	1	218	56-227	1	188
63-8	3		57-823	2		31-3506	1	189
Eme	4		63-3067A	3		31-3510A	2	103
54-19	1	254	63-3067B	4		54-1753	1	190
54-50	1	255	16-2403	1	219	54-1755 Emer.	2	190
54-50	2		59-502	1	220	33-601	1	191
39-3401	1	256	Appn.	1-5	221	Emer.	2	191
48-6	1	257	Appn.	1, 2	222	45-1601 —	1	192
40-1308	1	258	Appn.	1, 2	223	45-1605	1	192
Rp	2		Appn.	1, 2	224	45-1505	2	
18-9	1	259	Emer.	3		49-402	1	193
33-125,	1	260	Appn.	1, 2	225	49-415E	2	100
67-5745D,	1		33-3717B	1	226	Emer.	3	
33-1	2		63-3501	1	227	49-110	1	194
67-5745	3		63-3502B	2		49-302	2	134
63-30	1	261	63-3503B	3		49-303	3	
Eme	2		63-3504	4		49-305	4	
App	1, 2	262	63-3505	5		49-307	5	
App	1-4	263	63-3506	6			1-3	195
App	1, 2	264	63-3622QQ	7		Appn.		
App	1-3	265	63-602JJ	8		59-1607	1	196
App	1-3	266	Emer.	9		67-5302	2	
App	1	267	Appn.	1-3	228	67-5328	3	
Eme	2		Appn.	1, 2	229	67-5333	4	
App	1-7	268	Appn.	1, 2	230	67-5334	5	107
App	1-9	269	39-414	1	231	Appn.	1-5	197
App	1-4	270	19-5701 —	1	232	49-105	1	198
App	1, 2	271	19-5708			49-107	2	
App	1-3	272	9-340C	2		49-114	3	
Eme	4		63-3622D	1	233	49-123	4	
App	1-3	273	63-4501, 63-4502	1	234	49-402	5	
39-2901, 39-290		274	63-4502, n.	2		49-501	6	
39-2903, 39-29			Emer.	3		49-1606	7	
Ex	2		Appn.	1-3	235	49-1608	8	100
33-54	1	275	Emer.	4		33-2308	1	199
33-54	2		Appn.	1	236	38-1203	1	200
33-54	3		Appn.	1, 2	237	38-808	2	
33-54	4		18-5702	1	238	38-809	3	
33-54	5		Emer.	2		38-1202	4	
App	1-3	276	42-223	1	239	38-1207	5	
App	1-3	277	Emer.	2		38-1220A	6	201
39-8901	1	278	18-1506	1	240	38-1204	1	201
39-89			Appn.	1, 2	241	41-1940	1	202
39-8911,	2		Appn.	1, 2	242	41-3905	1	203
E	3		Appn.	1-3	243	41-3910	2	
App	1-4	279	Appn.	1	244	41-3914	3	
App	1-3	280	Appn.	1-3	245	41-3918	4	
App	1, 2	281	Appn.	1-3	246	54-2105	1	204
App	1-3	282	Appn.	1-5	247	57-815	1	205
Eme	4		23-307	1	248	57-1306	1	206
App	1, 2	283	23-927	2		43-208	1	207
App	1-3	284	54-2801	1	249	67-8302	1	208
Legis. Ir	4, 5		54-2806	2		18-1505	1	209
61-2	1	285	54-2807	3		39-5302	2	
63-10	2	_00	54-2809	4		49-402	1	210
72-50	3		54-2812	5		49-417E	2	
App	4-6		54-2813	6		Eff.	3	
	1, 2	286	54-2814	7		36-406A	1	211
	1, 4	400				43-201	1	212
App	2 4							
App Legis. Ir App	3, 4 5, 6		54-2815 54-2816	8		54-2702	1	213

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
286	7	Emer.	315	2	Emer.	347	4	26-2224
287	1, 2	Appn.	316	1	Rplg.		5	Rplg.
288	1-3 4	Appn. Legis. Int.		2 3	63-3024A Emer.		6 7	26-2225 26-2227
	5, 6	Appn.	317	1	41-3932		8	26-2228
289	1, 2	Appn.	318	1	55-2601	ļ	9	26-2229
290	1, 2	Appn.		$\overset{-}{2}$	55-2603		10	26-2229A
	3	Legis. Int.		3	55-2604		11	26-2230
	4-7	Appn.		4	55-2605		12	26-2231
	8	Emer.		5	55-2606		13	26-2232
291	1, 2	Appn.	319	1	63-3004		14	Rplg.
292 293	1-4 1-3	Appn.	-	2 3	63-3022O 63-3029B		15 16	26-2232A 26-2233
293	1-3 4-6	Appn. Other		4	65-5029B Emer.		17	26-2234
294	1	36-1107	320	1	42-201		18	Rplg.
201	2	Emer.	321	1, 2	Appn.		19	26-2236
295	1	44-2701 —		3	42-1780		20	26-2237
		44-2704		4	Appn.		21	26-2238
296	1	41-2210	322	1, 2	Appn.		22	26-2239
	2	41-3216	323	1, 2	Appn.		23	26-2240
	3 4	41-3436 41-4023	324	1-6	Appn.		24	26-2243
	5	41-4023	325	1, 2 3	Appn.		25 26	26-2244 26-2245
297	1	41-5501		4	Legis. Int. Emer.		27	26-2245 Rplg.
	$\overset{-}{2}$	41-5510	326	1-7	Appn.		28	26-2246
298	1	54-2083	327	1	63-602NN	ŀ	29	26-2248
299	1	5-337		2	Emer.		30	Rplg.
300	1	18-4004A	328	1	32-706		31	26-2251
301	1	43-2504		2	32-1214A		32	1-2301A
	2	43-2506		3	32-1214B	348	1	18-609
	3 4	43-2507 43-2511	329	1	34-618	0.40	2	Exp.
302	1	31-2219	330	$\frac{1}{2}$	49-104 49-123	349	$\frac{1}{2}$	33-130 33-512
303	î	55-2708		3	49-948	350	1	67-4728
	2	Emer.		4	Emer.	000	2	Eff.
304	1	Rplg.	331	1	66-317	351	1	33-313
	2	18-3302J		2	66-328		2	33-501
00#	3	Emer.		3	66-329		3	33-505
305	1 1	33-520		4	Rplg.	352	1-4	Appn.
306	$\overset{1}{2}$	67-6602 Rplg.	332	$rac{1}{2}$	Rplg.		5	Legis. Int.
	3	67-6619		Z	67-5779 — 67-5782	353	1-3	Appn.
	4	18-1356	333	1	18-3325	054	4	Legis. Int.
307	1	33-601	334	1	58-1308	354	1-6 7	Appn.
	2	Emer.	335	1	23-903	355	1-12	Legis. Int. Appn.
308	1, 2	Appn.	336	1	49-432	356	1-12	Appn.
309	1-3	Appn.	337	1, 2	Appn.	357	1-5	Appn.
310	$\frac{4}{1, 2}$	Emer.	338	$1 \\ 2$	Appn. Legis. Int.	358	1-9	Appn.
311	1, 2	Appn. 30-907		3-6	Appn.	j	10	Legis. Int.
011	$\overset{1}{2}$	30-914		7	Emer.	359	1-4	Appn.
	3	30-920	339	1-3	Appn.	360	1, 2	Appn.
	4	Rplg.		4	Legis. Int.		3	Legis. Int.
312	1	28-46-301	340	1, 2	Appn.		4-6	Appn.
	2	28-46-302		3	Legis. Int.	361	1, 2	Appn.
313	1	26-3102	341	1-5	Appn.	362	1-3	Appn.
	2 3	26-3105 26-3108	342 343	1, 2 1-5	Appn.		4 5	Legis. Int. 33-1004E
	3 4	26-3108A	344	1-5 1, 2	Appn. Appn.	363	1-7	Appn.
	5	26-3110	345	1, 2	Appn.		8	33-1004E
	6	26-3111	346	1-5	Appn.		9	Appn.
	7	Rplg.		6	Emer.	364	1	56-1054
314	1	63-3022H	347	1	26-2222		2	Rplg.
	2	Emer.		2	26-2223		3	Eff.
315	1	63-3029	I.	3	26-2223A	365	1	45-1502

Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
63-170	5	400	55-1608	28	378	67-5711D	1	366
33-110	6		55-1612	29		Appn.	1, 2	367
63-31	7		55-1613	30		Other	3, 4	
63-80	8		31-4818	1	379	Appn.	5	
Sev	9		31-4819	2		Legis. Int.	6, 7	
Eff	10		44-2101A	1	380	Appn.	8	
33-1002I	1	401	54-2602	1	381	Legis. Int.	9	
41-25	1	402	58-335A	1	382	Appn.	10, 11	
41-25	2		22-2721	1	383	39-116B	1	368
41-25	3		33-910, n.	1	384	72-102	1	369
41-25	4		33-910	2		72-205	2	
41-26	5		Exp.	3		72-419	3	
41-26	6		40-322, n.	1	385	Appn.	1-3	370
41-26	7		40-322	2		Emer.	4	
41-26	8		Eff.	3		58-119A	1	371
Appn	1	403	67-5201, n.	1-5	386	49-658	1	372
Appn	1	404	22-1901 —	1	387	Appn.	1, 2	373
23-90	1	405	22-1917			Appn.	1	374
54-510	1	406	Emer.	2		Appn.	1-4	375
54-5103, n	2		18-615	1	388	Appn.	1, 2	376
54-5104, n	2		18-616	2		Appn.	1-3	377
54-5112, n	2		67-8203	1	389	50-1303	1	378
54-5113, n	2		Emer.	2		54-1201	2	
Eme	3		Rplg.	1	390	54-1202	3	
67-871	1	407	63-3067	2		54-1203	4	
67-872	2	407	63-4401	3		54-1204	5	
	3		63-4406	4		54-1208	6	
67-872	3 4		Appn.	1-7	391	54-1210	7	
Rplg		400	33-1004E	8		54-1211	8	
34-903A, n	1	408	Appn.	9, 10		54-1212	9, 10	
34-903	2		Appn.	1-5	392	54-1213	11	
Emer	3		Legis. Int.	6		54-1214	12	
49-10	1	409	Appn.	7-13		54-1215	13	
49-11-	2		Appn.	1-4	393	54-1216	14	
49-40	3		Appn.	1	394	54-1218	15	
49-42	4		Appn.	1-4	395	54-1219	16	
49-42	5		Emer.	5		54-1220	17	
49-44	6		Appn.	1	396	54-1221	18	
49-45	7		31-808	1	397	54-1222	19	
49-66	8		Emer.	2		54-1223	20	
63-36221	9		Appn.	1-3	398	54-1225	21	
67-710	10		Emer.	4		54-1227	22	
67-711	11		41-732	1	399	54-1228	23	
67-712	12		63-201	1	400	54-1234	24	
67-712	13		63-602KK	2		54-1235	25	
50-3101 —	1	410	63-3638	3		54-1236	26	
50-312			63-510	4		55-1603	27	

Herein

18-915
18-8005
Rplg.
33-2739
33-2815
33-3701
33-5205
Rplg.
39-2903
47-306

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	
1	1, 2	Appn.	6	2	Rplg.	11	5	
	3	Emer.	7	1	63-602G		6	
2	1	63-3027B		2	Emer.		7	
	2	Emer.	8	1	39-107A		8	
3	1	63-2406	9	1	61-516		9	
	2	63-3037	10	1	65-202		10	
4	1	23-1047	11	1	2-502		11	
	2	23-1322	Y 1	2	7-720		12	
5	1	Rplg.		3	11-102		13	
6	1	Rplg.		4	15-3-201		15	

h.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	16	47-307	23	35	23-409	33	9	54-2312A
	17	49-114		36	23-502		10	54-2315
	18	49-123		37	23-506	34	1	56-102
	19 20	49-402		38 39	23-507		2 3	56-113
	20 21	50-909 54-2702		39 40	23-508 23-510		4	56-136 56-255
	22	63-201		41	23-512		5	56-1401
	23	63-510		42	23-513		6	56-1402
	24	63-1703		43	23-514		7	56-1404
2	1	Appn.		44	23-515		8	56-1406
	2	Emer.		45	23-517		9	56-1410
3	1	Appn.		46	23-518		10	Emer.
	2	Emer.		47	23-519	35	1	63-3004
4	1	Appn.		48	23-601		2	Emer.
_	2	Emer.		49	23-607	36	1	38-111
5	1	56-1004		50	23-608	37	1	69-503
6	$\frac{1}{2}$	Appn.		51	23-610	38	$\frac{1}{2}$	22-435
7	1	Emer.		52 53	23-805 23-914	39	1	22-436 69-262
•	2	Appn. Emer.		54	23-1303	39	2	69-264
8	1-9	Appn.		55	23-1305	40	1	63-3022J
,	10	Emer.		56	23-1311	10	2	Emer.
9	1	57-719		57	33-2105	41	1	33-5205
0	1	54-1213		58	57-1113	42	1	63-602KK
	2	54-1214		59	63-3622M		2	63-802
1	1	41-4903		60	63-3638A		3	63-803
	2	63-2421		61	67-802		4	Emer.
	3	63-2427A	24	1	Appn.	43	1	31-857
2	1	33-2109B		2	Emer.	44	1	46-216
	2	67-5333A	25	1, 2	Appn.	45	1	20-209G
	3	Emer.		3	Emer.	46	1	22-4909A
3	2	23-102	26	2	33-2401	47	1	54-803
	3	23-201		3	33-2402	48	$\frac{2}{1}$	54-827 72-517
	4 5	23-202		4 5	33-2403	49	1	41-728
	6	23-203 23-204		6	33-2404 33-2405	50	1	50-2908
	7	23-204		7	33-2406	00	2	Emer.
	8	23-206		8	Rplg.	51	1	54-1139
	9	23-207	27	1	Rplg.		2	54-1141
	10	23-208		2	33-4804		3	54-1142
	11	23-209		3	33-4805	52	1	Rplg.
	12	23-211		4	Rplg.		2	Rplg.
	13	23-212	28	1	33-123		3	67-401
	14	23-214	29	1	67-805		4	67-406
	15	23-215		2	67-809		5	67-428
	16	23-216	30	1	33-4901		6	67-429
	17	23-217		2	33-4902		7 8	67-431 67-435
	18	23-301		3	33-4903		9	67-450B
	19	23-302		4	33-4904		10	67-450D
	20	23-305		5	33-4905		11	67-701 —
	21	23-306		6	33-4906			67-704
	22	23-307		7	49-304		12	72-1507
	23	23-308		8	49-313		13	34-301
	24	23-308B		9	49-314	53	1	50-222
	25	23-309	31	1	Rplg.	54	1	41-4303
	26	23-311	32	1	22-103		2	41-4305
	27	23-312	33	1	54-2302		3	41-4308
	28	23-313		2 3	54-2303	5.F	4	41-4319
	29	23-402			54-2304	55	$rac{1}{2}$	33-1228
	30 31	23-403		4	54-2305		3	33-5503 33-5504A
	32	23-404 23-406		5 6	Rplg. 54-2307		4	55-5504A Emer.
	33	23-406		7	54-2309	56	1	19-5307
		20-401		8	UT-2003	00	1	10-0001

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Hereir
57	2	33-504	86	3	66-405	106	15	39-6113
58	1	67-4223	87	1	41-5901 —		16	39-6114
59	1	54-3607			41-5917		17	39-6114 <i>A</i>
30	1	54-3610		2	Eff.		18	39-6116
31	1	19-3004A	88	1	33-309		19	39-6117
52	1	63-3638	89	1	54-5210	107	1	33-307
	2	Rplg.	90	1	Rplg.		2	33-308
	3	63-3641	1	2	19-2901 — 19-2923		3	33-31
	4	Emer.	91	1	63-3621		4	33-312
33	1	63-3067A	31	2	63-3622GG		5	33-407
	2	63-3067B	92	1	49-2904	108	1	18-8002
	3	57-824	93	1	54-402		2	18-8003
54	1	68-10-409		2	54-405		3	37-2732
=	$\frac{2}{1}$	68-10-505 54-5501 —		3	54-406		4	37-2735
5	1	54-5501 — 54-5513		4	54-407		5 6	37-274
	2	67-2601		5	54-408	ļ	7	37-280
	3	67-2602		6	54-410		8	37-2808 57-816
	4	54-5503, n.		7	54-411		9	63-3067 <i>A</i>
	5	Other		8	54-414		10	63-3067E
6	1	41-4201		9	54-415	109	10	56-100
	2	41-4202		10	54-416	110	1	18-331
	3	41-4203		11	54-417	110	2	18-331
	4	41-4204		12	54-418	111	1	54-261
	5	41-4205		13	54-420	111	2	54-2614
	6	Rplg.		14	54-421	112	1	54-100
7	1	54-1407		15	54-422	112	2	54-1008
	2	54-1408	94	1	54-607		3	54-1013
88	1	18-8310	95	1	54-2205		4	54-1014
9	1	41-1950 —		2	54-2212	113	1	54-5012
		41-1965	96	1	Rplg.	114	1	49-417I
	2	41-1965, n.	97	1	Rplg.		2	57-81
0	1	72-1316A		2	26-31-101 —		3	Emer
1	1	18-1505			26-31-114, 26-31- 201 — 26-31-212,	115	1	19-5109
2	1	54-1112			26-31-301 —		2	19-511
3	1	54-504			26-31-321	116	1	18-6110
4	1	54-5308		3	Eff.	117	1	36-40
5	1	54-2804	98	1	40-1305B		2	36-408
	$\frac{2}{3}$	54-2808	99	1	40-1325	118	1	54-150
	4	Rplg. 54-2818		2	Rplg.		2	54-150
	5	54-2819	100	1	18-1505B		3	54-1502
	6	54-2821	101	1	19-5307		4	54-150
6	1	54-302	102	1	19-4708		5	Rplg
7	1	25-2907		2	19-5305		6	54-150
	2	Rplg.	100	3	20-520		7	54-151
78	1	31-3201G, n.	103	1	16-1602		8	54-151
0	2	15-5-404		2	33-202	119	1	39-590
	3	15-5-418	104	3	33-207		2	39-590
	4	15-5-419	104	1	18-2507	_	3	39-5909
9	1	32-1402	105	1	54-1752	120	1	63-10
	2	32-1407		2	54-1755		2	63-304
	3	32-1408	106	2	Emer. 39-6101		3	63-3048
	4	32-1409	100	3	39-6102	121	1	11-60
	5	32-1410	1	4	39-6104	122	1	39-3130
0	1	1-2303		5	39-6105		2	39-313
	2	31-3201A		6	39-6106	123	1	22-10
	3	18-918		7	39-6107	124	1	19-252
31	1	19-2716		8	39-6108	125	1	41-210
32	1	54-2103		9	39-6109		2	41-2210
33	1	54-2108		10	39-6109A		3	41-321
34	1	54-2101		11	39-6110		4	41-3430
35	1	49-2427		12	39-6111		5	41-3923
36	1	15-5-301		13	39-6111A		6	41-4023
		66-404		14	39-6112		7	41-4124

Hereir	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
Emer	4	173	67-7101	11	157	41-4703	8	125
67-2803	1	174	67-7105	12		41-5203	9	
28-46-402	1	175	67-7114	13		41-5501	10	100
39-3422 20-608	1 1	176 177	67-7122 $67-7124$	14 15		54-2606 $54-2617$	$\frac{1}{2}$	126
31-330	2	1//	67-7124	16		39-4304A	1	127
31-350	3		Emer.	17		22-3507	1	128
31-3509	4		59-1352A	1	158	22-3506	1	129
31-350	5		49-1223	1	159	39-3902	1	130
31-3503	6		Emer.	2		33-125A	1	131
31-3503C -	7		33-5205	1	160	67-5745D	2	
31-3503I	0		33-5209	2	_	33-2728	1	132
31-3504	8 9		67-2343	1	161	54-2087	1	133
31-3508 31-3505I	10		67-2344	2		54-2041	1	134
31-3507	11		67-2345 67-2347	3 4		54-2050	1 1	135
31-3508	12		49-416C	1	162	45-1505 $45-1602$	2	136
31-3509	13		67-4725	2	102	67-7008A	1	137
31-3510	14		67-4726	3		Emer.	2	101
31-351	15		63-201	1	163	67-7112	1	138
31-351	16		67-5333	1	164	72-1025	1	139
31-3518	17		67-5761	2		63-205A, n.	1	140
31-3519	18		Emer.	3		63-205A	2	
31-355	19		Appn.	1	165	Emer.	3	
67-790: Legis. Int	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 21 \end{array}$		Emer.	2		49-401B	1	141
67-260	1	178	18-4006	1	166	49-504	2	140
33-2509	2	170	18-4007 $67-2601$	2 1	167	40-210 54-1760	1 1	142 143
Appn	$\frac{1}{2}$	179	67-4111	2	107	54-1761	$\overset{1}{2}$	140
Legis. Int	<sup>'</sup> 3		67-4112	3		54-1762	3	
Appn	4, 5		67-4123	4		54-1763	4	
Emer	6		67-4124	5		54-1764	5	
Appn	1, 2	180	33-3901	6		54-1765	6	
Legis. Int	3		33-3902	7		54-1752	7	
Appn	4		33-3904	8		59-1351	1	144
Emer Appn	5 1, 2	181	Rplg.	1	168	59-1352	2	
Legis. Int	3	101	33-905	2 3		59-1355	3 1	145
Appn	4		33-1228 33-3401 —	3 4		61-541 Rplg.	1	146
Emer	5		33-3414	4		49-1210, n.	1	147
Appn	1-3	182	33-4802	5		67-5767	1	148
Legis. Int	4, 5		33-4803	6		54-3209	1	149
Appn	6		33-4806	7		63-2425	1	150
Emer	7		67-7304	8		41-2002	1	151
Appn	1, 2	183	33-356, n.	1	169	Rplg.	1	152
Legis. Int	3 4		33-356 33-1019	2 3		54-2701 — 54-2708	2	
Appn Emer	5		33-356, n.	4		49-1614	1	153
18-8002	1	184	33-1019, n.	4		Emer.	2	100
18-8002	$\hat{2}$	101	Other	1	170	20-511	1	154
18-8004	3		Leg.	2		20-520	2	
18-80040	4		Appn.	3-15		31-3201C	3	
18-800	5		Emer.	16		49-335	1	155
33-5303	1	185	33-402	1	171	49-337	2	
33-5304	2		33-515	2		18-8308	1	156
33-5305	3		33-522	3		41-2502	1	157
33-5307 33-5310	4 5		33-601	4 5		49-102	2 3	
57-728	6		33-801 33-1510	6		49-120 49-122	4	
Emer	7		Emer.	7		49-123	5	
36-116	1	186	39-7003	1	172	49-302	6	
Emer	$\overset{ ext{-}}{2}$		Emer.	2		49-402	7	
36-1304	1	187	39-4106	1	173	49-426	8	
36-1407	1	188	39-4109	2		49-456	9	
56-1011	1	189	39-4116	3		49-948	10	

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
189	2	56-1012	209	1-4	Appn.	226	8	Emer.
	3	56-1013		5	Legis. Int.	227	1	33-317
	4	56-1013A		6 7	Appn.	000	2	33-601
	5 6	56-1014 56-1015	210	1-4	Emer. Appn.	228	$\frac{1}{2}$	63-3004 Emer.
	7	56-1016	210	5	Emer.	229	1-5	Appn.
	8	56-1020 —	211	1-3	Appn.	220	6	Legis. Int.
		56-1022	212	1, 2	Appn.		7	Appn.
	9	56-1023		3	Legis. Int.		8	Emer.
190	1	39-1602		4-6	Appn.	230	1, 2	Appn.
101	2	39-1607		7	Emer.		3	Legis. Int.
191	$\frac{1}{2}$	63-4402 63-4403	213	1	49-403B		4	Appn.
	3	63-4404	014	$\frac{2}{1}$	65-209	001	5	Emer.
	4	63-4405	214	$\frac{1}{2}$	39-3303 Emer.	231	1-5 6	Appn. Legis. Int.
	5	63-4408	215	1	46-601		7	Appn.
192	1	49-1421A	216	1	63-3029		8	Emer.
193	1	59-514		2	Emer.	232	1, 2	Appn.
194	1	49-663	217	1	36-115		3	Legis. Int.
	2	Emer.	218	1	50-2904		4	Appn.
195	1	6-2701, 6-2702	219	1	39-4113		5	Emer.
100	2	6-904B		2	39-4116	233	1, 2	Appn.
196	$rac{1}{2}$	49-402 49-420I	000	3	39-8007		3, 4	Legis. Int.
	3	49-4201 Eff.	220	1	33-317		5	Appn.
197	1	67-7903	221	$\frac{2}{1}$	33-317A 56-1501 —	234	6 1-3	Emer.
198	1	49-2902	221	1	56-1511	204	4, 5	Appn. Legis. Int.
199	1	49-402	222	1	54-3701		6	Other
	2	49-420H		2	54-3702		7	Legis. Int.
	3	Eff.		3	54-3703		8-10	Appn.
200	1	33-5205		4	54-3704		11	Emer.
201	1	36-104		5	54-3705	235	1, 2	Appn.
	2	36-416		6	54-3706		3	Legis. Int.
	3 4	Rplg. 36-1104A		7 8	54-3707		4, 5	Appn.
	5	Legis. Int.		9	54-3708 54-3709		6	Emer.
	6	Emer.		10	54-3710	236	1-8	Appn.
202	1	18-3302H		11	54-3711		9	Legis. Int.
	2	9-340B		12	54-3712		10-12 13	Appn.
203	1-5	Appn.		13	54-3713	237	1	Emer. 59-1342
	6	Legis. Int.		14	54-3714	201	2	59-1346
	7, 8	Appn.		15	54-3715	238	1	72-1306
	9	Legis. Int.		16	54-3716		2	72-1366
204	10	Appn.		17	54-3717		3	Eff.
204	1-5 6	Appn. Legis. Int.		18 19	54-3718 54-3719	239	1	33-319
	7	Appn.		20	54-3720	240	1	42-1737
	8	Emer.		21	54-3721	241	1-4	Other
205	1, 2	Appn.		22	54-3722	242	1	42-234
	3	Legis. Int.	223	1	42-1734A, n.		2	Rplg.
	4	Appn.		1	42-1734B, n.	1	3	42-4223
	5	Emer.		2	42-1734A, n.		4	42-5225
206	1-3	Appn.		2	42-1734B, n.	049	5	43-343
	4	Legis. Int.		3	42-1734A, n.	243	1-7 8, 9	Appn. Legis. Int.
	5 6	Appn.		3	42-1734B, n.		10	Appn.
207	1-5	Emer. Appn.		4	42-1734A, n. 42-1734B, n.		11	Emer.
	6	Legis. Int.		5	42-1734B, n.	244	1	37-3201
	7	Appn.		5	42-1734B, n.		2	54-1704
	8	Emer.	224	1	67-704		3	54-1705
208	1	Appn.	225	1-4	Other		4	54-1723A
	2	Legis. Int.		5	Sev.		5	54-1729
	3, 4	Appn.	226	1-4	Appn.		6	54-4702
	5	Legis. Int.		5	Legis. Int.		7	54-5110
	6	Emer.	L	6, 7	Appn.	245	1, 2	Appn.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
245	3	Legis. Int.	263	16	Emer.	282	1	23-207
	4	Appn.	264	1-8	Appn.		2	23-311
146	5 1-3	Emer.		9 10	Legis. Int.	283	3 1	23-610
246	1-3 4	Appn. Emer.		11	Appn. Emer.	284	1	67-6902 33-1006
247	1, 2	Appn.	265	1	5-341	204	2	33-1006A
	3	Legis. Int.	266	1-3	Appn.		3	33-5208
	4	Appn.		4	Legis. Int.		4	Emer.
	5	Emer.		5	Appn.	285	1	33-1004A
248	1, 2	Appn.		6	Emer.		2	Legis. Int.
	3	Legis. Int.	267	1, 2	Appn.	286	1	33-107C
	4, 5 6	Appn. Emer.		3 4	Legis. Int.	287	$\frac{1}{2}$	49-402 49-428
249	1	Appn.		5	Appn. Emer.	288	1-4	Appn.
250	1	18-8303	268	1-3	Appn.	200	5	Legis. Int.
	$\overset{-}{2}$	18-8304		4	Legis. Int.		6	Appn.
	3	18-8308		5	Appn.		7	Emer.
251	1	Rplg.		6	Emer.	289	1-3	Appn.
	2	54-5401 —	269	1, 2	Appn.	290	1, 2	Appn.
	3	54-5409		3	Legis. Int.		3	Legis. Int.
252	1	67-2601 72-1502		4-7	Appn.		4, 5	Appn.
102	2	72-1502		8 9, 10	Legis. Int.	291	6 1, 2	Emer.
	3	72-1502, n.		3, 10 11	Appn. Emer.	231	3	Appn. Legis. Int.
	3	72-1506, n.	270	1-3	Appn.		4	Appn.
253	1-3	Appn.		4	Legis. Int.		5	Emer.
	4	Legis. Int.		5	33-1004E	292	1, 2	Appn.
	5	Appn.	271	1-7	Appn.		3	Legis. Int.
	6	Emer.		8	33-1004E		4	Appn.
254	$1, 2 \\ 1-4$	Appn.	272	18	Appn.		5	Emer.
255	5	Appn. Legis. Int.	050	9	33-1004E	293	1-4	Appn.
	6	Appn.	273	1-5	Appn.		5 6, 7	Legis. Int.
	7	Emer.		6 7-10	Legis. Int. Appn.		8	Appn. Emer.
256	1-5	Appn.		11	Other	294	1	Legis. Int.
	6	Legis. Int.		12-14	Appn.	201	2	Rplg.
	7	Appn.	274	1-3	Appn.	Ì	3	Emer.
	8	Emer.	275	1-3	Legis. Int.	295	1	39-1101
257	1-9	Appn.		4	Appn.		2	39-1102
	- 10 11	Legis. Int. Appn.		5	Legis. Int.		3	39-1103
	12	Emer.		6-10	Appn.		4	39-1104
258	1-8	Appn.		11	Legis. Int.		5	39-1105
	9	Legis. Int.		12	Appn.		6 7	39-1106
	10	Appn.		13 14	Legis. Int.		8	39-1107 39-1108
	11	Emer.		15	Appn. Legis. Int.		9	39-1109
259	1-6	Appn.		16-20	Appn.		10	39-1110
	7 8	Legis. Int.		21	Emer.		11	39-1111
	9	Appn. Emer.	276	1	49-2805A		12	39-1112A
260	1-10	Appn.		2	49-2806		13	39-1113
	11	Legis. Int.		3	49-2811		14	39-1114
	12	Appn.		4	Eff.		15	39-1115
	13	Emer.	277	1	65-101		16	39-1116
261	1-6	Appn.	070	2	65-602		17	39-1117
	7	Legis. Int.	278	1 1	67-2323		18 19	39-1118 39-1120
	8-10 11	Appn. Emer.	279	2	39-4109 39-4116		20	39-1120 Eff.
262	1-8	Appn.	280	1	54-5003	296	1, 2	Appn.
-52	9	Legis. Int.	281	1	39-7102		3	Legis. Int.
	10	Appn.		$\overset{-}{2}$	39-7103		4	Appn.
	11	Emer.		3	39-7104		5	Emer.
263	1-10	Appn.		4	39-7109	297	1-4	Appn.
	11	Legis. Int.		5	39-7111		5	Legis. Int.
	12-15	Appn.	1	6	39-7112		6	Appn.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
297	7	Emer.	316	1-3	Appn.	332	5	41-4910
298	1-3	Appn.		4	Legis. Int.		6	Legis. Int.
	4	Legis. Int.		5	Appn.	000	7	Emer.
	5	Appn.	917	6	Emer.	333	$rac{1}{2}$	Legis. Int.
299	6 1-4	Emer. Appn.	317	1, 2 3	Appn. Legis. Int.		3	40-701 41-4910
233	5	Legis. Int.		4	Appn.		4	63-2412
	6	Appn.		5	Emer.		5	67-2914
	7	Emer.	318	1, 2	Appn.		6	Sev.
300	1	72-1367A		3	Legis. Int.		7	Emer.
	2	Emer.		4	Appn.	334	1	Appn.
301	1, 2	Appn.		5	Emer.	335	1-5	Appn.
	3	Legis. Int.	319	1-3	Appn.		6	Emer.
	4	Appn.		4	Legis. Int.	336	1	49-432
200	5	Emer.		5, 6 7	Appn.	337	1, 2	Appn.
302	1, 2 3	Appn.	320	1, 2	Emer.		3 4	Legis. Int.
	4	Legis. Int. Appn.	320	3, 4	Appn. Legis. Int.		5	Appn. Emer.
	5	Emer.		5	Appn.	338	1, 2	Appn.
303	1, 2	Appn.		6	Emer.	555	3	Legis. Int.
	3	Legis. Int.	321	1, 2	Appn.		4	Appn.
	4, 5	Appn.		3	Legis. Int.		5	Emer.
	6	Emer.		4	Appn.	339	1	Appn.
304	1, 2	Appn.		5	Emer.		2	Legis. Int.
	3	Legis. Int.	322	1, 2	Appn.		3	Appn.
	4	Appn.		3	Legis. Int.	0.10	4	Emer.
205	5	Emer.		4	Appn.	340	1	33-1004
305	1-3 4	Appn. Legis. Int.	323	5 1-4	Emer.		2 3	33-1619
	5	Appn.	323	5	Appn. Legis. Int.		4	33-1019, n. Rplg.
	6	Emer.		6	Appn.		5	33-1004
306	1, 2	Appn.		7	Emer.		6	Eff.
	3, 4	Legis. Int.	324	1-6	Appn.	341	1	Appn.
	5	Appn.		7	Legis. Int.		2	21-805
	6	Emer.		8, 9	Appn.		3	21-806
307	1, 2	Appn.		10	Emer.		4	22-2721
	3	Legis. Int.	325	1-6	Appn.		5	22-2725
	4	Appn.		7	Emer.		6	22-4301
	5	Emer.	326	1	Appn.		7	23-917
308	1, 2	Appn.	327	1, 2	Appn.		8	23-918
	3	Legis. Int.		3	Legis. Int.		9	23-919
	4	Appn.		4	Appn.		10	27-107
309	5 1, 2	Emer. Appn.	328	5 1, 2	Emer.		$\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$	27-111 31-402
505	3	Legis. Int.	320	3	Appn. Legis. Int.		13	31-403
	4	Appn.		4	Appn.		14	31-407
	5	Emer.		5	Emer.	1	15	31-408
310	1	Appn.	329	1	33-3717B		16	31-809A
	2	Legis. Int.		2	Emer.		17	31-1406
	3	Appn.	330	1	49-415G	İ	18	31-1410
	4	Emer.		2	49-434		19	31-4306
311	1, 2	Appn.	331	1	Legis. Int.	1	20	31-4323
312	1	72-802		2	49-202		21	31-4325
313	1, 2	Appn.		3	49-306		22	31-4510
	3	Legis. Int.		4	49-328		23	31-4701
	4	Appn.		5	49-523		24	33-308
214	5	Emer.		6	49-1224		25 26	33-311
314	$\frac{1}{2}$	36-106		7 8	49-1607		$\frac{26}{27}$	33-312 33-313
	3	36-408 Emer.		9	49-2444 Eff.		28	33-313 33-317
315	1, 2	Appn.	332	1	63-2407		29	33-351
510	3	Legis. Int.	002	2	63-2412		30	33-354
	4	Appn.		3	63-2418		31	33-401
	5	Emer.		4	40-701		32	33-402

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
341	33	Rplg.	341	78	40-1409	341	123	50-612
	34	33-404		79	40-1416		124	50-707B
	35	33-405		80	40-1418		125	50-803
	36	Rplg.		81	40-1506		126	50-806
	37	33-501		82	40-1507		127	50-1026
	38	Rplg.		83	40-1508		128	50-1035
	39	33-502B		84	40-1511		129	50-2104
	40	33-503		85	40-1519		130	50-2105
	41	33-503A		86	40-1605		131	50-2106
	42	33-504		87	40-1606		132	50-2107
	43	33-505		88	40-1607		133	50-2114
	44	33-601		89	40-1624		134	50-2201
	45	33-802		90	40-1625		135	50-2202
	46	33-803		91	40-1626		136	50-2203
	47	33-804		92	40-1630		137	50-2204
	48	33-1103		93	40-1702		138	50-2302
	49	33-1510		94	40-1714		139	50-2303
	50	33-2106		95	40-1805		140	50-2308
	51	33-2111		96	40-1806		141	63-316
	52	33-2715		97	40-1808		142	63-802
	53	33-2716		98	40-1809		143	63-802C
	54	33-2718		99	40-1810		144	63-1309
	55	34-106		100	42-3211		145	63-3638
	56	34-304		101	Rplg.		146	63-3641, n.
	57	34-601		102	50-402		147	63-4103
	58	34-602		103	50-403		148	67-4907
	59	34-1401		104	Rplg.		149	67-4911
	60	34-1404		105	50-404		150	67-4922
	61	34-1405		106	Rplg.		151	67-4923
	62	34-1406		107	50-405	Ì	152	
	63	34-1411		108	50-406			67-4924 67-4929
	64	34-2301		109	50-407		153	
	65	39-1324		110	50-410		154	67-4930
	66	39-1325A		111	50-411		155	70-1210
	67	39-1330		112	Rplg.		156	70-1215
	68	39-1339		113	50-412		157	70-1217
	69	39-1340		114	50-413		158	70-1219
	70	39-1341		115	50-414		159	70-1220
	71	40-206		116	50-415		160	Sev.
	72	40-819		117	50-416		161	Eff.
	73	40-1101		118	50-417	342	1-3	Other
	74	40-1304		119	50-418	343	1	67-510, n.
	75	40-1305		120	Rplg.		2	Emer.
	76	40-1305A		121	50-419	344	1	67-7434
	77	Rplg.		122	50-420		2	63-2520

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	-	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1-4	Appn.	5	3		Emer.	12	1	Appn.
	5	Emer.	6	1		Appn.		2	Emer.
2	1	Appn.		2		Emer.	13	1, 2	Appn.
	2	Emer.	7	1		Appn.		3	Emer.
3	1	Rplg.		2		Emer.	14	1	63-2401
	2	Rplg.	8	1		Appn.		2	63-2412
	3	Other		2		Emer.		3	63-2418
	4	Emer.	9	1, 2		Appn.		4	Emer.
4	1	Appn.		3		Emer.	15	1	14-113
	2	Emer.	10	1		63-3037		2	14-517
5	1	63-3022H	11	1		63-3021		3	14-522
	2	63-3029E		2		Emer.		4	14-533

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
16	1	49-110	46	1	39-9001 —	79	21	54-5501
	2	49-305		2	39-9004 67-1401		22	54-5502
	3 4	49-306	47	1, 2	Appn.		23	54-5503
17	1, 2	49-307 Appn.	48	1, 2	22-3404		$\frac{24}{25}$	54-5504 54-5505
11	3	Emer.	49	1	49-104		26	54-5506
18	1	Appn.		2	49-240		27	54-5507
10	2	Emer.		3	49-335		28	54-5508
19	1	23-207		4	49-1416		29	54-5509
	2	23-311	50	1	36-404		30	54-5510
	3	23-610		2	36-406		31	54-5511
20	1	67-5201		3	36-407		32	54-5512
	2	67-5204	51	1	36-412A		33	54-5513
	3	67-5205	52	1	36-411		34	56-1011
	4	67-5226	53	1	36-202		35	57-202
21	1	67-5203	54	1	33-212		36	67-2601
22	1	67-6607	55	1	25-3514		37	Other
	2	67-6619	56	$\frac{1}{2}$	56-1054	80	1	67-5309
	3	67-6623	57	1	Other	81	1	63-3622FF
	4	Emer.	58	1	54-1403		2	Emer
23	1	39-103	59	1	Rplg. Rplg.	82	1	36-201
24	1	39-416	60	1	Rplg.	83	1	36-502
25	1	39-3627	61	1	58-307	84	1	39-1301
26	1	16-1512	62	1	31-871	0.5	$\frac{2}{1}$	39-13010
	2	16-1625	63	1	54-1718	85 86	1	36-608
_	3	16-2014	64	1	54-1734	00	2	41-1037 41-1038
27	1	3-405	65	1	54-1508		3	41-1039
28	1	18-2505	66	1	38-111		4	41-1039A
	2	18-2506	67	1	47-201		5	41-10032
29	1	12-117	68	1	15-1-501		6	19-2918
20	2	Emer.		2	Emer.	87	1	23-1307
30	1	Rplg.	69	1	33-3726	88	1	54-1806
31	1	Rplg.	70	1, 2	Appn.	89	1	54-1803
	2	41-901 - 41-917	71	1	67-7027		2	54-1807
	3	Emer.	72	1	67-5334		3	54-1807A
32	1	41-6001 —		2.	Emer.	90	1	55-819
,,,	-	41-6008	73	1-3	Appn.		2	56-225
	2	41-6008, n.	74	1-3	Appn.	91	1	38-1209
	3	Emer.		4	Legis. Int.	92	1	36-410
	4	Other	75	1, 2	Appn.	93	1	36-1202
33	1	67-3004	76	1, 2	Appn.	94	1	36-416
34	1	11-101	77	3 1	Legis. Int.	95	1	Rplg.
35	1	Rplg.	78	1	33-3717B 39-250	96	1	41-2710
	2	Rplg.	10	2	39-267		2	41-4011
	3	50-2207		3	39-278		3	41-4111
36	1	49-502	79	1	6-1904	97	1	18-3302
37	1	63-3006C		2	18-8308	98	1	49-509
	2	63-3022L		4	22-103	99	1	39-7205
	3	63-3036B		5	23-207		2	39-7415
	4	Eff.		6	23-311	100	1	22-5102
38	1	36-2110		7	23-610		2	22-5103
39	1	36-2109		8	33-109		3	22-5104
10	1	3-409		9	33-2406		4	22-5121
4.1	2	Eff.		10	33-5205		5	22-5125
41	1	45-810		11	Rplg.	101	1	59-1354A
12	1	33-601		12	Rplg.	102	1	36-409
40	2	Eff.		13	37-2744B	103	1	54-2107
43	1	54-1142		14	39-4109	104	1	54-2118
14	1	63-3022		15	39-4116	107	2	9-340C
	2	63-3029G		16	45-1302	105	1	54-2103
	3	63-4403		17	49-420I		2	54-2104
45	4	Emer.		18	50-334	100	3	54-2115
45	1	67-5221	1	19	54-1761	106	1	54-3714

106 107	2							
107		54-3719	137	1	31-3205	171	7	49-1807
107	3	Rplg.	138	1	6-2801, 6-2802		8	49-1807A
	1	54-2212		2	49-623		9	49-1808
108	$\frac{1}{2}$	63-3026A		3 4	56-1014 E		10	49-1809 49-1811
109	1	Emer. 54-2604	139	1	Emer. 72-528		11 12	49-1812
110	1	54-2630	140	1	49-402		13	49-1813
111	1	54-1212	140	2	49-420J		14	49-1814
111	2	54-1213		3	Eff.		15	49-1815
	3	54-1214	141	1	46-1021		16	49-1816
	4	54-1216		2	46-1022		17	49-1819
	5	54-1220	142	1	43-119	172	1	59-1007
	6	54-1223	143	1	59-1302		2	Emer
112	1	54-1733	144	1	5-246	173	1	67-5342A
113	1	54-1732	145	1	54-5403	174	1	39-4113
114	1	72-1318A		2	54-5405		2	39-8007
	2	72-1318B		3	54-5406	175	1	67-6519
	3	72-1346		4	54-5408		2	67-6520
	4	72-1349	140	5	Emer.		3	67-6521
	5 6	72-1368 72-1369	146 147	1 1	39-7421 16-1602		4 5	67-6535 Emer
	7	72-1369 Emer.	147	$\frac{1}{2}$	16-1624	176	1	31-1412
115	1	63-1013		3	16-1629	177	1	49-454
116	1	54-1723A		4	39-1202	178	1	67-450D
110	2	54-1724		5	39-1211A	179	1	Legis. Int
117	1	37-2705	148	1, 2	Appn.		2	46-1026
	2	37-2707	149	1, 2	Appn.		3	46-1027
	3	37-2709	150	1-3	Appn.		4	Emer
	4	37-2711		4	Emer.	180	1	33-519
	5	37-2713	151	1-4	Appn.	181	1	33-131
	6	37-2732C		5	Legis. Int.	182	1	59-1302
118	1	18-1502C		6	Emer.	183	1	72-1312
	2	37-2701	152	1, 2	Appn.		2	72-1351
	3	37-2732		3	Legis. Int.	184	1	Legis. Int
110	4 1	72-208	153	1-3	Appn.		2 3	Other
119 120	1	67-4728 67-7008A	154	1	28-9-310	185	1	Emer 31-1410
120	2	Emer.	155	1	58-1307	100	2	33-503A
121	1	54-3308	156	1	54-1105		3	Other
121	2	54-3312	157	1	54-2405		4	33-2715
122	1	54-1015	158 159	1 1	54-5309 67-2608		5	33-2718
123	1	67-2803	160	1	39-4302		6	34-106
124	1	58-1305	161	1	54-3202	l	7	34-731
125	1	33-512C	101	2	54-3206		8	34-732
126	1	41-1848, 41-1849	162	1	34-702A		9	34-1401
	2	41-3444	102	2	Emer.		10	34-1404
127	1	18-611	163	1	54-309		11	34-1408
100	2	Sev.	164	1	41-1220	l	12	34-1410
128	1	33-107	165	1	67-2601A		13	40-1305
129	1	40-701, 41-4910, 63-2412	166	1	39-8005	ì	14	42-3207 42-3211
130	1	54-2105		2	39-8007		15 16	Other
131	1	41-2653		3	39-8008		17	Emer.
132	1	49-402		4	39-8010	186	1	56-1401
	2	49-419E	167	1	61-113	100	2	56-1402
	3	Eff.		2	Rplg.		3	56-1403
133	1	63-602		3	61-129		4	56-1404
	2	63-602NN	168	1	55-2008		5	56-1406
	3	Emer.	169	1	18-1351		6	Rplg
134	1	39-4805	170	1	28-51-105		7	56-1401 —
405	2	Other	171	1	49-106			56-1406
135	1	19-2719		3	49-1802		8	Legis. Int.
	2	19-4901		4	49-1803		9	Other
136	3 1	19-4902 72-1026		5 6	49-1803A 49-1804		10 11	Eff. Rplg.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
187	1	41-6002	212	3	54-2026	230	1-5	Appn
	2	Emer.		4	54-2033		6	Emer.
	3	Other	213	1	54-2011	231	1, 2	Appn
188	1-3	Appn.		2	54-2016		3	Emer.
189	1-3	Appn.		3	54-2027	232	1, 2	Appn.
190	1-8	Appn.		4	54-2036	000	3	Emer.
191	9 1	Emer. 33-1303	214	5 1	54-2093	233	1-4	Appn
191	2	33-1304	214	2	55-1801 55-1802	234	5 1-14	Emer
	3	33-3402		3	55-1803	234	1-14	Appn Logic Int
	4	33-3407		4	55-1804		16	Legis. Int Appn
	5	33-3408		5	55-1804A		17	33-1004E
	6	33-3411		6	55-1805		18-24	Appn
192	1	67-1212		7	55-1806		25	Legis. Int
_	2	Emer.		8	55-1807		26-31	Appn.
193	1-4	Appn.		9	55-1808		32	33-1004A
	5, 6	Legis. Int.		10	55-1809		33	33-1006
	7	Emer.		11	55-1810		34-36	Appn
194	1-4	Appn.		12	55-1811		37	Emer
	5	Emer.		13	55-1812	235	1	5-334
95	1-5	Appn.		14	55-1813		$\overline{2}$	9-205
	6	Emer.		15	55-1814		3	9-3400
96	1-3	Appn.		16	55-1815		4	10-1204
	4	Emer.	İ	17	55-1817		5	15-5-401
197	1	40-1305		18	55-1818	1	6	15-5-407
	2	Eff.		19	55-1819		7	18-6101
198	1-4	Other		20	55-1819A	1	8	20-225
	5	Sev.		21	55-1820		9	20-508
.99	1-4	Appn.		22	55-1821		10	32-106
	5	Emer.		23	55-1822		11	33-124
00	1-5	Appn.		24	55-1823		12	33-403A
	6	Emer.	215	1	33-308		13	33-1002
201	1-7	Appn.	24.0	2	Eff.		14	33-1007
	8	Emer.	216	1	16-1619		15	33-1404
202	1	14-501	217	1	54-2013		16	33-2001
	2	14-518		2	54-2018		17	33-4407
	3	14-523		3	54-2023		18	33-4604
	4	14-532	218	4 1	54-2055		19	34-1108
	5	14-534	219	1	67-2310		20	34-2427
	6	63-3077E	220	1	41-253 33-604		21	38-1601
	7	Eff.	220	2	33-605		22	39-1202
203	1-4	Appn.		3	33-1102		23	39-1204
	5	Legis. Int.	221	1	49-1603		24	39-1301
	6	Emer.	222	1	9-340D		25	39-1402
04	1-6	Appn.	223	1	11-605		26	39-4602
.05	7	Emer.		2	Emer.		27	39-4604
05	1	1-1623	224	1	72-1504		28	39-4803
	2	1-1625	225	1	9-340C		29	39-5008
	3	31-3201H		2	19-5801 —		30	39-5102
	4	Emer.			19-5804		31	40-1335
06	1-7	Appn.		3	49-306		32	41-2139
	8	Emer.		4	49-315		33	41-2203
207	1-3	Appn.		5	49-401B		34	41-3436
	4	Emer.		6	49-504		35	49-114
808	1	31-3908		7	49-2444		36	50-460
	2	Emer.		8	Eff.		37	54-903
09	1-3	Appn.	226	1-7	Appn.		38	54-935
110	4	Emer.		8	Emer.		39	54-1841
10	1-3	Appn.	227	1-4	Appn.		40	54-3401
	4	Emer.		5	Emer.		41	56-101
11	1-7	Appn.	228	1-4	Appn.		42	56-108
	8	Emer.		5	Emer.		43	56-113
212	1	54-2012	229	1-3	Appn.		44	56-203
	2	54-2015		4	Emer.		45	56-218A

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
235	46	56-255	254	2	63-602E	273	4	31-3503A
	48	56-701		3	Emer.		5	31-3503C
	49	56-701A	255	1	67-5243		6	31-3503E
	50	56-702		2	67-5245		7	31-3504
	51	56-703		3	67-5246		8	31-3505
	52	56-707		4	67-5248		9	31-3505A
	53	56-802	İ	5	67-5273		10	31-3505B
	54	56-1004A	256	1	50-1301		11	31-3505C
	55	63-701		2	50-1304		12	31-3505D
	56	66-329		3	55-1701		13	31-3505E
	57	66-401		4	55-1702		14	31-3505F
	58	66-402		5	55-1703		15	31-3505G
	59	66-408	Ì	6	55-1704		16	31-3507
	60	67-2319	•	7	55-1705		17	31-3508
	61	67-6530	İ	8	Rplg.		18	31-3509
	62	67-6531		9	Rplg.		19	31-3510
	63	67-6532		10	55-1708		20	31-3510A
	64	67-6901	057	11	55-1907		21 22	31-3511
	65 cc	67-6902	257	$\frac{1}{2}$	54-506			31-3512
	66 67	67-6903 68-1301		3	54-507		23 24	31-3513
	67 68		258	3 1	54-521 49-401B		25	31-3514
	69	68-1405 71-241	200	2	49-4016	1	26	31-3515 31-3515A
	70	71-241		3	Emer.		27	31-3515A
	70 71	72-430 72-1316A	259	1	49-959		28	31-3518
	72	72-1310A	260	1	49-2203		29	31-3519
	73	73-114A	261	1	18-3318		30	31-3520
236	1	32-1701 —	201	2	18-3319A		31	31-3521
200	1	32-1705	262	1	49-451	274	1	63-3029A
	2	15-5-207	263	1	Legis. Int.	2.1	2	Emer.
	3	15-5-213		$\hat{2}$	33-357	275	1	Legis. Int.
237	1	18-3302		3	Eff.		2	33-1620
238	1	41-1941	264	1	59-501		3	33-1621
239	1	6-2801, 6-2802	265	1-5	Appn.		4	33-1622
240	1	33-3724		6	Emer.		5	33-1623
	2	33-3725	266	1-4	Appn.		6	33-1624
241	1-4	Appn.	200	5, 6	Legis. Int.		7	Other
	5	Emer.		7	Emer.	276	1	72-1336A
242	1-5	Appn.	267	1	66-356		2	Emer.
	6	Emer.		$\overset{-}{2}$	67-3003	277	1	54-1770
243	1	49-2803	268	1	Appn.	278	1	33-206
	2	49-2805A		$\overline{2}$	Other	279	1	22-2716
244	1	Other		3-10	Appn.		2	22-2717
	2	Legis. Int.		11, 12	Legis. Int.		3	22-2718
	3	18-3315A		13	Other		4	22-2719
0.45	4	Sev.		14	Legis. Int.		5	22-2720
245	1	9-340C		15	Emer.		6, 7	22-2721
	2	36-402	269	1-3	Appn.		8	22-2723
	3	36-1510	200	4	Other		9	22-2724
	4	Sev.		5-8	Appn.		10, 11	22-2725
0.46	5	Emer.		9, 10	Legis. Int.		12	22-2727
246	1	34-1106		11, 12	Appn.		13	22-2730
	2 3	34-1113		13	Emer.		14	22-2731
247	1	34-1114	270	1	Appn.		15	22-2732
248	1	5-342 67-5904	2.0	2	Other		16	22-2733
440	2	67-5904		3	Legis. Int.		17	22-2734
	3	67-5905	271	1, 2	Appn.		18	22-2735
	4	72-1333	211	3	Other		19	22-5201
249	1	45-1510		4	Appn.		20	22-5201
250	1	7-803		5	Emer.		21	22-5202
251	1	41-1839	272	1, 2	Appn.		22	22-5205
252	1	20-408	273	1, 2	31-3501		23	22-5206
253	1	67-6509	210	2	31-3502		23 24	36-2404
400	1	63-301A		4	01-0002		24	30-2404

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
279	26	39-6407	296	4	56-136	311	6	54-413
	27	39-6609		5	56-209g		7	54-419
	28	42-3703		6	Emer.	040	8	54-420
	29	42-3705	297	1, 2	Appn.	312	1	Appn.
	30	42-3706		3	Other	313	1-3	Appn.
	31 32	42-3707		4, 5 6	Appn.		4 5	Other
	33	42-3717 67-818		7	Legis. Int. Appn.	314	1, 2	Emer Appn
280	1	67-5223		8	Emer.	314	3	Other
200	2	67-5229	298	1	Appn.		4	Emer
281	1	63-3067D	200	2	Legis. Int.	315	1-4	Appn
	2	Emer.		3, 4	Appn.		5	Other
282	1	33-131	299	1, 2	Appn.		6, 7	Appn
83	1	63-301A		3	Other		8	Legis. Int
	2, 3	63-802		4	Legis. Int.		9	Emer
	4	Emer.		5	Emer.	316	1, 2	Appn
284	1	16-1633	300	1	Appn.		3	Other
285	1	43-202	301	1-3	Appn.		4-7	Appn
286	1	67-5716		4	Other		8	Legis. Int
	2	67-5728		5-8	Appn.		9	Emer
	3	Other		9	Legis. Int.	317	1-3	Appn
287	1	39-411		10	Appn.		4	Other
	2	Emer.		11	Emer.		5-8	Appn
288	1-3	Appn.	302	1, 2	Appn.		9-11	Legis. Int
	4	Emer.		3	Other	010	12	Emer
289	1, 2	Appn.		4-7	Appn.	318	1-6	Appn
	3	Other		8, 9	Legis. Int.	210	7	Emer
	4 5	Legis. Int.		10, 11 $12$	Appn. Emer.	319	1-3	Appn Other
90	1, 2	Emer.	303	1, 2			4 5	Emer
100	3	Appn. Other	303	3	Appn. Other	320	1-3	Appn
	4, 5	Legis. Int.		4-7	Appn.	020	4	Other
	6	Emer.		8	Legis. Int.		5, 6	Appn
291	1, 2	Appn.		9	Emer.		7	Legis. Int
101	3	Other	304	1, 2	Appn.		8	Emer
	4	Emer.	001	3	Other	321	1	Appn
292	1, 2	Appn.	ĺ	4-7	Appn.	021	2	Other
102	3	Emer.		8	Legis. Int.		3, 4	Appn
293	1	40-102		9	Emer.	322	1-4	Appn
	2	40-103	305	1-3	Appn.		5	Other
	3	40-104		4	Other		6	Emer
	4	40-105		5-8	Appn.	323	1, 2	Appn
	5	40-107		9	Legis. Int.		3	Emer
	6	40-108		10	Emer.	324	1, 2	Appn
	7	40-113	306	1, 2	Appn.		3	Emer
	8	40-116		3	Other	325	1-4	Appn
	9	40-117		4-6	Appn.		5	Emer
	10	40-119		7	Legis. Int.	326	1, 2	33-804
	11	40-120		8, 9	Appn.		3	33-1004
	12	40-121		10	Emer.		4, 5	Other
	13	40-902	307	1	Appn.		6	Emer
	14	40-904	}	2	Other	327	1	Appn
	15	40-905		3, 4	Appn.		2	Emer
	16	Emer.		5	Other	328	1	Other
94	1	33-1620	308	1	Appn.		2	Appn
295	1	33-5305	309	1	33-1207A		3	Legis. Int
	2	33-5306	310	1	39-416		4-7	Appn
	3	33-5308		2	Other	329	1	49-1602
	4	33-5308A		3	Emer.		2	49-1637
	5	33-5309	311	1	54-402		3	Eff
	6	Emer.		2	54-406	330	1	49-432
296	1	39-5606		3	54-408	331	1	18-8005
	2	56-102		4	54-410	332	1	34-1002
	3	56-113		5	54-411	1	2	34-1003

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
333	1-7	Appn.	342	4	22-1910A	352	7	18-8304
	8-11	Legis. Int.	343	1	22-4902		8	18-8314
	12	Emer.		2	22-4909A		9	19-401
334	1, 2	Appn.	344	1	5-337		10	20-509
	3, 4	Other	345	1	67-5711C	353	1	54-1821
	5	Appn.	1	2	Emer.	354	1	Legis. Int.
	6, 7	Legis. Int.	346	1	54-1721		2	63-3029A
	8	Appn.	347	1	39-5601		3	Rplg.
	9	Legis. Int.		2	39-5602		4	63-3029A
335	1	59-904		3	39-5603		5	Eff.
	2	Emer.		4	39-5606	355	1	39-252
336	1	39-4803	348	1	54-1761		$\overset{-}{2}$	34-622
	2	39-4804		2	54 - 1762		3	31-2810
337	1	31-1408		3	54-1763	356	1	42-1780
338	1-3	Appn.		4	Emer.	000	2	Emer.
	4, 5	Legis. Int.	349	1	5-311	357	1	33-125A
	6	Emer.		2	5-327	551	2	67-5745D
339	1-4	Appn.	350	1	19-2601		3	67-5745E
	5	Legis. Int.		2	19-2604		4	Emer.
	6	Emer.	351	1	20-111	358	1-3	
340	1, 2	Appn.		2	20-209B	990		Appn. Other
	3	Other		3	20-241A		4	
	4	Emer.		4	Emer.		5	Legis. Int.
341	1, 2	Appn.	352	1	18-6101		6, 7	Appn.
	3	Other		2	18-6107		8	Legis. Int.
	4	Emer.		3	18-6108	0.50	9	Emer.
342	1	22-1904		4	18-609A	359	1	37-1101
	2	22-1908		5	18-609G		2	Emer.
	3	22-1910		6	18-8303	1		

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1	63-3004	11	4	31-1410A	13	2	67-3502
	2	63-30220		5	33-502	14	1	19-4708
	3	Emer.		6	33-503A	15	1	18-8002
2	1	63-2502		7	Rplg.		2	18-8002A
	2	63-2513		8	Rplg.		3	Emer.
	3	63-2551		9	33-2722	16	1	54-1007
3	1	63-3022L		10	34-102		2	54-1013
	2	63-3026A		11	34-106	17	1	49-624
	3	63-3036B		12	34-717	18	1	63-3621
	4	Emer.		13	34-902	19	1	31-1423
4	1	63-2430		14	34-1003	20	1	54-5004
5	1	63-1705		15	34-1401	21	1	67-450B
6	1	41-4903		16	34-1404		2	67-450D
	2	63-2404		17	34-1405A	22	1	49-402
	3	63-2411		18	34-1406		2	49-420K
	4	63-2423		19	34-1407		3	Eff.
	5	63-2424		20	34-1410	23	1	54-1006
	6	63-2429		21	34-1412	24	1	39-8610
	7	63-2431		22	39-1330	25	1	1-612
	8	63-2436		23	40-1304	26	1	5-508
7	1	20-518		24	42-3211	27	1	18-6101
8	1	19-869		25	50-1026		2	18-8304
9	1	18-915		26	67-4911		3	19-401
10	1	63-4502		27	Emer.	28	1	19-510A
	2	Emer.	12	1	67-1607	0	2	20-209C
11	1	Appn.		2	67-1608	29	1	20-209
	2	22-2721		3	Rplg.	30	1	67-5303
	3	22-4301	13	1	1-2102	31	1	Legis. Int.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
31	2	44-2013	58	3	Emer.	88	4	36-416
	3	Sev.	59	1	67-5732A	89	1	67-6502
32	1	44-2012	60	1	49-105		2	67-6508
	2	44-2013		2	49-301		3	67-6511
33	1	32-1215		3	49-306	00	4	67-6512
34	1	1-505		4	49-317	90	1	Rplg.
35	1	68-106A		5	49-321	91	1	54-802
36	1	15-13-101 —		6 7	49-322	00	$\frac{2}{1}$	54-808
		15-13-106 15-13-201 —		8	49-2444 Eff.	92 93	1	54-4206 54-2115A
		15-13-201 —	61	1	47-1601	94	1	72-1351
		15-13-301,	62	1	47-1605	95	1	22-103
		15-13-302	63	1	47-1604	96	1	33-513
		15-13-401 —	64	1	47-1604	30	2	33-514
		15-13-403	65	1	72-1347B		3	33-514A
		15-13-501 —	66	1	49-418		4	33-515
	0	15-13-504	67	î	19-869		5	33-515A
	2	Rplg.	0,	2	Emer.		6	33-515E
	3	Rplg.	68	1-3	oth		7	33-516
7	4	Rplg.	55	4	67-2914		8	33-521
37	2	70-2201		5	oth		9	33-522
	3 4	70-2202 70-2203	69	1	15-3-715		10	33-523
	5		""	2	15-5-424		11	33-524
	6	70-2204 70-2205	70	1	39-604		12	33-1003
	7	70-2206		2	Emer.		13	Rplg
	8	70-2210	71	1	49-117		14	33-1004H
	9	70-2210	72	1	49-411		15	33-1271
	10	70-2211		2	49-432		16	33-1271A
38	10	39-4403		3	49-1627		17	33-1272
39	1	Rplg.	73	1	20-225		18	33-1273
,,,	2	33-3717A	74	1	19-2516		19	33-1273A
	3	33-2101A	75	1	54-4703		20	33-1274
	4	Emer.		2	54-4705		21	33-1274A
10	1	33-1271		3	54-4707		22	33-1275
11	1	39-8811		4	54-4708		23	33-1276
	2	Emer.		5	54-4708A		24	33-402
12	1	72-205		6	54-4710		25	Sev
43	1	33-3407		7	54-4711		26	Emer
	2	59-1374		8	54-4712	97	1	33-1004]
	3	Emer.		9	54-4713		2	33-1004I, n.
14	1	39-3627	76	1	54-2918		3	Sev
45	1	63-3033		2	54-2927		4	Eff
	2	63-3072	77	1	54-4204	98	1	67-5309
	3	Emer.	78	1	54-2105	99	1	67-4703
46	1	37-2705	79	1	54-2115	100	1-3	59-1302
	2	Emer.	80	1	54-2104		4	Emer.
47	1	37-2705	81	1	33-1621	101	1	56-234
	2	Emer.	82	1	72-1312A		2	56-234A
18	1	40-513D		2	72-1352A		3	56-235A
19	1	33-1218		3	72-1361		4	56-235E
50	1	22-1103	83	1	54-816		5	56-235C
51	1	39-114	84	1	54-4113		. 6	56-235D
52	1	46-1103	85	1	63-701		7	56-235E
53	1	46-112		2	63-706	102	1	36-401
54	1	22-3502		3	63-707		2	56-203
	2	22-3506		4	63-715		3	56-235
55	1	25-1122		5	63-716		4	56-1004
	2	25-1145	86	1	Rplg.		5	66-115
	3	25-1146		$\overset{ ext{-}}{2}$	11-204		6	66-116
	4	25-3303		3	Emer.		7	66-118
56	1	Rplg.	87	1	67-8601		8	66-402
57	1	36-1407	88	1	36-404		9	66-501
58	1	21-211		2	36-407		10	66-503
-	2	40-707		3	36-409	103	1	39-1118

Herei	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
Legis. Int	3	138	41-5905	3	122	5-245	1	04
Appn	1, 2	139	41-5906	4		10-1110	2	
Legis. Int Appn	3 1-4	140	41-5907 41-5908	5 6		10-1111 Sev.	3 4	
Emei	5	140	41-5909	7		Emer.	5	
Rplg	1	141	41-5915	8		18-8001	1	.05
67-743	2		41-5916	9		15-2-801	1	.06
67-743	3		39-3330	1	123	Emer.	2	
67-743	4		39-3331	2		55-3101 —	1	.07
67-743	5	1.40	39-3332	3		55-3103	0	
67-500 49-52	1 1	$\frac{142}{143}$	39-3511 49-326	4 1	124	Emer. 54-2004	$\frac{2}{1}$	00
54-175	1	144	33-511	1	125	54-2004	2	108
Emer	$\overset{1}{2}$	1.11	39-3701	1	126	54-2058	3	
33-210	1	145	31-2905	1	127	36-104	1	109
Appn	1-3	146	31-878	1	128	36-401	2	
Legis. Int	4		31-3201D	2		36-409	3	
Appn	1, 2	147	19-5109	3		42-3902	2	10
39-560	1	148	19-5116	4	100	42-3903	3	
Rplg Appn	1 1-3	149 150	50-1029 50-1030	$\frac{1}{2}$	129	42-3904 42-3905	4 5	
Eme	4	190	50-1035	3		42-3908	6	
6-54	1	151	50-1039	4		42-3911	7	
6-54	$\overline{2}$		23-105	1	130	42-3912	8	
6-70	3		23-203	2		42-3913	9	
6-290	5		23-1302	3		67-6205	1	.11
6-290	6		23-1314	4		67-6206	2	
9-3400	7		Appn.	1, 2	131	67-6210	3	
19-470 19-580	8 9		Appn. Emer.	1-3 4	132	72-1346B 72-1350	4 5	
21-11	10		37-2723	1	133	72-1350 Sev.	6	
Rplg	11		37-2725	2	100	Emer.	7	
31-85	12		Emer.	3		72-1367A	1	12
33-13	13		37-2705	1	134	Emer.	2	
33-31	14		37-2707	2		72-1367	1	.13
33-40	15		37-3201	1	135	67-7024	1	14
33-51	16		54-1705	2		37-503	2	15
33-51	17		54-1729	3		37-504	3	
33-151	18		54-1733	4		37-505	4	
33-162 39-560	19 20		54-1761 54-4702	5 <b>6</b>		37-506	5 6	
40-70	21		54-5110	7		37-507 37-509	7	
41-1	22		40-117	1	136	37-510	8	
41-334	23		40-119	$\overset{\mathtt{1}}{2}$	100	37-513	9	
49-40	24		40-120	3		37-515	10	
Rplg	25		40-124	4		Rplg.	11	
56-11	26		40-209	5		Rplg.	12	
63-3012	28		50-1301	6		Rplg.	13	
67-260	29		50-1302	7		Rplg.	14	
41-184	1	152	50-1303	8		39-3601	1	116
56-209	2		50-1332	9		39-3602	$\frac{2}{3}$	
Sev Appn	3 1-4	153	54-1202 $54-1227$	10 11		39-3603 39-3623	3 4	
Legis. Int	5	155	54-1234	12		Emer.	5	
Eme	6		55-1603	13		72-1372	1	117
Appn	1-6	154	55-1605	14		33-107B	1	118
Appn	1-5	155	55-1608	15		Appn.	1-3	119
Eme	6		55-1613	16		Emer.	4	
Appn	1, 2	156	55-1902	17		50-1314	1	120
Appn	1, 2	157	55-1904	18		41-6005	1	21
49-10	1	158	55-1908	19		41-6006	2	
49-12:	2		55-1911	20	197	41-6007	3	22
67-710	3 1	159	14-510 Appn.	$1\\1,2$	137 138	41-5903 41-5904	$rac{1}{2}$	122

Herei	Section	Ch.	Herein	,	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
41-4301 -	2	196	42-615		3	176	33-2402	2	159
41-432			42-619		4		33-2403	3	
6-90	1	197	42-1207		1	177	33-2404	4	
6-92	2		20-519A		1	178	33-2406	5	
72-30	1	198	20-519B		2		33-2407	6	
44-130	1	199	20-519C		3		33-2408	7	
Appı	1, 2	200	20-519D		4	170	33-2409	8	00
Appı	1-3	201	49-601 67-6529C		1 1	179 180	Appn.	1-5 6	60
Eme	$\frac{4}{1, 2}$	202	67-6529E		2	100	Emer. Appn.	1-3	61
Appı Appı	1, 2	203	67-6529H		3		Emer.	4	01
Appi	1-3	204	Emer.		4		Appn.	1-3	62
Appı	1-3	205	22-4204		1	181	Emer.	4	02
Eme	4	_00	22-4205		2	101	Appn.	1-3	63
Appi	1, 2	206	22-4206		3		Emer.	4	
Appi	1, 2	207	67-2601		4		Rplg.	1	64
Appı	1-3	208	56-209p		1	182	Rplg.	2	
Eme	4		Legis. Int.		2		56-108	3	
67-230	1	209	41-1212		1	183	Rplg.	4	
42-21	1	210	41-1213		2		56-117	5	
19-550	1	211	41-1214		3		56-118	6	
19-550	2		41-1223		4		Rplg.	7	
19-550	3		41-1229		5		56-209g	8	
19-550	4		Eff.		6		56-255	9	
Ef	5		55-2001		2	184	56-257	10	
39-480	1	212	55-2003		3		56-260	11	
67-122	1	213	55-2004		4		56-261	12	
67-871	1	214	55-2005		5		56-262	13	
Appı	1-3	215	55-2006		6		56-263	14	
Appı	1-3	216	55-2007		7		56-264	15	
Appı	1, 2	217	55-2008		8		56-265	16	
Appı	1, 2	218	55-2009		9 10		56-266	17 18	
Legis. In	3 4		55-2009A		11		56-1408	19	
Appı Appı	1, 2	219	55-2009B		12		56-1504	20	
Appi	1, 2	$\frac{219}{220}$	55-2009C 55-2009D		13		56-1505 56-1511	20	
Legis. In	4	220	55-2009E		14		Rplg.	22	
Eme	5		55-2009E 55-2009F		15		56-1504,	23	
54-50	1	221	55-20091		16		56-1505, 56-1511	20	
33-102	1	222	55-2012		17		56-1601 —	24	
20-901 -	1	223	55-2012		18		56-1610	24	
20-90	-	220	55-2013A		19		Sev.	25	
Eme	2		55-2014		20		Eff.	26	
Legis. In	1	224	55-2015		21		Appn.	1-5	65
67-4723	2	221	55-2016		22		Legis. Int.	6	00
18-61	1	225	55-2019		23		Appn.	7-9	
10 01	1	226	55-2020		24		Emer.	10	
25-4001 -	1	227	39-1339		1	185	Appn.	1, 2	66
25-401	_		19-5604		1	186	Appn.	1-3	67
25-380	2		19-2604		1	187	Emer.	4	
39-104	3		18-8502		1	188	Appn.	1, 2	68
Eme	4		18-8503		2		Legis. Int.	3	
39-411	1	228	33-317A		1	189	Appn.	1, 2	69
22-450	1	229	Appn.		1-4	190	Legis. Int.	3	
22-450	2		Emer.		5		42-203A	1	70
22-450	3		Appn.		1-4	191	42-218a	1	71
22-450	4		Legis. Int.		5, 6		42-221	1	72
22-450	5		Appn.		1-5	192	42-248	2	
50-201	6		Emer.		6		22-5118	1	73
63-361	1	230	56-227D		1	193	22-5126	2	
Eme	2		18-4017		1	194	31-3517	1	74
Appr	1-4	231	41-2705		1	195	63-301A	1	75
Eme	5		41-2706		2		42-605	1	76
37-40	1	232	Rplg.		1	196	42-608	2	

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
232	2	Emer.	250	3	Legis. Int.	277	2	Emer.
233	1	22-101A		4, 5	Appn.	278	1	63-3621
234	1, 2	Appn.		6	Legis. Int.		2	Emer.
	3	Legis. Int.	251	1-4	Appn.	279	1	67-6519
	4-7	Appn.	252	1	36-603	280	1	67-7903
235	1, 2	Appn.		2	36-606	281	1	36-1101
236	1, 2	Appn.	050	3	Emer.	282	1	40-2319
237	1-4 5	Appn. Legis. Int.	253 254	1 1-3	Appn. Appn.	283	$\frac{1}{2}$	9-340C 9-348
238	1-4	Appn.	204	4	Legis. Int.		3	59-1101A
200	5	Emer.		5	Emer.		4	Emer.
239	1, 2	Appn.	255	1	23-1031	284	1	65-502
240	1-3	Appn.		2	23-1326		2	65-503
241	1-3	Appn.	256	1	42-2982		3	65-503A
	4	Emer.	257	1, 2	Appn.		4	65-504
242	1	9-337	258	1	41-5904		5	65-506
	2	9-338	259	1	41-1314		6	65-512
0.40	3	Emer.	260	1	33-2741	285	1	31-871
243	1	42-108B	061	2	Eff.		2	34-217
244	$\frac{2}{1}$	Emer. 48-107	261 262	$1\\1, 2$	42-3806		3 4	34-408A 34-502
2 <del>44</del>	2	Emer.	263	1, 2	Appn. Rplg.		5	34-624
245	1	9-340D	200	2	54-1739		6	34-708A
_ 10	2	9-343	Ì	3	Rplg.		7	34-732
	3	Emer.	ĺ	4	Rplg.		8	34-903
246	1	33-1208	}	5	54-1771	1	9	34-910
	2	33-1209	264	1	54-1704		10	34-1005
	3	33-1210	265	1	18-8002		11	34-1201
	4	33-1211		2	18-8002A		12	34-1402
247	1	33-125		3	18-8004C		13	34-1413
	2 3	Rplg.		4 5	18-8005		14	34-1802
	3 4, 5	33-357 33-1002	266	5 1	Eff. 18-8329	j	15 16	34-2301 34-2302
	4, 5	33-1002A	267	1	72-523		17	34-2303
	7	33-1002A	268	1-4	67-5201, n.		18	34-2304
	8	33-1004A	269	1	56-211		19	34-2305
	9	33-1004E	270	2	28-23-101		20	34-2306
	10	33-1004F		3	28-23-102		21	34-2307
	11	33-1020		4	28-23-103		22	34-2308
	12	33-1021		5	28-23-104		23	34-2309
	13	33-1022	1	6	28-23-105		24	34-2313
	14	33-1626	Ì	7	28-23-107		25	Emer.
	15	33-1627		8	28-23-108	286	1-3	Appn.
	16	33-5216	İ	9	28-23-110	287	1-4	Appn.
	17	33-125, n.	1	10	28-23-112	288	1-3	Appn.
		33-129, n.		11	28-23-113	289	1, 2	Appn.
		33-357, n. 33-1002, n.		13 14	28-24-101 $28-24-102$	290	1-4 5	Appn. Legis. Int.
		33-1002, n.		15	28-24-102 28-24-104B	291	1	20-605
		33-1002A, n.		16	28-24-1045	231	2	31-3302
		33-1004A, n.		17	28-24-108		3	31-3501
		33-1004E, n.	271	1	63-3029B		4	31-3502
		33-1004F, n.		2	Emer.		5	31-3503
		33-1020, n.	272	1-3	Appn.		6	31-3503A
		33-1021, n.		4, 5	Legis. Int.		7	31-3503E
		33-1022, n.		6	Emer.		8	31-3504
		33-1626, n.	273	1-3	Appn.		9	31-3505
		33-1627, n.	274	1	39-1107		10	31-3505A
		33-5216, n.		2	39-1108		11	31-3505B
	18	Sev.	055	3	39-1109		12	31-3505C
0.40	19	Eff.	275	1	14-523		13	31-3505D
248	1, 2	Appn.	976	2	14-525		14	31-3505G
249	1-3 1, 2	Appn. Appn.	276	1 1	54-2512 49-1004		15 16	31-3507 31-3508

Here	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
50-290	9	317	9-349A Ren.	3	302	31-3508A	17	91
Se	10		9-350 Ren.	4		31-3509	18	
Eme	11		40-1306C	5		31-3510	19	
63-3029F,	1	318	65-301	6	000	31-3510A	20	
Rpl	2		59-105	1	303	31-3511	21	
63-3029	3		49-1807A	$\frac{1}{2}$	304	31-3517 31-3518	22 23	
63-440	4		49-1812 15-1-501	1	305	31-3519	24	
Eme	5		Emer.	2	305	31-3519	25	
Legis. In	1	319	54-2508	1	306	31-3558	26	
34-30	2		Emer.	2	000	56-209f	27	
34-40	3		54-1503	1	307	67-7903	28	
34-40	4		Rplg.	2		Appn.	1-3	92
34-41	5 6		Emer.	3		Legis. Int.	4	
34-411	7		54-706	1	308	Appn.	5-7	
34-90 34-904	8		Emer.	2		Emer.	8	
34-904	9		25-3501	2	309	Appn.	1	93
34-100	10		25-3502	3		Legis. Int.	2	
54-100 Se	11		33-1021	1	310	Appn.	3	
67-280	1	320	33-5208	2		Legis. Int.	4	
App:	1	321	18-8302	1	311	Appn.	5	
Eme	2	521	18-8303	2		Legis. Int.	6	
46-80	1	322	18-8304	3		Emer.	7	0.4
Eme	2	022	18-8305	4		Appn.	1, 2 3	94
45-150	1	323	18-8306	5 6		Emer.	1	95
45-1506	2	020	18-8307 18-8308	7		33-513 33-515	2	ฮอ
48-603	3		Rplg.	8		33-515A	3	
E	4		18-8309	9		33-1003	4	
18-501 -	1	324	18-8310	10		33-1272	5	
18-51	_		18-8311	11		33-1273	6	
Se	2		18-8312	12		33-1274	7	
Eme	3		18-8314	13		33-1274A	8	
42-524	1	325	18-8315	14		33-1275	9	
49-61	1	326	18-8316	15		Other	10	
49-10	1	327	Rplg.	16		Sev.	11	
49-10	2		18-8318	17		Emer.	12	
49-161	3		Rplg.	18		33-1004I	1	96
49-162	4		Rplg.	19		Other	2	
49-101	1	328	Rplg.	20		Emer.	3	
39-116	1	329	Rplg.	21		Appn.	1-4	97
Eme	2		18-8323	22		Appn.	1-24	98
49-30	1	330	18-8324	23		Emer.	25	00
Othe	1	331	9-340B	24		33-1019, n.	1	99
Eme	2		19-2520G 67-2345	25 26		33-801 33-804	2 3	
App	1-10	332	62-606	1	312	Sev.	4	
33-1004	11		62-622	2	012	Emer.	5	
App	12-21		72-803	1	313	33-1002A	1	00
Legis. In	22		Appn.	1, 2	314	33-1004	2	• •
App	23		Appn.	1-4	315	33-1004A	3	
Legis. In	1	333	Emer.	5		33-1004E	4	
App	2		Appn.	1, 2	316	33-1020	5	
Legis. In	3		Legis. Int.	3		33-1021	6	
App	4-6		50-2006	1	317	33-1626	7	
Eme	7	004	50-2007	2		33-5216	8	
67-580	1	334	50-2008	3		Other	9	
67-580	2		50-2018	4		Sev.	10	
67-580	3		50-2033	5		Emer.	11	
Eme	4	225	50-2903	6		54-1804	1	01
Rpl	$\frac{1}{2}$	335	50-2904	7		9-349	1	02
33-100	2		50-2905	8		9-349 Ren.	2	

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1	33-514	19	20	20-524A	32	2	49-319
	2	Emer.		21	20-525		3	49-2444
0	3	33-514, n.		22	20-525A	33	1	34-102
2	$1 \\ 2$	63-3004 Emer.		$\frac{23}{24}$	20-526		2 3	34-601 34-713
3	1	63-3622R		25	20-528 20-530		4	Rplg.
4	1	63-501		26	20-531		5	Rplg.
-	2	63-501A	1	27	20-532		6	Rplg.
	3	63-602		28	20-532A		7	Rplg.
5	1	63-117		29	20-533		8	Rplg.
6	1	63-3045		30	20-533A		9	Rplg.
	2	63-3061A		31	20-535		10	Rplg.
	3	Rplg.		32	20-539A		11	Rplg.
	4	63-3045		33	20-542		12	Rplg.
	5 6	63-3061A Eff.		34 35	20-547 $20-548$		13 14	34-1205 34-1208
7	1-3	Appn.		36	20-549		15	54-1208 Emer.
'	4	Emer.	20	1	5-306	34	1	33-5608
8	1	Appn.	20	$\overset{1}{2}$	7-602	35	1	33-2139
	$\overline{2}$	Emer.		3	18-4309	"	2	33-2141
9	1	63-1705		4	18-4907	36	1	39-4106
	2	Emer.		5	19-224		2	54-1908
10	1	63-3022		6	19-227	37	1	33-4104
	2	Emer.		7	19-503	38	1	27-120
11	1	Appn.		8	19-512		2	39-1332
10	2	Emer.		9	19-3006	1	3	40-802
12	$\frac{1}{2}$	Appn.		10	Rplg.		4 5	63-810
13	1	Emer. 63-3022A		11 12	19-3602 19-3903		6	63-1312 Emer.
10	2	Emer.		13	19-3904	39	1	39-5702
14	1	63-3022		14	19-4304	00	2	39-5703
	$\overset{-}{2}$	63-3022O		15	19-4407		3	39-5705
	3	63-3029I		16	32-401		4	39-5706
	4	63-3067		17	32-402	İ	5	39-5708
	5	Emer.		18	35-106		6	39-5710
15	1	33-601		19	38-804		7	39-5713
16	1	33-1002A		20	38-805		8	39-5714
17	1	Legis. Int.		21	39-258		9	39-5715
	$\frac{2}{3}$	67-1613 67-1613A		$\frac{22}{23}$	48-406 55-702		10 11	39-5717 39-5717A
	3 4	67-1615A Sev.		23 24	Rplg.	40	1	63-3029B
	5	Emer.	ļ	25	55-1101	40	$\frac{1}{2}$	Emer.
18	1	19-3945		26	55-1103	41	1	49-401B
19	1	20-501	21	1	33-3717B		2	49-402
	2	20-502	22	1	40-528		3	67-4223A
	3	20-503	23	1	46-806	42	1	39-8606
	4	20-504	24	1	54-1212		2	Emer.
	5	20-504A		2	54-1213	43	1	71-409
	6	20-505	0.5	3	54-1219	44	1	33-3723
	7	20-507	25	1	50-1332		2	Rplg.
	8 9	20-508 20-509	26 27	1 1	33-3721 25-1103		3 4	Rplg. 39-5902
	10	20-511	2'	2	25-1106		5	39-5903
	11	20-511A	28	1	39-4115		6	39-5904
	12	20-515	-0	$\overset{1}{2}$	67-2601A		7	39-5905
	13	20-516	29	1	54-1006		8	39-5906
	14	20-517	30	1	54-3603		9	39-5907
	15	20-518		2	54-3605		10	39-5908
	16	20-520		3	54-3606		11	39-5909
	17	20-521		- 4	54-3606A		12	39-5910
	18	20-522	31	1	59-1302		13	Rplg.
	19	20-524	32	1	49-313		14	39-5912

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Hereir
45	1	32-717D	70	1	33-1004I	95	1-3	Appn
6	1	19-2601		2	Eff.	96	1, 2	Appn
_	2	19-3921	71	1	47-320	97	1-3	Appn
7	1	63-3622GG	70	2	Emer.	98	1	5-508
8	2 1	Emer. 54-4107	72	$\frac{1}{2}$	61-114 61-129	99	2 1	Emer 49-1807F
:0	2	54-4107 54-4115		3	61-1004	100	1	36-407
9	1	54-5003		4	Emer.	100	2	36-416
60	1	44-2201	73	1	47-318	101	1	36-408
,,	2	44-2202		$\hat{2}$	47-319	102	î	36-409
51	1	57-113		3	47-325	103	1	49-1234
2	1	33-1006		4	47-326		2	9-3400
	2	Emer.		5	47-328		3	Eff
3	1	54-2601		6	Emer.	104	1	36-1508
4	1	15-5-602	74	1	54-2013	105	1	33-1217
5	1	63-3621	75	1	54-2023	106	1	42-2914
_	2	Emer.	76	1	54-2012		2	42-296
6	1	Appn.		2	54-2093	105	3	42-2962
-	2	Emer.	77	1	22-3301	107	1	8-5070
7	$\frac{1}{2}$	34-904		2 3	22-3302	-0	2 3	36-140
8	1	Emer. Appn.		4	22-3304 22 <b>-</b> 3305		4	39-302 39-303 <i>A</i>
0	2	Emer.		5	22-3308		5	39-3032
9	1	63-3022O		6	22-3309		6	41-194
	2	Emer.		7	22-3310		7	56-234
0	1	67-4725		8	22-3311		8	56-234A
	2	67-4726		9	22-3312		9	56-235A
	3	67-4729		10	Rplg.		10	56-235H
	4	67-4730		11	22-3315		11	56-2350
	5	67-4731		12	22-3318		12	56-264
1	1	31-3503	78	1	19-616		13	57-813
	2	31-3503A		2	Rplg.		14	67-82
	3	Emer.		3	Rplg.	108	1	33-3727
2	1	54-1610	79	1	47-330		2	67-2620
3	1	54-1904		2	Rplg.	109	1	19-2608
4	1	49-402		3	Rplg.		2	19-3922
-	2	49-420L		4	Emer.		3	20-225
5	1	30-14-102	80	1	47-325	110	4	31-3201
	2 3	30-14-202	81 82	1	3-409	110 111	1-4	Appn 47-31
	4	30-14-302 30-14-402	02	2	Rplg. 18-2510	111	$rac{1}{2}$	47-313
	5	30-14-412		3	19-5506		3	47-31
	6	30-14-501		4	32-1410		4	42-233
	7	30-14-502		5	Emer.		5	42-3902
	8	30-14-603	83	1	19-2718		6	42-4003
	9	30-14-611	00	2	Emer.		7	Emer
6	1	33-1019	84	1	19-2715	112	1	33-5203
	2	39-8006A	"	2	Emer.	113	1	23-10
7	1	54-3711	85	1	19-2716A		2	23-20
8	1	54-3400		2	Emer.		3	Rplg
	2	54-3401	86	1	49-426		4	Rplg
	3	54-3402	87	1	54-2014		5	23-207
	4	54-3403	88	1	68-514		6	23-21
	5	54-3404	89	1	25-2703		7	23-214
	6	54-3405A		2	25-2704		8	23-30
	7	54-3405C		3	25-2705		9	23-304
	8	54-3406		4	Rplg.		10	23-30
	9	54-3407		5	25-2707		11	23-306
	10	54-3408		6	25-2709		12	23-309
	11	54-3410	90	1	41-2511		13	23-400
	12	54-3410A	91	1	54-3504		14	23-40
	13	54-3412	92	1	54-3914		15	23-607
	14	54-3414	93	1	33-512		16	Rplg
69	1	33-118A	94	1	12-120		17	23-611

Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.	Herein	Section	Ch.
12-117	1	149	Legis. Int.	4	125	23-914	18	113
Emer	2		Appn.	1-3	126	31-3204	1	114
67-8907	1 1	$150 \\ 151$	Appn. Legis. Int.	$\frac{1}{3}$	127	67-2912	2	115
25-1174 Eff	$\frac{1}{2}$	191	Appn.	3 4		59-1354 59-1354A	$1 \\ 2$	115
Appn	1-4	152	Appn.	1, 2	128	67-5404	3	
Legis. Int.	5, 6		Appn.	1-3	129	Emer.	4	
Appn	7		Appn.	1-3	130	Appn.	1-3	116
Emer	8		Appn.	1-3	131	Legis. Int.	4	
Appn	1-4	153	Appn.	1-5	132	25-126	1	117
Emer Appn	5 1-3	154	Emer.	6 1-4	133	25-127 $25-128$	2 3	
Appn.	1-3	$154 \\ 155$	Appn. Appn.	1-4	134	25-129	4	
Legis. Int	2	100	Emer.	5	101	25-130	5	
41-911	1	156	Appn.	1-4	135	25-131	6	
41-914	2		Emer.	5		25-136	7	
41-212	1	157	Appn.	1-3	136	25-141B	8	
41-406	1	158	Appn.	1-4	137	25-141C	9	
31-3201E 23-940	1 1	159 160	67-5333A 67-5333B	$1 \\ 2$	138	25-141D 25-143	10 11	
23-1025	2	100	Emer.	3		25-143	12	
23-1324	3		54-1404	1	139	25-145	13	
36-405	1	161	54-1404	ı î	140	25-146	14	
31-2012	1	162	54-1406A	1	141	25-148	15	
50-420	2		54-1401	1	142	25-150	16	
67-6602	3		54-1402	2		25-155	17	
67-6610A	4	169	54-1403	3 4		25-156	18	
54-1733 21-515A	1 1	$\frac{163}{164}$	54-1408 54-1409	5		Rplg. 25-158	19 20	
72-1352A	1	165	54-1410	6		25-160	21	
Emer	$\overset{-}{2}$		54-1411	7		25-201	22	
19-5118	1	166	54-1417	8		25-218	23	
39-1392g	1	167	Eff.	9		25-232	24	
54-4211	1	168	43-1106	1	143	25-2612A	25	
33-1004H, n	1	169	43-1118	2		67-5303	26	
59-1356	2	1.70	38-715	1	144	42-1734A, n.	1	118
Appn	1-3 1-4	$\frac{170}{171}$	38-716 Sev.	2 3		42-1734B, n. 42-1779, n.	1 1	
Appn Appn	1-4	$171 \\ 172$	28-9-102	1	145	42-1779, ft. 43-201A	1	119
Appn	1-3	173	28-9-105	2	140	42-202	1	120
Appn	1-4	174	28-9-307	3		42-3809	1	121
Emer	5		28-9-311	4		43-1122	1	122
Appn	1-3	175	28-9-316	5		43-1123	2	
Appn	1-3	176	28-9-317	6		43-1124	3	
Appn	1-3	177	28-9-326	7		43-1125	4	
33-4302	1	178	28-9-406	8		43-1126	5	
33-4302A	2 1	170	28-9-408	9		43-1127	6 7	
34-2409 19-4902	1	179 180	28-9-502 28-9-503	10		43-1128	8	
Emer	2	100	28-9-507	11 12		43-1129 43-1130	9	
37-2705	1	181	28-9-515	13		43-1131	10	
37-2709	2	101	28-9-516	14		22-2802	1	123
37-2711	3		28-9-516A	15		22-2803	2	
37-2713	4		28-9-518	16		22-2807	3	
56-227F	1	182	Rplg.	17		22-2808	4	
45-1910	1	183	28-9-521	18		22-2809 Ren.	5	
Emer.	2	104	28-9-607	19		22-2810	6	
30-6-108 53-2-108	1	184	28-9-801 — 28-9-809	20		22-2811	7 8	
53-2-108 Emer.	2 3		28-9-809	21		22-2812 22-2813 Ren.	9	
37-2726	1	185	28-12-103 Eff.	22		22-2814 Ren.	10	
72-1104	1	186	33-5004	1	146	22-2815 Ren.	11	
Eff	2		49-1232	1	147	Appn.	1-3	124
63-3022L	1	187	33-2716	1	148	Appn.	1-3	125

2							
_	63-3036B	206	4	39-8423	226	3	41-1081
3	63-3082		5	39-8424		4	41-1082
4	Emer.	005	6	39-8425		5	41-1083
1	33-5205	207	1	50-3001 —		6	41-1084
			9				41-1085 41-1086
		208					41-1087
		200			1		41-1088
							41-1089
			4	14-107	1	12	41-1102
8	33-5211	209	1	59-901		13	41-1103
9	33-5213	210	1	33-1209		14	41-1104
10	33-1619		2	33-1210		15	Sev.
	33-5202A	211			ŀ		Eff.
					227		63-217
		}					Emer.
					228		Appn.
							Legis. Int.
							Appn. Emer.
					229		Appn
							Appn.
•					1		Appn
1							Emer.
		1		34-2412	232		Appn.
3	Emer.		13	34-2413		4-6	Legis. Int.
1	46-1201, n.		14	34-2424		7	Appn
1	46-1202, n.		15	Emer.	233	1	63-3029E
1	46-1203, n.	212	1	34-439A			63-3029EE
	46-1204, n.	213			ł		Emer.
		214			234		46-805
	· ·						Eff.
	· ·	215			235		Appn.
							Legis. Int.
					000		Emer.
							Appn.
		010					Appn.
		216					Appn.
							Appn.
							Appn. Appn.
					1		Appn.
					242		Emer.
					243		Appn.
		217			240		Emer.
					244		Appn.
		218			1		Appn.
	67-2303				246	1-4	Appn.
		219				5	Emer.
					247	1-12	Appn.
2	34-439	220	1	33-1022		13	Emer.
3	Emer.		2	Eff.	248	1-4	Appn.
1	36-406	221	1	33-909	249	1-3	Appn.
2	36-416	222	1	63-3029	250	1-5	Appn.
1	63-3022B		2	Emer.		6	Emer.
2	Emer.	223	1-5	67-5201, n.	251	1	33-1004E
1	66-327	224	1	61-215		2	Eff.
1	38-1203		2	63-102	252	1	39-116B
	Emer.			72-503	253		49-402
1		225	1	19-2522			49-402D
	67-1904			19-2524	254		36-408
					255		Legis. Int.
		226					Rplg. Rplg.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2	2 33-5205A 3 33-5206 4 33-5207 5 33-5208 6 33-5209 7 33-5210 8 33-5211 9 33-5213 10 33-1619 11 33-5202A 1 33-118 1 56-255 2 56-264 1 23-1033 1 63-602W 2 63-301A 3 Emer. 1 30-1901 1 67-5709 2 67-5709, n. Emer. 1 46-1201, n. 1 46-1201, n. 1 46-1203, n. 1 46-1204, n. 1 46-1205, n. 1 46-1206, n. 1 46-1207, n. 1 46-1208, n. 1 46-1208, n. 1 46-1208, n. 1 46-1209, n. 1 46-1211, n. 1 46-1212, n. 2 46-1204 3 46-1211 5 46-1212 1 47-718 1 33-1628, n. 2 33-1628 1 37-2726 2 37-2730A 1 67-2303 2 67-2303A 1 Rplg. 2 34-439 3 Emer. 1 36-406 2 36-416 1 63-3022B 2 Emer. 1 66-327 1 38-1203 2 Emer. 1 67-1903 2 67-1904 1 39-8420 2 39-8421	2 33-5205A 3 33-5206 4 33-5207 5 33-5208 6 33-5209 7 33-5210 8 33-5211 9 33-5213 10 33-1619 11 33-5202A 11 33-118 1 56-255 2 56-264 1 23-1033 1 63-602W 2 63-301A 3 Emer. 1 30-1907 1 67-5709 2 67-5709, n. 3 Emer. 1 46-1201, n. 1 46-1202, n. 1 46-1204, n. 2 63-301A 2 11 2 11 3 1 21 3 2 10 4 3 2 10 4 4 1 2 0 2, n. 1 4 4 2 1 2 0, n. 1 4 4 2 1 2 0, n. 1 4 4 2 1 2 0, n. 1 4 4 2 1 2 0, n. 1 4 4 2 1 2 0, n. 1 4 4 2 1 2 0, n. 1 4 4 2 1 2 0, n. 1 4 4 2 1 2 0, n. 1 4 4 2 1 2 0, n. 1 4 4 2 1 2 0, n. 1 4 4 2 1 2 0, n. 1 4 4 2 1 2 0, n. 1 4 4 2 1 2 1, n. 2 4 4 2 1 2 1 3 3 1 6 2 8, n. 3 3 1 6 2 8, n. 2 3 3 1 6 2 8, n. 3 3 1 6 2 8,	2 33-5205A 3 33-5206 4 33-5207 5 33-5208 6 33-5209 7 33-5210 8 33-5211 9 33-5211 10 33-1619 11 33-5202A 11 33-118 1 56-255 2 56-264 1 23-1033 1 63-602W 2 63-301A 3 Emer. 1 30-1907 1 67-5709 2 67-5709, n. 3 Emer. 1 46-1201, n. 1 46-1204, n. 1 46-1204, n. 1 46-1205, n. 1 46-1206, n. 1 46-1206, n. 1 46-1208, n. 1 46-1208, n. 1 46-1209, n. 1 46-1211, n. 1 46-1211, n. 1 46-1211, n. 1 46-1211, n. 1 46-1211, n. 1 46-1211, n. 1 46-1211, n. 1 46-1211, n. 1 46-1211, n. 1 46-1211, n. 2 46-1204 3 46-1210, n. 1 46-1211, n. 1 46-1211, n. 2 46-1211, n. 2 46-1204 3 46-1212, n. 2 46-1212 1 47-718 1 33-1628, n. 2 33-1628, n. 3 3-1628, 3-5205A 3 33-5206	2 33-5205A	2 33-5206A 3 33-5206	

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
255	4	Rplg.	273	1	Appn.	302	11	39-4512A
	5	49-1901		2	Legis. Int.		12	39-4512B
	6	Emer.		3-5	Appn.		13	39-4513
256	1	58-302		6	Legis. Int.		14	39-4514
257	$\frac{1}{2}$	8-705 16-1628		7 8	Appn. Emer.	303	15	66-405
	3	18-6711A	274	1	42-1203	303	$\frac{1}{2}$	37-3303 37-3303A
	4	18-8409	214	2	42-1204	304	1	39-4432
	5	20-501	275	1-4	Appn.	305	1	39-4509
	6	20-520		5	Emer.		2	39-4513
	7	20-524	276	1-5	Appn.		3	39-4514
	8	32-1301		6	Legis. Int.	306	1	40-1309
	9	33-1406		7	Emer.	307	1	63-1014
	10	39-2611	277	1-3	Appn.	308	1	14-524
	11	39-7504	278	1-3	Appn.	309	1	9-3400
	12	48-702	279	1-3	Appn.	010	2	9-340F
258	1	54-4313		4	Legis. Int.	310	1	67-5220
259	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$	67-7709 67-7710	280	5-9 1	Appn. 72-901		2 3	67-5221 Emer
260	1	56-227D	281	1-6	72-901 Appn.	311	1	39-601
261	1	54-4001 —	201	7	Emer.	311	2	39-604
701	1	54-4015	282	1-3	Appn.	312	1	44-2007
	2	Eff.	283	1-3	Appn.	012	2	44-2008
262	1	25-3504	284	1, 2	Appn.		3	67-2809
	2	25-3506		3	Legis. Int.	313	1	39-7114A
	3	25-3520A		4, 5, 6	Appn.	314	1	41-1850
63	1	22-4002	285	1, 2	Appn.		2	41-1823
	2	22-4005	286	1-3	Appn.		3	Sev
	3	22-4015	287	1-3	Appn.	315	1-6	Appn
64	1	33-2307	288	1-8	Appn.	316	1-6	Appn
	2	33-2308	289	1-10	Appn.	317	1-15	Appn
	3	Rplg.	290	1-7	Appn.	010	16	Emer
	4	Rplg.	001	8	Emer.	318	1	49-2905
C.F	5	Emer.	291	1	67-4702	319	1	66-908
65	$\frac{1}{2}$	33-513	292	2 1-3	Emer.	320 321	1	54-2512 63-3024
	3	33-514 33-515	292	1-5 4	Appn. Legis. Int.	321	$\frac{1}{2}$	63-3025
	4	33-1271	293	1-12			3	Emer
	5	33-1271	230	13	Appn. 33-1004E	322	1	48-504
	6	Emer.		14-24	Appn.	022	2	48-510
	7	Eff.		25	Legis. Int.	323	1	40-102
66	1	33-1021		26, 27	Appn.	020	2	Emer
	2	33-1626		28	Emer.	324	1	50-3102
	3	33-1627	294	1-3	Appn.		2	50-3103
	4	Emer.	295	1	Appn.		3	50-3104
	5	Eff.	296	1-7	Appn.		4	50-3108
67	1	33-1004F	297	1	Appn.		5	50-3109
	2	33-1004I		2	Emer.		6	50-3119
	3	Emer.	298	1-5	Appn.	325	1	49-105
	4	Eff.	299	1	Rplg.		2	49-201
68	1	21-401		2	33-1625		3	49-202
69	1	18-1505B	300	1	9-340B		4	49-207
	2	18-1507		2	Emer.		5	49-208
	3	Rplg.	301	1	49-1401A		6	49-654
	4	18-8304	302	1	39-4501	326	1	45-1506
	5	19-3004A		2	39-4502	007	2	Emer
	6	19-5506		3	39-4503	327	1	56-1603
	7	33-1208		4	39-4504	200	2	Eff
70	8	39-1113		5	39-4506	328 329	1	29-110 59-502
70	1 1	18-1509A 18-8304		6 7	39-4508 39-4509	330	1 1	1-2001
	2	18-8310A		8	39-4510	330	2	1-2001b
272	1	67-2901		9	39-4511A Ren.		3, 4	1-2001
	2	Emer.		10	39-4511B		5	1-2002

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
330	6	1-2004	335	2	67-451A, n.	339	9	Rplg.
	7	1-2004A	336	1	Appn.		10	Rplg.
	8	1-2004B	337	1	Legis. Int.		11	50-2908
	9	1-2008		2-4	Appn.		12	63-802
	10	1-2009		5	Emer.		13	63-803
	11	1-2010	338	1-6	Appn.		14	63-811
	12	1-2012		7	Emer.		15	31-1901
	13	Eff.	339	1	63-1305A		16	Sev.
331	1	33-1019, n.		2	63-1305B		17	Emer.
332	1-4	Appn.		3	50-2908	340	1	33-1002
333	1	63-3077E		4	63-802	010	2	33-1003
	2	Emer.		5	63-803		3	33-1009
334	1, 2	67-6512		6	63-811	341	1	45-805
	3	Emer.		7	31-1901	342	1	36-111
335	1	67-451A		8	Rplg.	342	1	30-111

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
1	1	63-3004	22	2	63-714	35	3	33-1623, n.
	2	Emer.		3	63-718		3	33-1624, n.
	2	63-3072, n.		4	63-720	36	1	41-1039
2	1	63-3022R		5	Emer.		2	19-2918
	2	63-3022	23	1	23-1319	37	1	Rplg.
	3	Emer.	24	1	63-511	38	1	1-804
3	1	63-3077F	25	1	56-255		2	1-805
4	1	63-3022	26	1	Appn.		3	1-808
	2	63-3022A		2	Emer.		4	Eff.
	3	63-3022B	27	1, 2	Appn.	39	1	49-114
	4	63-3022J		3	Emer.		2	Emer.
	5	63-3072	28	1	37-3201	40	1, 2	63-3029A
	6	Emer.		2	54-1701		3	Emer.
5	1	63-2503		3	54-1702	41	1	31-126
6	1	37-2730A		4	54-1704		2	31-129
7	1	63-205A		5	54-1705	]	3	31-134
8	1	63-3607A		6	54-1720		4	31-136
9	1	63-3035		7	54-1721		5	Emer.
	2	Eff.	1	8	54-1723A	42	1	42-605
10	1	23-1008		9	54-1726	43	1	42-3908
11	1	Rplg.		10	54-1728	44	1	42-3902
12	1	21-105		11	54-1729		2	42-3905
13	1	54-2305		12	54-1730	45	1	42-203B
14	1	54-3705		13	54-1732	46	1	72-102
15	1	54-3204		14	Rplg.		2	72-205
	2	54-3211		15	54-1761	47	1	54-1807A
16	1	39-173		16	54-4702		$\overline{2}$	Emer.
	2	39-174		17	54-5110	48	1	Appn.
17	1	49-445	29	1	Rplg.	1	2	Emer.
	2	Emer.	30	î	67-5716, n.	49	1	Appn.
18	1	40-1404B	00	î	67-5728, n.	10	2	Emer.
19	1	63-2423	31	1	33-2406	50	1, 2	Appn.
10	2	63-2424	32	1	33-909	00	3	Emer.
20	1	63-3638	33	1-3	Appn.	51	1	Appn.
20	2	Emer.	00	4	Emer.	"	2	Emer.
21	1	63-215	34	1	63-3622FF	52	1	Appn.
21	2	63-317	94	2	63-3621	52	2	Legis. Int.
	3	63-509	35	1	33-1620		3	Emer.
	4	63-602G	30	2	33-1621	53	1	67-2754
	5	63-706		3	33-1620, n.	54	1	28-41-201
	6	63-810		3	33-1621, n.	04	2	28-41-301
22	1			3			3	28-46-301
44	1	63-713		ð	33-1622, n.		ð	20-40-301

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
54	4	28-46-302	70	2	36-406	101	1	54-5015
	5	28-46-404		3	36-407	102	1	67-4704
	6	28-46-413		4	36-416	103	1	72-1351B
	7	28-46-508	71	1	36-406		2	72-1369
	8	26-2239	70	$\frac{2}{1}$	36-407		3	72-1603
	9 10	28-41-107 28-41-108	72	$\frac{1}{2}$	Rplg. 33-4301	104	4	Eff.
	11	28-44-102		3	33-4301	104	2	Rplg. Rplg.
	12	28-44-107		4	Rplg.		3	Rplg.
	13	28-46-102		5	Rplg.		4	Rplg.
	14	28-46-402		6	33-4303	105	1	67-8703
	15	45-1604		7	33-4304	106	1	67-4732 -
	16	48-603		8	Rplg.	-		67-4736
	17	67-2751		9	Rplg.	107	1	21-101
55	1	26-705		10	33-2101A		2	21-104
	2	Emer.		11	Eff.	100	3	21-114
56	1	41-2507	73	1	28-4-608	108 109	1 1	21-114 63-602OO
57	1	44-2101	74	$\frac{1}{2}$	Appn.	103	2	Emer.
	2 3	44-2101A 44-2103	75	1	Emer.	110	1	33-5401
	4	44-2106	15	2	Appn. Emer.	110	2	33-5402
	5	44-2107	76	1	Appn.		3	33-5403
58	1	63-3045, n.	'`	$\overset{1}{2}$	Emer.		4	33-5404
00	1	63-3061A, n.	77	1	Appn.		5	33-5409
	2	Eff.		$\overset{-}{2}$	Emer.	111	1	67-1610
59	1	38-104B	78	1	49-2905		2	67-1610A
60	1	42-2205	79	1	54-2103		3	67-1611
61	1	43-712	80	1	31-3201H		4	49-420A
62	1	Legis. Int.	81	1	22-2804	112	1	63-3022
	2	6-202	82	1	42-204		2	63-3072
	3	38-107		2	Emer.	110	3 1	Emer. 63-3621
	4	Sev.	83	1	63-3026A	113	2	Emer.
00	5	Emer.	0.4	2	Emer.	114	1	39-1394
63	1	50-2007	84	1	49-1014	115	1	54-1806
64	1	26-31-102	85	1	49-402D	116	1-3	Appn.
	2 3	26-31-103 26-31-201	86 87	1 1	45-805	117	1, 2	Appn.
	4	26-31-201	01	2	Appn. Emer.		3	Legis. Int.
	5	26-31-202	88	1	49-402		4	Appn.
	6	26-31-204	00	2	49-402A	118	1, 2	Appn.
	7	26-31-207		3	49-434		3	Legis. Int.
	8	26-31-208		4	Eff.		4	Appn.
	9	26-31-209	89	i	49-524	119	1, 2	Appn.
	10	26-31-211	90	1	41-406		3	Legis. Int.
	11	Rplg.		2	Other	120	4 1-3	Appn.
	12	26-31-301	91	1	25-127	121	1-3	Appn. Appn.
	13	26-31-303		2	25-131	122	1-3	Appn.
	14	26-31-304	92	1	49-315	123	1-3	Appn.
	15	26-31-305		2	49-2444	124	1-3	Appn.
	16	26-31-306		3	Eff.	125	1-3	Appn.
	17	26-31 <b>-</b> 308	93	1	49-1637		4, 5	Legis. Int.
	18	26-31-309	94	1	33-357		6	Appn.
	19	26-31-310	95	1	23-1007	126	1-4	Appn.
	20	26-31-317	0.0	2	23-1018		5	Emer.
CF	21	26-31-320	96	1	49-443	127	1-4	Appn.
65 cc	1	54-1707	0.7	2	Emer.	100	5	Emer.
66 67	1	2-215	97	1	Rplg.	128	1-6	Appn.
67	1	33-513		2	33-1004H	129	1	49-326
68	2	Emer.	00	3	Emer.	130	1	48-1003A
68	$\frac{1}{2}$	Appn. Legis. Int.	98	$\frac{1}{2}$	33-1002 33-1021	131 132	1 1	18-8307 34-1012
	3	Emer.	99	1	Appn.	102	2	34-1012
69	1	36-107	33	2	Emer.	133	1	43-201
70	1	36-404	100	1-4	Appn.	130	2	43-202

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
133	3	43-208	157	1-3	Appn.	181	18	41-4019
134	1	63-602A	158	1-3	Appn.		19	41-4020
135	1	33-2709	159	1-4	Appn.		20	41-4021
	2 3	33-2717A 34-106	160	1-3 4	Appn.		$\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 22 \end{array}$	41-4022
	4	34-1002		5	Legis. Int. Appn.	182	1	41- <b>4</b> 023 21-515A
	5	34-1703	161	1-3	Appn.	183	1	33-1107
	6	34-1704	162	1	Appn.	100	2	Rplg
	7	34-1706	102	2	67-2504, n.	!	3	33-1109
	8	34-1707	163	1-3	Appn.		4	33-1111
	9	34-1711	164	1-3	Appn.		5	Rplg
	10	34-1712	165	1-3	Appn.		6	33-1117
	11	34-1713	166	1-4	Appn.		7	33-1121
	12	50-501	167	1	23-903		8	Rplg.
	13	50-1044	168	1	33-1006		9	Rplg
	14	Emer.	169	1	33-1002B		10	Rplg
36	1	49-1603	170	1	41-6101 —		11	Rplg
	2	49-1608B			41-6109		12	33-354
	3	49-1608C	171	2	Emer.	184	1	Rplg
	4	49-1608D	171	1-6	Appn.		2	33-1003
	5	49-1608E		7	Legis. Int.		3	Emer.
	6	49-1608F	170	8 1-3	Emer.	185	1	31-1413
37	1	54-4105	172	1-3 4	Appn.	100	2	31-1423
.38	1	7-1107		5, 6	Legis. Int.	186	1	56-901
	2	7-1126	173	1	Appn. 67-5745	107	2	56-902
	3	16-1501	113	2	67-5745B	187	1	23-404
	4	16-1504		3	67-5745C		2	23-1003
	5 <b>6</b>	16-1513 16-2007	174	1	45-1510		3 4	28-9-801 33-2144
	7	16-2007	175	î	67-2901		5	39-4514
39	í	54-2502	176	1	25-3101		6	39-6311
.00	2	54-2505		2	25-3103		7	47-330
	3	54-2512A		3	25-3107		8	48-406
40	1	33-513A		4	25-3109	ł	9	54-1908
-10	2	Emer.		5	25-3111		10	54-3503
41	1	40-310		6	25-3113		11	54-3504
	2	40-203B		7	25-3114		12	54-3508
42	1	23-1327		8	25-3115		13	59-1302
43	1	56-227		9	25-3116		14	59-1324
44	1	15-12-108		10	25-3121		15	59-1391
	2	Eff.		11	25-3122		16	65-501
45	1	Rplg.	177	1	6-3001 —		17	65-503A
46	1	20-603	178	1	6-3006 67-2609	188	1	65-502
47	1	49-1505	179	1	54-802	189	1	47-317
	2	Emer.	113	2	Emer.	l	2	47-319
48	1	33-1004	180	1	54-2407		3	47-321
49	1	67-818	100	2	Emer.	190	1	33-107D
50	1	18-7008	181	1	41-4001	191	1	63-308
	2	36-1603		2	41-4002	192	1	55-1505
51	1	39-4514		3	41-4003	193	1	61-622
.52	1	49-1004		4	41-4004		2	Rplg.
	2	Legis. Int.		5	41-4005	194	1-4	Appn.
.53	1	49-434		6	41-4006	195	1	Appn
54	1	33-1002		7	41-4007		2	Emer
	2	33-1020		8	41-4008	196	1-3	Appn
	3	33-1024		9	41-4009	197	1-3	Appn
	4	33-1626		10	41-4010	198	1-3	Appn
	5	33-1628		11	41-4011	199	1-5	Appn
55	1	33-1272		12	41-4012	200	1-3	Appn
	2	33-1273A		13	41-4013	201	1-4	Appn
	3	Emer.		14	41-4014		5	Emer.
.56	1	Sev.		15	41-4015	202	1-3	Appn.
	2	41-121		16	41-4017	203	1-3	Appn.
	3	Sev.		17	41-4018	204	1-3	Appn.

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
205	1, 2	Appn.	235	1-3	Appn.	255	1	33-522
	3	Legis. Int.	236	1-4	Appn.	256	1	19-2604
	4	Appn.	237	1-3	Appn.	257	1	41-1839
206	1	49-1004A	238	1-9	Appn.	258	1	40-317
207	$1 \\ 2$	2-208	239	1	Legis. Int.	950	2	49-244 9-1406
200	1	7-610 54-1413		$\frac{2}{3}$	40-114 40-202	259	$\frac{1}{2}$	18-5402
208 209	1	39-604		4	40-203	260	1	31-4819
210	1	21-515A		5	40-208	261	1	72-1316A
211	1	67-2620		6	40-2312	201	2	Emer
212	1	Rplg.		7	Emer.	262	1	15-5-308
	2	67-429D	240	1	18-5610		2	15-5-311
213	1	Legis. Int.		2	18-5612		3	15-5-316
	2	67-5761B		3	18-8304		4	56-1004A
214	1	34-1801A	241	1	20-223		5	66-404
	2	34-1804	242	1	18-3302		6	66-405
	3	34-1805		2	18-3302K	263	1	50-1310
	4	34-1807		3	9-340B	1	2	Emer
215	1	32-720		4	Sev.	264	1	40-2319
216	1	67-6511	243	1	63-602KK	265	1	41-3616
	2	67-6522		2, 3	63-803		2	41-4313
	3	67-6535		4	63-3638	266	1	Rplg
	4	31-1425		5	Emer.		2	41-3801 — 41-3825
	5	31-3908A	244	1	63-3060A		3	41-706
217	1	49-1212	0.45	2	63-3068		4	41-706
218	1-3	Appn.	245	${1 \atop 2}$	67-1401		5	41-715
219	$\frac{1}{2}$	67-1224 67-1225		3	67-1410 67-1411		6	41-731
220	1	19-851	246	1	41-1836		7	41-733
220	2	19-852	247	1	39-3413		8	41-901
	3	19-853	248	1	32-1206		9	41-1702
	4	19-854	240	2	32-1210		10	41-1951
	5	19-855	249	1	18-5618		11	41-2857
	6	Rplg.	210	2	18-5619		12	41-3312
	7	19-857		3	18-5620		13	41-4703
	8	19-858		4	18-5621		14	41-5203
	9	19-859		5	18-5622	267	1	33-1004A
	10	19-860		6	18-5623	268	1	33-1002C
	11	19-863		7	18-5624	269	1	41-1851
	12	19-864		8	18-5625	270	1	54-1705
	13	19-865		9	18-5626		2	54-1719
221	1	16-1614		10	18-5627		3	54-1752
222	1	20-511		11	18-5628		4	37-3201
	2	20-514		12	18-5629		5 6	54-1761
223	1	50-308		13	18-5630		7	54-4702 54-5110
224	1	31-4809		14	18-5631	271	1	63-3616
	2	31-4813	250	1	56-203E	211	2	Emer
	3	31-4818		2	67-7437	272	1	33-522A
	4	Eff.	251	1	67-7701	2.2	2	Sev
225	1	18-3302	1	2	67-7702		3	Emer
	2	Emer.		3	67-7704	273	1-5	Appn
226	1-6	Appn.		4	67-7706	274	1-4	Appn
227	1-3	Appn.		5	67-7707	275	1-3	Appn
228	1-3	Appn.		6	67-7708	276	1	63-602W
229	1-6	Appn.		7	67-7709		2	Emer
	7	Legis. Int.		8	67-7710	277	1	Legis. Int
	8-11	Appn.		9	67-7711		2	65-701 —
230	1-3	Appn.		10	67-7712			65-706
231	1-3	Appn.		11	67-7713	278	1	23-903
	4	Legis. Int.		12	67-7715	279	1	31-3501
	5-9	Appn.	252	1	54-1814		2	31-3502
232	1-3	Appn.	253	1	37-2705		3	31-3504
233	1-3	Appn.		2	Emer.		4	31-3505
234	1-4	Appn.	254	1	72-523	1	5	31-3505A

Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein	Ch.	Section	Herein
279	6	31-3508A	301	2	33-5701	332	12	43-1324
280	1	31-3205	302	1-10	Appn.		13	43-1325
281	1	54-924	303	1-7	Appn.		14	Sev.
282	1	31-1506	304	1	Appn.		15	Emer.
283	1	41-6001, n.	005	2	Emer.	333	1	43-119
	1	41-6002, n.	305	1, 2	Appn.	334	$rac{1}{2}$	59-1101
	1 1	41-6003, n. 41-6004, n.	306	$\frac{1}{2}$	Appn. Legis. Int.	335	1	Emer. 73-113
	1	41-6004, n.	307	1-4	Appn.	336	1	34-1801A
	1	41-6006, n.	308	1	Appn.	000	2	34-1804
	1	41-6007, n.	000	$\overset{-}{2}$	Emer.		3	34-1807
	1	41-6008, n.	309	1-3	Appn.		4	Other
284	1	67-5221	310	1-4	Appn.	337	1	67-5709B
	2	67-5224		5	Legis. Int.		2	Emer.
	3	Other		6	Appn.	338	1, 2	33-1002
285	1	34-217	311	1-4	Appn.		3, 4	33-1004F
	2	34-901	312	1-3	Appn.		5	33-1004J
	3	34-908	313	1	Appn.		6	33-4811
	4	34-910	314	1-3	Appn.		7	Rplg.
	5 6	34-1103	315 316	1-6 1-3	Appn.		8 9	Other Eff.
286	1	34-1106 54-2701	317	1-3 1-13	Appn. Appn.	339	1	54-1202
200	2	54-2701	318	1-13	Appn.	303	2	54-1220
	3	54-2702	510	7	Emer.		3	54-1221
287	1	16-1602	319	1-5	Appn.		4	54-1228
	2	16-1610	320	1-3	Appn.	340	1	33-1002
	3	16-1619		4-7	Legis. Int.		2	33-1004
	4	16-1620		8	Appn.		3	33-1004A
	5	16-1621	321	1-4	Appn.		4	33-1004E
	6	16-1622	322	1, 2	Appn.		5	33-1021
	7	16-1623	323	1-11	Appn.		6	33-1022
	8	16-1624	324	1-3	Appn.		7	33-1626
	9	16-1625	005	4	Emer.	0.41	8	Emer.
	10	16-1629	325	1, 2 1-10	Appn.	341	1	67-7408
	11 12	16-2002 16-2005	326	1-10	Appn. 33-1004A	342	$\frac{1}{2}$	33-1002 33-5208
288	1	23-1031		12	33-1004A 33-1004E		3	33-5214
200	2	23-1326		13	33-5508, n.		4	33-1619
289	1	54-1218		14-28	Appn.	343	1	33-1009
290	1	54-2103		29	33-1626, n.	0.10	2	33-5202A
291	1	49-1234		30	33-1002, n.		3	33-5203
	2	9-340C, n.		31	33-1022, n.		4	33-5205
	2	49-1234, n.		32	Appn.		5	33-5205A
292	1	50-336	327	1	42-605		6	33-5205B
	2	Eff.	328	1	21-213	1	7	33-5206
293	1	16-2411	329	1, 2	33-1275		8	33-5207
	2	66-326		3	Emer.		9	33-5208
	3	66-329	330	1	33-1271		10	Rplg.
294	1	33-1002		2	33-1272		11	33-5209A
205	2	Emer.		3	33-1273		12	33-5209B
295	1-5	Appn.		4	Sev.		13	33-5209C
200	6	Emer.	001	5	Emer.		14	33-5211
296	1	Appn.	331	1	33-513		15 16	33-5212 33-5213
297	1, 2 3	Appn. Legis. Int.	332	$\frac{1}{2}$	Legis. Int. 43-1314		17	Eff.
	4-7	-		3	43-1314	344	1	44-1001
298	1	Appn. 33-513		4	43-1316	044	2	67-2808
200	2	33-514		5	43-1317	345	1	54-406
	3	33-515		6	43-1317	540	2	54-411
299	1	33-118		7	43-1319		3	Emer.
300	1	33-801, n.		8	43-1320	346	1	18-3302
	1	33-804, n.		9	43-1321	347	1	33-513
	1	33-1019, n.		10	43-1322	348	1	39-3602
301	1	Rplg.		11	43-1323		2	39-3604

Ch.	Section	Herein
348	3	39-3605
	4	39-3606
	5	39-3607
	6	39-3609
349	1	33-1004
	2	Rplg.
	3	33-1004
	4	Eff.
350	1	49-1011

Herein	Section	Ch.
49-1004A	1	351
67-5201, n.	1-5	352
33-514	1	353
33-515	2	
Rplg.	3	
33-514	4	
33-515	5	
Sev.	6	
Emer.	7	

Ch.	Section	Herein	
354	1	49-2447	
	2	49-306	
	3	49-402	
	4	49-401B	
	5	67-4223A	
	6	Eff.	



































